UNIVERSITY OF DELHI



INSTITUTE OF POST GRADU-ATE (E) STUDIES LIBRARY

INSTITUTE OF POST-GRADUATE (E) STUME!

UI. No. DIII: 9x K AC. No. E17849

This book should be seturned on or before the last date staff below. An overdine charge of 5 Paise 25 Paise (Text-books be collected for each day the books as keep overtime.

THE WORLD'S CLASSICS

240

ENGLISH CRITICAL ESSAYS

(SIXTEENTH, SEVENTEENTH AND

EIGHTEENTH CENTURIES)

Oxford University Press, Amen House, London E.C 4 GLASCOW NEW YORK TORONTO WELDOURNE WELLINGTON BOMBAY CALCUITA MADRAS KARACHI LAHORE DACCA CAPE TOWN SALISBURY NAMED I BADAN

AUALA LUMPUR HONG HONG

ENGLISH CRITICAL ESSAYS

(SIXTEENTH, SEVENTEENTH AND EIGHTEENTH CENTURIES)

BY
EDMUND D. JONES

LONDON
OXFORD UNIVERSITY PRESS

The present selection of English Critical Essays (Sixteenth, Sexenteenth, and Englistenth Centurnes) was first published in The World's Classics in 1922, and reprinted in 1924, 1930, 1933, 1936, 1940, 1941, 1943. Reset in 1947, and reprinted in 1952, 1950, 1959, 1961, 1963 and 1965

Eller

PREFACE

IT is hoped that the present selection of critical essays will be found comprehensive enough to enable the teader to follow the main movements and counter-movements of English critical thought from the Renaissance to the Revival of Romanticism. Except in the few cases indicated, the texts have been given in full. But in order to avoid placing unnecessary difficulties in the way of readers unfamiliar with early English spelling and punctuation, the practice of modern editors of Shakespeare has been followed: the spelling has been modernized throughout, and the punctuation brought into closer conformity with modern usage.

E. D. J.

CONTENTS

SIR PHILIP SIDNEY, 1554-86 An Apology for Poetry .					1
THOMAS CAMPION, 1567-1620 From Observations in the As	t of Eng	glish l	Pocsy		55
SAMURL DANIEL, 1562-1619 A Defence of Rhyme .					61
Francis Bacon, 1561-1626 The Nature of Poetry .					88
BEN JONSON, 1573?-1637 Extracts from Timber To the Memory of William	Shakesi	ocare		:	93 98
John Milton, 1608-74 Preface to Samson Agoniste				4	101
John Dryden, 1631-1700 An Essay of Dramatic Poes Preface to the Fahles	, .	:	:		104 174
JOHN DENNIS, 1657-1734 From The Advancement Modern Poetry	and R	eform	ation	of •	201
ALEXANDER POPE, 1688-1744 An Essay on Criticism .					208
Joseph Addison, 1672-1719 Chevy Chase				,	228
Criticisms on Paradise Lost	•	•	•	:	240 261

viii	CONTENTS
VIII	CONTRACT

THOUAS GRAY, 1716-71						
Poetic Diction .			٠			265
Dodsley's Miscellany	•	٠	•		•	267
EDWARD YOUNG, 1683-17	65					
Conjectures on Origina	l Com	positi	ion	•	•	270
RIGHARD HURD, 1720-180	8					
Heroic and Gothic Ma	nners					312
Spenser and Milton						317
The Facrie Queene.			•		٠	319
Samuel Johnson, 1709-8	4					
Dryden as Critic and P	oet					326
Gray						374
THOMAS WARTON, 1728-9	90					
Preface to Milton's Mu	nor Po	ems				386

SIR PHILIP SIDNEY

AN APOLOGY FOR POETRY

[1595]

A /HEN the right virtuous Edward Wotton and I V were at the Emperor's Court together, we gave ourselves to learn horsemanship of John Pietro Pugliand, one that with great commendation had the place of an esquire in his stable. And he, according to the fertileness of the Itahan wit, did not only afford us the demonstration of his practice, but sought to enrich our minds with the contemplations therein which he thought most precious. But with none I remember mine ears were at any time more loaden, than when (either angered with slow payment, or moved with our learner-like admiration) he exercised his speech in the praise of his faculty. He said, soldiers were the noblest estate of mankind, and horsemen the noblest of soldiers. He said they were the masters of war and ornaments of peace; speedy goers and strong abiders; triumphers both in camps and courts. Nay, to so unbelieved a point he proceeded, as that no earthly thing bred such wonder to a prince as to be a good horseman. Skill of government was but a pedanteria in comparison. Then would he add certain praises, by telling what a peerless beast a horse was, the only serviceable courtier without flattery, the beast of most beauty, faithfulness, courage, and such more, that, if I had not been a piece of a logician before I came to him, I think he would have persuaded me to have wished myself a borse. But thus much at least with his no few words he drove into me, that self-love is better than any gilding to make that seem gorgeous wherein ourselves are parties.

Wherein, if Pugliano's strong affection and weak arguments will not satisfy you, I will give you a nearer example of myself, who (I know not by what mischance) in these my not old years and idlest times having slipped into the title of a poet, am provoked to asy something into you in the defence of that my unchested vocation, which it I handle with more good will than good reasons, bear with me, since the scholar is to be pardon d that followeth the steps of his master. And yet I must say that, as I have just cause to make a pitulid defence of poor Poetry, which from almost the laghest estimation of learning is fallen to be the laughmestory of children, so have I need to bring some more available proofs, since the former is by no man buried of his deserved credit, the silly latter hath had even the names of philosophers used to the defeating of it, yith great danger of civil was among the Muses

An I first, truly, to all them that professing learning inversh against Poetry may justly be objected, that they govery near to ungratefulness, to seek to deface that which, in the noblest nations and languages that are known, hath been the first light-giver to ignorance, and first nurse, whose milk by little and little enabled them to feed afterwards of tougher knowledges. And will they now play the hedgehog that, being received into the den, drove out his host, or rather the viners. that with their birth kill their parents? Let learned Greece in any of her manifold sciences be able to show me one book before Musaeus, Homer, and Hesiod, all three nothing else but poets. Nay, let any history be brought that can say any writers were there before them, if they were not men of the same skill, as Orpheus, I mus, and some other are named, who, having been the first of that country that made pens deliverers of their knowledge to their posterity, may justly challenge to be called their fathers in learning, for not only in time they had this priority (although in itself antiquity he venerable) but went before them, as causes to draw with their charming sweetness the wild untamed wits to an admiration of knowledge, so, as Amphion was said to move stones with his poetry to build Thebes, and Orpheus to be listened to by beasts—indeed stony and beastly people. So among the Romans were Livius Andronicus, and Ennius. So in the Italian language the first that made it aspire to be a treasurchouse of Science were the poets Dante, Boccaccio, and Petrarch, So in our English were Gower and Chaucer, after whom, encouraged and delighted with their excellent fore-going, others have followed, to beautify our mother tongue, as well in the same kind as in other arts.

This did so notably show itself, that the philosophers of Greece durst not a long time appear to the world but under the masks of poets. So Thales, Empedocles, and Parmenides sang their natural philosophy in verses; so did Pythagoras and Phocylides their moral counsels; so did Tyrtaeus in war matters, and Solon in matters of policy; or rather, they, being poets, did exercise their delightful vein in those points of highest knowledge, which before them lay hid to the world. For that wise Solon was directly a poet it is manufest, having written in verse the notable fable of the Atlantic Island, which was continued by Plato. And truly, even Plato, whosoever well considereth shall find that in the body of his work, though the inside and strength were Philosophy, the skin as it were and beauty depended most of Poetry for all standeth upon dialogues, wherein he feigneth many honest burgesses of Athens to speak of such matters, that, if they had been set on the rack, they would never have confessed them, besides his poetical describing the circumstances of their meetings, as the well ordering of a banquet, the delicacy of a walk, with interlacing mere tales, as Gyges' Ring, and others, which who knoweth not to be flowers of poetry did never walk into Apollo's garden.

And even historiographers (although their lips sound of things done, and verity be written in their foreheads) have been glad to borrow both fashion and perchance weight of poets. So Hendotus entitled his

SIDNEY

history by the name of the nune Muses; and both he and all the rest that followed him either stole or usurped of Poetry their passionate describing of passions, the many particularities of hattles, which no man could affirm, or, if that be denied me, long orations put in the mouths of great kings and captains, which it is certain they never pronounced. So that, truly, neither philosopher nor historographer could at the first have entered into thegates of popular judgements, if they had not taken a great passport of Poetry, which in all nations at this day, where learning flourisheth not, is plain to be seen, in all which they have some feeling of Poetry.

In Turkey, besides their law-giving divines, they have no other writers but poets. In our neighbour country Iteland, where truly learning goeth very bare. yet are their poets held in a devout reverence. Even among the most barbarous and simple Indians where no writing is, yet have they their poets, who make and sing songs, which they call Arertos, both of their ancestors' deeds and praises of their gods-a sufficient probability that, if ever learning come among them, it must be by having their hard dull wits softened and sharpened with the sweet delights of Poetry. For until they find a pleasure in the exercises of the mind, great promises of much knowledge will little persuade them that know not the fruits of knowledge. In Wales, the true remnant of the ancient Britons, as there are good authorities to show the long time they had poets, which they called bards, so through all the conquests of Romans, Saxons, Danes, and Normans, some of whom did seek to ruin all memory of learning from among them, yet do their ports, even to this day, last; so as it is not more notable in soon beginning than in long continuing. But since the authors of most of our sciences were the Romans, and before them the Greeks, let us a little stand upon their authorities, but even so far as to see what names they have given unto this now scorned skill.

Among the Romans a poet was called Vates, which is as much as a diviner, foreseer, or prophet, as by his conjoined words vaticuum and vaticuars is manifest: so heavenly a title did that excellent people bestow upon this heart-ravishing knowledge. And so far were they carried into the admiraton thereof, that they thought in the chanceable hitting upon any such verses great foietokens of their following fortunes were placed. Whereupon grew the word of Sortes Virgilianae, when, by sudden opening Virgil's book, they lighted upon any verse of his making: whereof the histories of the emperors' lives are full, as of Albinus, the governor our island, who in his childhood met with this verse,

Arma amens capio nec sat rationis in armis,

and in his age performed it: which, although it were a very vain and godless superstition, as also it was to think that spirits were commanded by such verses—whereupon this word charms, derived of carmina, cometh—so yet serveth it to show the great revenence those wits were held in. And altogether not without ground, since both the Oracles of Delphos and Sibyila's prophecies were wholly delivered in verses. For that same exquisite observing of number and measure in words, and that high flying like ty of concert proper to the poet, did seem to have some divine force in it.

And may not I presume a little further, to show the reasonableness of this word Vates, and say that the holy David's Psalms are a divine poem? If I do, I shall not do it without the testimony of great learned men, both ancient and modern. But even the name Psalms will speak for me, which, being interpreted, is nothing but Songs; then that it is fully written in metre, as all learned Hebricians agree, although the rules be not yet fully found; lastly and principally, his handling his prophecy, which is merely poetical. For what else is the awaking his musical instruments, the often and free changing of persons, his notable

prosopopeas, when he maketh you, as it were, see God coming in His majesty, his telling of the beasts' joyful-ness, and hills' leaping, but a heavenly poesy, wherein almost he showith himself a passonate lover of that unspeakable and everlasting heauty to be seen by the eyes of the mind, only cleared by faith? But truly now having named him, I fear me I seem to profane that holy name, applying it to Poetry, which is among us thrown down to so ridiculous an estimation. But they that with quiet judgements will look a hittle deeper into it, shall find the end and working of it such, as, being rightly applied, deserveth not to be scouged out of the Church of God.

But now, let us see how the Greeks named it, and how they deemed of it. The Greeks called him 'a poet', which name hath, as the most excellent, gone through other languages. It cometh of this word Paten, which's to make 'wheren, I know not whether by luck or wrstorn, we Englishmen have met with the Greeks in calling him 'a maker' which name, how high and mecomparable a tule it is, I had rather were known by marking the scope of other sciences than by

my partial allegation.

There is no art delivered to mankind that hath not the works of Nature for his principal object, without which they could not consist, and on which they so depend, as they become actors and players, as it were, of what Nature will have set forth. So doth the astronomer look upon the stars, and, by that he seeth, setteth down what order Nature hath taken therem. So do the geometrician and arithmetician in their diverse sorts of quantities. So doth the musician in times tell you which by nature agree, which not. The autural philosopher thereon hath his name, and the moral philosopher standeth upon the natural virtues. vices, and passions of man, and 'follow Nature' (saith he) 'therein, and thou shalt not err'. The lawyer south what men have determined; the historian what men have done. The grammarian speaketh only of the rules of speech, and the rhetorician and logician, considering what in Nature will soonest prove and persuade, thereon give artificial rules, which still are compassed within the circle of a question according to the proposed matter. The physician weigheth the nature of a man's body, and the nature of things helpful or hurtful unto it. And the metaphysic, though it be in the second and abstract notions, and therefore be counted supernatural, yet doth he indeed build upon the depth of Nature Only the poet, disdaining to he tied to any such subjection, lifted up with the vigour of his own invention, doth grow in effect another nature, in making things either better than Nature bringeth forth, or, quite anew, forms such as never were in Nature, as the Heroes, Demigods, Cy. clops, Chimeras, Turies, and such like so as he goeth hand in hand with Nature, not enclosed within the narrow warrant of her gifts, but freely ranging only within the zodiac of his own wit

Nature never set forth the earth in so rich tapestry as divers poets have done-neither with pleasant rivers, fruitful trees, sweet-smelling flowers, nor whatsoever else may make the too much loved earth more lovely. Her world is brazen, the poets only deliver a golden But let those things alone, and go to manfor whom as the other things are, so it seemeth in him her uttermost cunning is employed-and know whether she have brought forth so true a lover as Theagenes, so constant a friend as Pylades, so valiant a man as Orlando, so right a prince as Xenophon's Cyrus, so excellent a man every way as Virgil's Aeneas. Neither let this be jestingly conceived, because the works of the one be essential, the other in imitation or fiction, for any understanding knoweth the skill of the artificer standeth in that idea or foreconcert of the work, and not in the work itself. And that the poet hath that idea is manifest, by delivering them forth in such excellency as he hath imagined them. Which delivering forth also is not wholly imaginative, as we are wont to say by them that build eastles in the air: but so far substantially it worketh, not only to make a Cyrus, which had been but a particular excellency, as Nature might have done, but to bestow a Cyrus upon the world, to make many Cyruses, if they will learn aright why and how that maker made him.

Neither let it be deemed too saucy a comparison to balance the highest point of man's wit with the efficacy of Nature; but rather give right honour to the heavenly Maker of that maker, who, having made man to His own likeness, set him beyond and over all the works of that second nature; which in nothing he showeth so much as in Poetry, when with the force of a divine breath He bringeth things forth far surpassing her doings, with no small argument to the incredulous of that first accursed fall of Adam, since our crected wit maketh us know what perfection is, and yet our injerted will keepeth us from reaching unto it. But these arguments will by few be understood, and by fewer granted. Thus much (I hope) will be given me. that the Greeks with some probability of reason gave him the name above all names of learning. Now let us go to a more ordinary opening of him, that the truth may be more palpable: and so I hope, though we get not so unmatched a praise as the etymology of his names will grant, yet his very description, which no man will deny, shall not justly be barred from a princinal commendation.

Presy therefore is an art of imitation, for so Aristotle termeth it in his word Almens, that is to say, a representing, continerioning, or figuring forth—to speak metaphiorically, a speaking picture; with this end, to teach and delight. Of this have been three several kinds.

The chief, both in antiquity and excellency, were they that did imitate the inconceivable excellencies of God. Such were David in his Psalms; Solomon in his Song of Songs, in his Eeclesiastes, and Proverbs; Moses and Deborah in their Hymns; and the writer of Job, which, beside other, the learned Emanuel Tremellius and Franciscus Junius do entitle the poetical part of the Scripture. Against these none will speak that hath the Holy Ghost in due holy reverence. In this kind, though in a full wrong divinity, were Orpheus, Amphion, Homer in his Hymns, and many other, both Greeks and Romans, and this poesy must be used by whosoever will follow St. James's counsel in singing psalms when they are merry, and I know is used with the fruit of comfort by some, when, in sorrowful pangs of their death-bringing sins, they find the consolation of the never-leaving goodness.

The second kind is of them that deal with matters philosophical: either moral, as Tyrtacus, Phocylides, and Cato; or natural, as Lucietius and Virgil's Georgics; or astronomical, as Manilius and Pontanus; or historical, as Lucan; which who mislike, the fault is in their judgements quite out of taste, and not in the sweet food of sweetly uttered knowledge.

But because this second sort is wrapped within the fold of the proposed subject, and takes not the course of his own invention, whether they properly be poets or no let grammarians dispute, and go to the third, indeed right poets, of whom chiefly this question ariseth, betweet whom and these second is such a kind of difference as betwixt the meaner sort of painters. who counterfest only such faces as are set before them. and the more excellent, who, having no law but wit, bestow that in colours upon you which is fittest for the eve to see, as the constant though lamenting look of Lucretia, when she punished in herself another's fault (wherein he painteth not Lucretia whom he never saw, but painteth the outward beauty of such a virtue). For these third be they which most properly do imitate to teach and delight, and to imitate borrow nothing of what is, hath been, or shall be, but range, only reined with learned discretion, into the divine consideration of what may be, and should be. These be they that, as the first and most noble sort may justly be termed Vates, so these are waited on in the excellentest languages and best understandings, with the forest index index ame of Poets; for these indeed do merely make to initiate, and initiate both to delight and teach, and delight to move men to take that goodness in hand, which without delight they would fly as from a stranger, and teach, to make them know that goodness whereunto they are moved: which being the noblest scope to which ever any learning was directed, yet want there not idle tongues to bark at them.

These be subdivided into sundry more special denominations. The most notable be the Heroic, Lyric, Tragge, Comic, Saturic, Iambie, Elegiac, Pastoral, and certain others, some of these being termed according to the matter they deal with, some by the sorts of verses they liked best to write in; for indeed the greatest part of poets have apparelled their poetical inventions in that numbrous kind of writing which is called verse-indeed but apparelled, verse being but an ornament and no cause to Poetry, since there have been many roost excellent poets that never versified, and now swarm many versifiers that need never answer to the name of poets. For Xenophon, who did imitate so excellently as to give us effiguem just imperia, the portraiture of a just Empire,' under name of Cyrus (as Cicero saith of him), made therein an absolute heroical poem. So did Heliodorus in his sugared invention of that picture of love in Theagenes and Chariclea; and yet both these writ in prose: which I speak to show that it is not rhyming and versing that maketh a poet -no more than a long gown maketh an advocate. who though he pleaded in armour should be an ach, are and no soldier. But it is that feigning notable unages of virtues, vices, or what else, with that delighttal teaching, which must be the right describing note to know a poet by, although indeed the Senate of Poets hath chosen verse as their fittest raiment, meaning, at in matter they passed all in all, so in manner to go beyond them-not speaking (table talk fashion or

like men in a dream) words as they chanceably fall from the mouth, but perzing each syllable of each word by just proportion according to the digmity of the subject

Now therefore it shall not be amiss first to weigh this latter soit of Poeiry by his works, and then by his parts, and, if in neither of these anatomies he be condemnable. I hope we shall obtain a more favourable sentence. This purifying of wit, this enriching of memory, enabling of judgement, and enlarging of concert, which commonly we call learning, under what name soever it come forth, or to what immediate end soever it be directed, the final end is to lead and draw us to as high a perfection as our degenerate souls, made worse by their clavey lodgings, can be. eapable of This, according to the inclination of the man, bred many formed impressions. For some that thought this felicity principally to be gotten by knowledge and no knowledge to be so high and heavenly as acquaintance with the stars, gave themselves to Astronomy, others, persuading themselves to be demigods if they knew the causes of things, became natural and supernatural philosophers, some an admirable delight drew to Music, and some the certainty of demonstration to the Mathematics. But all, one and other, having this scope—to know, and by knowledge to lift up the mind from the dungeon of the body to the enjoying his own divine essence. But when by the balance of experience it was found that the astronomer looking to the stars might fall into a ditch, that the inouning philosopher might be blind in himself, and the mathematician might draw forth a straight line with a crooked heart, then, lo, did proof, the overruler of opinions, make manifest that all these are but serving sciences, which, as they have each a private end in themselves, so yet are they all directed to the highest end of the mistress-knowledge, by the Greeks called Architectorike, which stands (as I think) in the knowledge of a man's self, in the ethic and politic

consideration, with the end of well doing and not of well knowing only: even as the saddler's next end is to make a good saddle, but his farther end to serve a nobler faculty, which is horsemanship, so the horseman's to soldiery, and the soldier not only to have the skill, but to perform the practice of a soldier. So that, the ending end of all earthly learning being virtuous action, those skills, that most serve to bring forth that, have a most just title to be princes over all the rest. Wherein we can show the poet's nobleness, by setting him before his other competitors, among whom as principal challengers step for the the moral philosophers, whom, me thinketh, I see coming towards me with a sullen gravity, as though they could not abide vice by daylight, rudely clothed for to witness outwardly their contempt of outward things, with books in their hands against glory, whereto they set their names, sophistically speaking against subtlety, and angry with any man in whom they see the foul fault of anger. These men casting largesse as they go of definitions, divisions, and distinctions, with a scornful interrogative do soberly ask whether it be possible to find any path so ready to lead a man to virtue as that which tes heth what virtue is-and teacheth it not only by delivering forth his very being, his causes, and effects, but also by making known his enemy, Vice (which must be destroyed), and his cumbersome servant. Passion (which must be mastered), by showing the generalities that containeth it, and the specialities that are derived from it; lastly, by plain setting down, how it extendeth itself out of the limits of a man's own little world to the government of families, and maintaining of public societies.

The historian scarcely giveth leisure to the moralist to say so much, but that he, laden with old mouseeaten records, authorizing himself (for the most part) upon other histories, whose greatest authorities are built upon the notable foundation of hearsay; having much ado to accord differing writers and to pick truth out of partiality, better acquainted with a thousand years ago than with the present age, and yet better knowing how this world goeth than how his own wit runneth; curious for antiquities and inquisitive of novelties, a wonder to young folks and a tyrant in table talk, denieth, in a great chafe, that any man for teaching of virtue, and virtuous actions, is comparable to him. 'I am Lux mine, Temporum magistra, Vila memoriae, Nuncia vetustatis,' &c. 'The philosopher (saith he) 'teacheth a disputative virtue, but I do an active. His virtue is excellent in the dangerless Academy of Plato, but mine showeth forth her honourable face in the battles of Marathon, Pharsalia, Poitiers, and Agincourt. He teacheth virtue by certain abstract considerations, but I only bid you follow the footing of them that bave gone before you. Old-aged experionce goeth beyond the fine-witted philosopher, but I give the experience of many ages. Lastly, if he make the song-book, I put the learner's hand to the lute; and if he be the guide, I am the light,'

Then would he allege you innumerable examples, conferring story by story, how much the wisest senators and princes have been directed by the credit of history, as Brutus, Alphonsus of Aragon, and who not, if need be? At length the long line of their disputation maketh a point in this, that the one giveth the precept, and the other the example

Now, whom shall we find (since the question standeth for the highest form in the School of Learning) to be Moderator? Truly, as me seemeth, the poet, and if not a Moderator, even the man that ought to carry the tide from them both, and much more from all other serving sciences. Therefore compare we the poet with the historian, and with the moral philosopher; and, if he go beyond them both, no other human skill can match him. For as for the Divine, with all reverence it is ever to be excepted, not only for having his scope as far beyond any of these as eternity exceedeth a moment, but even for passing

each of these in themselves. And for the lawyer, though Jus be the daughter of Justice, and Justice the chief of virtues, yet because he seeketh to make men good rather formulare bonne than virtus amore, or, to say righter, doth not endeavour to make men good, but that their evil hurt not others, having no care, so he be a good citizen, how bad a man he be: therefore, as our wickedness maketh him necessary, and necessity maketh him honourable, so is he not in the deepest truth to stand in ank with these who all endeavour to take naughtness away, and plant goodness even in the secretest cabinet of our souls. And these four are all that any way deal in that consideration of men's manners, which being the supreme knowledge, they that best breed it deserve the best commendation.

The philosopher therefore and the historian are they which would win the goal, the one by precept, the other by example But both, not having both, do both halt. For the philosopher, setting down with thorny argument the bare rule, is so bard of utterance, and so musty to be conceived, that one that hath no other guide but him shall wade in him till he be old before he shall find sufficient cause to be honest. For his knowledge standeth so upon the abstract and general, that happy is that man who may understand 'timi, and more 'inappy that can apply what 'ne both understand. On the other side, the historian, wanting the precept, is so tied, not to what should be but to what is, to the particular truth of things and not to the general reason of things, that his example draweth no necessary consequence, and therefore a less fruitful d setrine.

Now doth the peerless poet perform both: for whatseever the philosopher saith should be done, he giveth a perfect pecture of it in some one by whom he presupposeth it was done; so as he coupleth the general notion with the particular example. A perfect picture I say, for he yuckleth to the powers of the mind an image of that whereof the philosopher bestoweth but a wordish description which doth norther strike, pierce, nor possess the sight of the soul so much as that other doth

For as in outward things, to a man that had never seen an elephant or a thinocuros, who should tell him most exquisitely all their shapes, colour, bigness, and particular marks, or of a gorgious palace the architecture, with declaring the full beauties might well make the braret able to repeat, as it were by rote, ill he had heard, yet should never satisfy his inward concerts with being witness to itself of a true lively knowledge but the same man, as soon as he might see those beasts well painted, or the house well in model. should straightways grow, without need of any description, to a judicial comprehending of them so no doubt the philosopher with his learned definition-beit of virtue, vices, matters of public policy or private government-replenisheth the memory with many infallible grounds of wisdom, which, notwithstanding. he dark before the imaginative and judging power, if they be not illuminated or figured forth by the speaking nicture of Poesy.

Tully taketh much pains, and many times not without poetical helps, to make us know the force love of our country hath in us Let us but hear old Anchises speaking in the midst of Troy's flames, or see Ulysses in the fullness of all Calvoso's delights bewail his absence from barren and beggarly Ithaca Anger, the Stoics say, was a short madness let but Sophocles bring you Ajax on a stage, killing and whipping sheep and oven, thinking them the army of Giceks, with their chieftains Agamemnon and Menelaus, and tell me if you have not a more familiar insight into anger than finding in the Schoolmen his genus and difference. See whether wisdom and temperance in Ulyssus and Diomedes, valour in Achilles, friendship in Nisus and Euryalus, even to an ignorant man carry not an apparent shining, and, contrarily, the remoise of conscience in Oedspus, the soon repenting pride of

Agamemnon, the self-devouring cruelty in his father Atreus, the violence of ambition in the two Theban brothers, the sour-sweetness of revenge in Medea, and. to fall lower, the Terentian Gnatho and our Chaucer's Pandar so expressed that we now use their names to signify their tindes, and finally, all virtues, vices, and passions so in their own natural seats laid to the view. that we seem not to hear of them, but clearly to see through them. But even in the most excellent determination of goodness, what philosopher's counsel can so readily direct a prince, as the fearned Cyrus in Xenophon; or a virtuous man in all fortunes, as Acneas in Vigal; or a whole Commonwealth, as the way of Sir Thomas More's Utopia? I say the way, because where Sir Thomas More erred, it was the fault of the man and not of the poet, for that way of patterning a Commonwealth was most absolute, though he perchance bath not so absolutely performed it. For the question is, whether the feigned image of Poesy or the regular instruction of Philosophy hath the more force in teaching; wherein if the philosophers have more rightly showed themselves philosophers than the poets have attained to the high top of their profession, as in truth,

Mediocribus esse poetis,
Non Die, non homines, non concessere Columnae;

it is, I say again, not the fault of the art, but that by few men that art can be accomplished. Certainly, even our Saviour Christ could as well have given the moral commonplaces of uncharitableness and humbleness as the divine marration of Dives and Lazarus; or disvolved inchea and mercy, as that heavenly discourse of the lost child and the gracious father; but that His through-searching wisdom knew the estate of Dives burning in hell, and of Lazarus being in Abraham's bosom, would more constantly (as it were) inhabit both the memory and judgement. Truly, for myself, meseems I see before my eyes the lost child's disdainful prodigality, turned to envy a swine's dinner; which

by the learned Divines are thought not historical acts, but instructing parables. For conclusion, I say the Philosopher teacheth, but he teacheth obscurely, so as the learned only can understand him; that is to say, he teacheth them that are already taught. But the poet is the food for the tenderest stomachs, the poet is undeed the right popular philosopher, whereof Acsop's tales give good proof: whose pretty allegories, stealing under the formal tales of beasts, make many, more beastly than beasts, begin to hear the sound of virtue from these dumb speakers.

But now may it be alleged that, if this imagining of matters be so fit for the imagination, then must the historian needs surpass, who bringeth you images of true matters, such as indeed were done, and not such as fantastically or falsely may be suggested to have been done. Truly, Aristotle himself, in his discourse of Poesy, plainly determineth this question, saying that Poetry is Philosophoteron and Spoudatoteron, that is to say, it is more philosophical and more studiously serious than history. His reason is, because Poesy dealeth with Katholou, that is to say, with the universal consideration, and the history with Kathekaston, the particular: 'now', saith he, 'the universal weighs what is fit to be said or done, either in likelihood or necessity (which the Poesy considereth in his imposed names). and the particular only marks whether Alcibiades did. or suffered, this or that.' Thus far Aristotle: which reason of his (as all his) is most full of reason. For indeed, if the question were whether it were better to have a particular act truly or falsely set down, there is no doubt which is to be chosen, no more than whether you had rather have Vespasian's picture right as he was, or at the painter's pleasure nothing resembling. But if the question be for your own use and learning, whether it be better to have it set down as it should be, or as it was, then certainly is more doctrinable the feigned Cyrus in Xenophon than the true Cyrus in Justin, and the feigned Aeneas in Virgil than the right Acneas in Dares Phrygius as to a lady that desired to fashion her countenance to the best grace, a painter should more benefit her to portrait a most sweet face, writing Candia upon 1t, than to paint Candia as she was, who, Horace sweareth, was foul and ill favoured.

If the poet do his part aright, he will show you in Tantalu, Atreus, and such like, nothing that is not to be shunned; in Cyrus, Aeneas, Ulysses, each thing to be followed; where the historian, bound to tell things as things were, cannot be liberal (without he will be poets al) of a perfect pattern, but, as in Alexander or Scipio hunself, show doings, some to be liked, some to be midded. And then how will you discern what to follow but by your own discretion, which you had without reading Quintus Curtius? And whereas a man may say, though in universal consideration of doctrine the poet prevaileth, yet that the history, in his saving such a thing was done, doth warrant a man more in that he shall follow; the answer is manifest: that if he stand upon that was-as if he should argue. because it rained vesterday, therefore it should rain to-day-then indeed it hatb some advantage to a gross concert; but if he know an example only informs a conjectured likelihood, and so go by reason, the port doth so far exceed him, as he is to frame his example to that which is most reasonable, he it in warlike, politic, or private matters; where the historian in his hare was hath many times that which we call fortune to overrule the best wisdom. Many times he must tell events whereof he can yield no cause: or, if he do, it must be poetical,

For that a leigned example hath as much force to teach as a true example (for as for to move, it is clear, since the fugned may be tuned to the highest key of passion), let us take one example wherein a poet and a historian do concur. Herodotus and Justin do both testify that Zopyrus, King Darius's fasthful servant, seeing his master long resisted by the rebellious Baby-

lonians, feigned himself in extreme disgrace of his king for venifying of which, he caused his own nose and cars to be cut off, and so flying to the Bab, lonians, was received, and for his known valour so far credited, that he did find means to deliver them over to Darius Much like matter doth Livy record of Larguinius and his son Xenophon excellently feigueth such another stratagem performed by Abradates in Cyrus's behalf Now would I fain know, if occasion be presented unto you to serve your prince by such an honest dissimulation, why you do not as well learn it of Xenophon's fiction as of the other's verity-and truly so much the better, as you shall save your nose by the bargain, for Abradates did not counterfeit so far So then the best of the historian is subject to the poet, for whatsoever action, or faction, whatsoever counsel, policy, or war stratagem the historian is bound to recite, that may the poet (if he list) with his imitation make his own. beautifying it both for further teaching, and more delighting, as it pleaseth him, having all, from Dante's heaven to his hell, under the authority of his pen Which if I be asked what poets have done so, as I might well name some, yet say I, and say again, I speak of the art, and not of the artificer

Now, to that which commonly is attributed to the praise of histories, in respect of the notable fearning is gotten by marking the success, as though therein a man should see virtue exalted and vice punished—truly that commendation is peculiar to Poetry, and far off from History. For indeed Poetry ever setteth virtue so out in her best colours, making Fortune her well-waiting handmand, that one must needs be enamoured of her. Well may you see Ulysses in a storm, and in other hard plights, but they are but exercises of patience and magnanimity, to make them shine the more in the near-following prosperity. And of the contrary part, if evil men come to the stage, they ever go out (as the tragedy writer answered to one that mishked the show of such persons) so

manacled as they little animate folks to follow them. But the historian, being captived to the truth of a foolish world, is many times a terror from well doing, and an encouragement to unbridled wickedness.

For see we not valiant Miltiades rot in his fetters: the just Phocion and the accomplished Socrates put to death like traitors; the cruel Severus live prosperously; the excellent Severus miserably murdered; Sylla and Marius dying in their beds; Pompey and Cicero slain then when they would have thought exile a happiness? See we not virtuous Cato driven to kill himself, and robel Caesar so advanced that his name yet, after 1,600 years, lasteth in the highest honour? And mark but even Caesar's own words of the forenamed Sylla (who in that only did honestly, to put down his dishonest tyranny), Literas nescurit, as if want of learning caused him to do well. He meant it not by Poetry, which, not content with earthly plagues, deviseth new punishments in hell for tyrants, nor yet by Philosophy, which teacheth Occidendos esse: but no doubt by skill in History, for that indeed can afford your Cypselus, Periander, Phalaris, Dionysius, and I know not how many more of the same kennel, that speed well enough in their abominable injustice or usurpation. I conclude, therefore, that he excelleth History, not only in furnishing the mind with knowledge, but in setting it forward to that which deserve th to be called and accounted good: which setting forward, and moving to well doing, indeed setteth the laurel crown upon the poet as victorious, not only of the historian, but over the philosopher, howsoever in teaching it may be questionable.

For suppose it be granted (that which I suppose with great reason may be denied) that the philosopher, in respect of his methodical pioceeding, doth teach more perfectly than the poet, yet do I think that no man is so much Philophilosophos as to compare the philosopher, in moving, with the poet.

And that moving is of a higher degree than teach-

ing, it may by this appear, that it is wellnigh the cause and the effect of teaching. For who will be taught, if he he not moved with desire to be taught, and what so much good doth that teaching bring forth (I speak still of moral doctrine) as that it moveth one to do that which it doth teach? For, as Aristotle saith, it is not Gressis but Praxis must be the fruit. And how Praxis cannot be, without being moved to practise, it is no hard matter to consider.

The philosopher showeth you the way, he informeth you of the particularities, as well of the tediousness of the way, as of the pleasant lodging you shall have when your journey is ended, as of the many byturnings that may divert you from your way. But this is to no man hut to him that will read him, and read him with attentive studious painfulness; which constant desire whosoever hath in him, hath already passed half the hardness of the way, and therefore is beholding to the philosopher but for the other half. Nay truly, learned men have learnedly thought that where once reason hath so much overmastered passion as that the mind hath a free desire to do well, the inward light each mind hath in itself is as good as a philosopher's book; seeing in nature we know it is well to do well, and what is well and what is evil, although not in the words of art which philosophers hestow upon us. For out of natural conceit the philosophers drew it, but to be moved to do that which we know, or to be moved with desire to know, Hoe obus, hic labor est.

Now therein of all sciences (I speak still of human, and according to the humane concerts) is our poet the monarch. For he doth not only show the way, but giveth so sweet a prospect into the way, as will entice any man to enter into it. Nay, he doth, as if your journey should lie through a fair vineyard, at the first give you a cluster of grapes, that, full of that taste, you may long to pass further. He beginneth not with obscure definitions, which must blur the margent with

interpretations, and load the memory with doubtfulness: but he cometh to you with words set in delightful proportion, either accompanied with, or prepared for, the well enchanting skill of music; and with a tale forsooth he cometh unto you, with a tale which holdeth children from play, and old men from the chimney corner. And, pretending no more, doth intend the winning of the mind from wickedness to virtue; even as the child is often brought to take most wholesome things by hiding them in such other as have a pleasant taste; which, if one should begin to tell them the nature of aloes or rhubarb they should receive, would sooner take their physic at their ears than at their mouth. So is it in men (most of which are childish in the best things, till they be cradled in their graves); glad they will be to hear the tales of Hercules, Achilles, Cyrus, and Aeneas; and, hearing them, must needs hear the right description of wisdom, valour, and justice; which, if they had been barely, that is to say philosophically, set out, they would swear they be brought to school again.

That imitation whereof Poetry is, hath the most conveniency to Nature of all other, insomuch that, as Aristotle saith, those things which in themselves are horrible, as cruel battles, unnatural monsters, are made in poetical imitation delightful. Truly, I have known men, that even with reading Amadis de Gaule (which God knoweth wanteth much of a penfect poesy) have found their hearts moved to the exercise of courtresy, liherality, and especially courage. Who readeth Aeneas carrying old Anchises on his back, that wisheth not it were his fortune to perform so excellent an act? Whom do not the words of Turnus move, the tale of Turnus having planted his image in the imagination?—

Fugientem hace terra videbit? Usque acteone mori muserum est?

Where the philosophers, as they scorn to delight, so must they be content little to move, saving wrangling

whether Virtue be the chief or the only good, whether the contemplative or the active life do excel: which Plato and Boethus well knew, and therefore made Mistress Philosophy very often borrow the masking ramment of Poesy. For even those hard-heatted evil men who think virtue a school name, and know no other good but whilgene gento, and therefore despise the austere admonitions of the philosopher, and feel not the inward reason they stand upon, yet will be content to be delighted—which is all the good fellow poet seemeth to promise—and so steal to see the form of goodness, which seen they cannot but love ere themselves be aware, as if they took a medicine of cherries.

Infinite proofs of the strange effects of this poetical invention might be alleged; only two shall serve, which are so often remembered as I think all men know them; the one of Menenius Agrippa, who, when the whole people of Rome had resolutely divided themselves from the Senate, with apparent show of utter ruin, though he were (for that time) an excellent orator, came not among them upon trust of figurative speeches or cunning instituations, and much less with farfetched maxims of Philosophy, which (especially if they were Platonic) they must have learned geometry before they could well have conceived; but forsooth he behaves himself like a homely and familiar poet. He telleth them a tale, that there was a time when all the parts of the body made a mutinous conspiracy against the belly, which they thought devoured the fruits of each other's labour; they concluded they would let so unprofitable a spender starve. In the end, to be short (for the tale is notorious, and as notorious that it was a tale), with punishing the belly they plagued themselves This applied by him wrought such effect in the people, as I never read that ever words brought forth but then so sudden and so good an alteration; for upon reasonable conditions a perfect reconcilement ensued. The other is of Nathan the Prophet, who, when the holy David had so far fotsaken God as to confirm adultery with murder, when he was to do the tenderest office of a friend, in laying his own shame before his eyes, sent by God to call again so chosen a servant, how doth he it but by tellung of a man whose beloved lamb was ungratefully taken from his bosom?—the application most divinely true, but the discourse itself feigned. Which made David (I speak of the second and instrumental cause) as in a glass to see his own filthiness, as that heavenly Psalm of Mercy well testifieth.

By these, therefore, examples and reasons, I think it may be manufest that the Poet, with that same hand of delight, doth draw the mind more effectually than any other art doth; and so a conclusion not unfitly ensueth, that, as Virtue is the most excellent resting place for all worldly learning to make his end of, so Poetry, being the most familiar to teach it, and most princely to move towards it, in the most excellent work is the most excellent workman. But I am content not only to decipher him by his works (although works in commendation or dispraise must ever hold an high authority), but more narrowly will examine his paits, so that, as in a man, though all together may carry a presence full of majesty and beauty, perchance in some one defectious piece we may find a blemish. Now in his parts, kinds, or species (as you list to term them), it is to be noted that some poesies have coupled together two or three kinds, as tragical and comical, whereupon is risen the tragi-comical. Some, in the like manner, have mingled prose and verse, as Sannazzaro and Boethius. Some have mingled matters heroical and pastoral. But that cometh all to one in this question, for, it severed they be good, the conjunction cannot be hurtful. Therefore, perchance forgetting some, and leaving some as needless to be remembered, it shall not be amiss in a word to cite the special kinds, to see what faults may be found in the right use of them.

Is it then the Pastoral Poem which is misliked? For perchance where the hedge is lowest they will soonest leap over. Is the poor pipe disdaned, which sometime out of Melbacus' mouth can show the misery of people under hard lords or ravening soldiers, and again, by Tityrus, what blessedness is derived to them that lie lowest from the goodness of them that sit highest? sometimes, under the pretty tales of wolves and sheep, can include the whole considerations of wrongdoing and patience; sometimes show that contention for trifles can get but a trifling victory; where perchance a man may see that even Alexander and Darius, when they strave who should be cock of this world's dunghil, the benefit they got was that the after-livers may say,

Hace menani et victum frustra contendere Thirsin: Ex illo Coridon, Coridon est tempore nobis.

Or is it the lamenting Elegiae, which in a kind heart would move rather pity than blame, who bewalls with the great philosopher Herachtus the weakness of mankind and the wietchedness of the world; who surely is to be praised, either for compassionate accompanying just causes of lamentation, or for rightly pointing out how weak be the passions of worldness? Is it the hitter but wholesome Lambig, which rubs the galled mind, in making shame the trumpet of villainy with hold and open crying out against naughtness? Or the Satiric, who

Omne vafer vitium ridenti tangit amico;

who sportingly never leaveth until he make a man laugh at folly, and, at length ashamed, to laugh at humself, which he cannot avoid, without avoiding the folly; who, while

carcum praecordia ludat,

giveth us to feel how many headaches a passionate life bringeth us to; how, when all is done,

Est Ulubris animus si nos non deficit aequis?

No, perchance it is the Comic, whom naughty play-makers and stage-keepers have justly made actious. To the argument of abuse I will answer after. Only thus much now is to be said, that the Comedy is an imitation of the common errors of our life, which he representeth in the most ridiculous and scornful sort that may be, so as it is impossible that any beholder can be content to be such a one.

Now, as in Geometry the oblique must be known as well as the right, and in Arithmetic the odd as well as the even, so in the actions of our life who seeth not the filthiness of evil wanteth a great foil to perceive the beauty of virtue. This doth the Comedy handle so in our private and domestical matters, as with hearing it we get as it were an experience, what is to be looked for of a niggardly Demca, of a crafty Davus, of a flattering Cnatho, of a vainglorious Thraso; and not only to know what effects are to be expected, but to know who be such, by the signifying badge given them by the comedian. And little reason hath any man to say that men learn evil by seeing it so set out: since, as I said before, there is no man living but, by the force truth hath in nature, no sooner seeth these men play their parts, but wisheth them in pistrinum; although perchance the sack of his own faults he so hehind his back that he seeth not himself dance the same measure: whereto yet nothing can more open his eyes than to find his own actions contemptibly set forth.

So that the right use of Comedy will (I think) by nobody be blamed, and much less of the high and excellent Tragedy, that openeth the greatest wounds, and showeth forth the ulcers that are covered with tissue; that maketh kings fear to be tyrants, and trants manifest their tyrannical humours; that, with surring the affects of admiration and commiscration, teacheth the uncertainty of this world, and upon how weak foundations gilden roofs are builded; that maketh us know.

Qui sceptra saevus duro imperio regit, Timet timentes, metus in auctorem redit.

But how much it can move, Plutarch yieldeth a notable testimony of the abominable tyrant Alexander Pheraeus, from whose eyes a tragedy, well made and represented, diew abundance of tears, who, without all pity, had murdered infinite numbers, and some of his own blood, so as he, that was not ashamed to make matters for tragedies, yet could not resist the sweet violence of a tragedy. And if it wrought no further good in him, it was that he, in despite of hunself, withdrew himself from hearkening to that which might mollify his hardened heart.

But it is not the Tragedy they do mislike; for it were too absurd to cast out so excellent a representation of whatsoever is most worthy to be learned. Is it the Lyric that most displeaseth, who with his tuned lyre. and well-accorded voice, giveth praise, the reward of virtue, to virtuous acts, who gives moral precepts, and natural problems, who sometimes taiseth up his voice to the height of the heavens, in singing the lauds of the immortal God? Certainly, I must confess my own barbarousness. I never heard the old song of Percy and Douglas that I found not my heart moved more than with a trumpet; and yet is it sung but by some blind crowder, with no rougher voice than rude style: which, being so evil apparelled in the dust and cobwebs of that uncivil age, what would it work, trimmed in the gorgeous eloquence of Pindar? In Hungary I bave seen it the manner at all feasts, and other such meetings, to have songs of their ancestors' valour; which that right soldierlike nation think the chiefest kindlers of brave courage. The incomparable Lacedemonians did not only carry that kind of music ever with them to the field, but even at home, as such songs were made, so were they all content to be the singers of them, when the lusty men were to tell what they did, the old men what they had done, and the young men what they would do. And where a man may say that Pindar many times praiseth highly victories of small moment, matters rather of sport than virtue; as it may be answered, it was the fault of the poet, and not of the poetry, so indeed the chief fault was in the time and custom of the Greeks, who set those toys at so high a price that Philip of Macedon reckoned a horserace won at Olympus among his three fearful felicities. But as the minimable Pindar often did, so is that kind most capable and most fit to awake the thoughts from the sleep of idleness, to embrace homourable enterprises.

There rests the Heroical, whose very name (I think)

should daunt all backbiters, for by what concert can a tongue be directed to speak evil of that which draweth with it no less champions than Achilles, Cyrus, Acneas, Turnus, Tydeus, and Rinaldo who doth not only teach and move to a truth, but teacheth and moveth to the most high and excellent truth; who maketh magnanismity and justice share throughout all musty fearfulness and foggy desires, who, if the saving of Plato and Tully be true, that who could see Virtue would be wonderfully ravished with the love of her he suty—this man sets her out to make her more lovely in her holiday apparel, to the eye of any that will deign not to disdain until they understand But if anything be already said in the defence of sweet Poetry, all concurreth to the maintaining the Heroical, which is not only a kind, but the best and most accomplished kind of Poetry. For as the image of each action stirreth and instructeth the mind, so the lofty image of such worthies most inflameth the mind with desire to be worthy, and informs with counsel how to be worthy Only let Aeneas be worn in the tablet of your memory, how he governeth himself in the ruin of his country, in the preserving his old father, and carrying away his religious ecremonies, in obeying the god's commandment to leave Dtdo, though not only all passionate kindness, but even the human consideration of virtuous gratefulness, would have craved other

of him; how in storms, how in sports, how in war, how in peace, how a fugitive, how victorious, how besieged, how besieging, how to strangers, how to allies, how to enemies, how to his own; lastiy, how in his inward self, and how in his outward government, and I think, in a mind not prejudiced with a prejudicating humour, he will be found in excellency fruitful, yea, even as Horace saith,

Melius Chrysippo et Crantose.

But truly I imagine it falleth out with these poetwhippers, as with some good women, who often are sick, but in faith they cannot tell where. So the name of Poetry is octious to them, but neither his cause nor effects, neither the sum that contains him nor the particularities descending from him, give any fast handle to their carping dispraise.

Since then Poetry is of all human learning the most ancient and of most fatherly antiquity, as from whence other learnings have taken their beginnings; since it is so universal that no learned nation doth despise it, nor no barbarous nation is without it; since both Roman and Greek gave divine names unto it, the one of 'prophesying', the other of 'making', and that indeed that name of 'making' is fit for him, considering that whereas other Arts retain themselves within their subject, and receive, as it were, their being from it, the poet only bringeth his own stuff, and doth not learn a conceit out of a matter, but maketh matter for a conceit; since neither his description nor his end containeth any evil, the thing described cannot be evil, since his effects be so good as to teach goodness and to delight the learners; since therein (namely in moral doctrine, the chief of all knowledges) he doth not only far pass the historian, but, for instructing, is wellnigh comparable to the philosopher, and, for moving, leaves him behind him; since the Holy Scripture (wherein there is no uncleanness) hath whole parts in it poetical, and that even our Saviour Christ vouchsafed to use the flowers of it; since all his kinds are not only in their united forms but in their severed dissections fully commendable; I think (and think I think rightly) the laurel crown appointed for triumphing captains doth worthly (of all other learnings) honour the poet's triumph. But because we have ears as well as tongues, and that the lightest reasons that may be will seem to weigh greatly, if nothing be put in the counterhalance, let us hear, and, as well as we can, pender, what objections may be made against this art, what hay be worthy either of yielding or answering,

I ust, truly I note not only in these Mysomousoi, pocthaters, but in all that kind of people who seek a praise by dispraising others, that they do prodigally spend a great many wandering words in quips and scoffs, carping and taunting at each thing, which, by stirring the spleen, may stay the brain from a thorough beholding the worthiness of the subject. Those kind of objections, as they are full of very idle easiness, since there is nothing of so sacred a majesty but that an stehing tongue may rub itself upon it, so deserve they no other answer, but, instead of laughing at the jest, to laugh at the jester. We know a playing wit can praise the discretion of an ass, the comfortableness of being in debt, and the jolly commodity of being sick of the plants. So of the contrary aide of me will turn Onid's verse.

Ut lateat virtus proximitate mali,

that 'good lie hid in nearness of the evil', Agrippa will be as merry in showing the vanity of Science as Erasmus was in commending of folly. Neither shall any man or matter escape some touch of these smiling railers. But for Erasmus and Agrippa, they had another foundation than the superficial part would promise. Marry, these other pleasant faultfinders, who will correct the verb before they understand the noun, and confine others' knowledge before they ronfirm their own, I would have them only remember that scoffing cometh not of wasdom; so as the best title

in true English they get with their merriments is to be called good fools, for so have our grave forefathers ever termed that humorous kind of usters.

But that which giveth greatest scope to their scorning humous is rhyming and versing. It is already said (and, as I think, truly said) it is not rhyming and versing that maketh Poesy One may be a poet without versing, and a versifier without poetry. But yet presuppose it were inseparable (as indeed it seemeth Scaliger judgeth) truly it were an inseparable commendation 1 or if Oralio next to Ratio, Speech next to Reason, be the greatest gift bestowed upon mortality. that cannot be praisiless which doth most polish that blessing of speech, which considers each word, not only (as a man may say) by his forcible quality, but by his best measured quantity, carrying even in themselves a harmony (without, perchance, number, measure, order, proportion be in our time grown odious). But lay aside the just praise it hath, by being the only fit speech for Music (Music, I say, the most divine striker of the senses), thus much is undoubtedly true, that if reading be foolish without remembering, memory being the only treasurer of knowledge, those words which are fittest for memory are likewise most convenient for knowledge.

Now, that verse far exceedeth prose in the knitting up of the memory, the reason is manifest,—the words (besides their delight, which hath a great affinity to memory) being so set as one word cannot be lost but the whole work lails, which accuse this self, calleth the remembrance back to itself, and so most strongly confirmeth it. Besides, one word so, as it were, begetting another, as, be it in rhyme or measured verse, by the former a man shall have a near guess to the follower lastly, even they that have taught the art of memory have showed nothing so apt for it as a certain room divided into many places well and thoroughly known. Now, that hath the verse in effect perfectly, every word having his natural seat, which seat must needs

32 make the words remembered. But what needeth more in a thing so known to all men? Who is it that ever was a scholar that doth not carry away some verses of Virgil, Horace, or Cato, which in his youth he learned. and even to his old age serve him for hourly lessons? But the fitness it hath for memory is notably proved by all delivery of Arts: wherein for the most part, from Grammar to Logic, Mathematic, Physic, and the rest. the rules chiefly necessary to be borne away are comniled in verses. So that, verse being in itself sweet and orderly, and being best for memory, the only handle of knowledge, it must be in jest that any man can speak against it.

Now then go we to the most important imputations laid to the poor poets. For aught I can yet learn, they are these. First, that there being many other more fruitful knowledges, a man might better spend his time in them than in this. Secondly, that it is the mother of lies. Thirdly, that it is the nurse of abuse, infecting us with many pestilent desires, with a siren's sweetness drawing the mind to the seipent's tale of sinful fancy,—and herein, especially, comedies give the largest field to ear (as Chaucer saith),-how both in other nations and in ours, before poets did soften us, we were full of courage, given to martial exercises, the pillars of manlike liberty, and not lulled asleep in shady idleness with poets' pastimes. And lastly, and chiefly, they cry out with an open mouth, as if they outshot Robin Hood, that Plato banished them out of his Commonwealth. Truly, this is much, if there be much truth in it. First, to the first, that a man might better spend his time is a reason indeed; but it doth (as they say) but pelere prumpum. for if it be, as i attirm, that no learning is so good as that which tracheth and moveth to virtue, and that none can both teach and move thereto so much as Poetry, then is the conclusion manifest that ink and paper cannot be to a more probable purpose employed. And certainly, though a man should grant their first assumption, it should follow (methinks) very unwillingly, that good is not good because better is better. But I still and utterly deny that there is sprung out of earth a more fruitful knowledge. To the second therefore, that they should be the principal hars, I answer paradoxically, but truly, I think truly, that of all writers under the sun the poet is the least liar, and, though he would, as a poet can scarcely be a liar. The astronomer, with his cousin the geometrician, can hardly escape, when they take upon them to measure the height of the stars. How often, think you, do the physicians lie, when they aver things good for sicknesses, which afterwards send Charon a great number of souls drowned in a potion before they come to his ferry? And no less of the rest, which take upon them to affirm. Now, for the poet, he nothing affirms, and therefore never heth. For, as I take it, to he is to affirm that to he true which is false; so as the other artists, and especially the historian, affirming many things, can, in the cloudy knowledge of mankind, hardly escape from many lies. But the poet (as I said before) never affirmeth. The poet never maketh any circles about your imagination, to conjure you to believe for true what he writes. He citeth not authorities of other histories, but even for his entry calleth the sweet Muses to inspire into him a good invention, in truth, not lahouring to tell you what is, or is not, but what should or should not be And therefore, though he recount things not true, yet hecause he telleth them not for true, he lieth not, -without we will say that Nathan hed in his speech, before alleged, to David: which as a wicked man durst scarce say, so think I none so simple would say that Acsop hed in the tales of his heasts; for who thinks that Aesop writ it for actually true were well worthy to have his name chronicled among the heasts he writeth of. What child is there that, coming to a play, and seeing Thebes written in great letters upon an old door, doth believe that it is Thehes? If then a man can arrive, at that

or but pictures what should be, and not stories what have been, they will never give the lie to things not altimatively but allegorically and figuratively written. And therefore, as in History, looking for truth, they go away full fraught with falsehood, so in Poesy, looking for fiction, they shall use the nairation but as an imaginative ground-plot of a profitable invention.

But hereto is replied, that the poets give names to men they write of, which argueth a conceit of an actual truth, and so, not being true, proves a false-hood. And doit the lawyer he then, when under the names of 'John a Stile' and 'John a Noakes' he puts his case? But that is easily answered. Their naming of men is but to make their picture the more lively, and not to build any history, painting men, they cannot leave men nameless. We see we cannot play at chess but that we must give names to our chessmen; and vet, methinks, he were a very partial champion of truth that would say we lied for giving a piece of wood the reverend title of a bishop. The poet nameth Cyrus or Aeneas no other way than to show what men of their farnes, fortunes, and estates should do.

Their third is, how much it abuseth men's wit, training it to wanton sinfulness and lustful love, for indeed that is the principal, if not the only, abuse I can hear alleged. They say the Comedies rather teach than reprehend amorous conceits. They say the Lyric is larded with passionate sonnets, the Elegiac weeps the want of his mistress, and that even to the Heroical Cupid hath ambitiously climbed. Alas, Love. I would thou couldst as well defend thyself as thou canst offend others. I would those on whom thou dost attend could either put thee away, or yield good reason why they keep thee. But grant love of beauty to be a beastly fault (although it be very hard, since only man, and no beast, hath that gift to discern brauts); grant that lovely name of Love to deserve all hateful reproaches (although even some of my masters the philosophers spent a good deal of their lamp-od in setting for the the excellency of it), grant, I say, whatsoever they will have granted; that not only love, but lust, but vanity, but (if they list) scurrility, possesseth many leaves of the poets' books: yet think I, when this is granted, they will find their sentence may with good manners but the last words foremost, and not say that Poetry abuseth man's wit, but that man's wit abuseth Poetry.

For I will not deny but that man's wit may make Poesy, which should be Ethastike, which some learned have defined, 'figuring forth good things', to be Phantastike, which doth, contrariwise, jufect the fancy with unworthy objects, as the painter, that should give to the eye either some excellent perspective, or some fine picture, fit for building or fortification, or containing in it some notable example, as Abraham sacrificing his son Isaac, Judith killing Holofernes, David fighting with Goliath, may leave those, and please an ill-pleased eve with wanton shows of better hidden matters. But what, shall the abuse of a thing make the right use odious? Nay truly, though I yield that Poesy may not only be abused, but that being abused, by the reason of his sweet charming force, it can do more hurt than any other army of words, yet shall it be so far from concluding that the abuse should give reproach to the abused, that contrariwise it is a good reason, that whatsoever, being abused, doth most harm, being rightly used (and upon the right use each thing conceiveth his title), doth most good,

toning conceivet in stute, door most good.

Do we not see the shill of Physic (the best rampire to our often-assaulted bodies), being abused, teach poison, the most violent destroyer? Doth not knowledge of Law, whose end is to even and right all things, being abused, grow the crooked fosterer of horrible injuries? Doth not (to go to the highest) God's word abused breed heresy, and His Name abused lecome blasphemy? Truly, a needle cannot do much hurt, and as truly (with leave of ladies be it spoken) it

cannot do much good. With a sword thou mayest kill thy father, and with a sword thou mayest defend thy prince and country. So that, as in their calling poets the fathers of hes they say nothing, so in this their argument of abuse they prove the commendation.

Thry allege herewith, that before poets began to be in price our nation hath set their heart's delight upon action, and not upon imagination, rather doing things worthy to be written, than writing things fit to be done. What that before-time was, I think scarcely Sphinx can tell, since no memory is so ancient that hath the precedence of Poetry. And certain it is that, in our plainest homeliness, yet never was the Albion nation without Poetry Marry, this argument, though it be levelled against Poetry, yet is it indeed a chainshot against all learning, or bookishness, as they commonly term it. Of such mind were certain Goths, of whom it is written that, having in the spoil of a famous city taken a fair library, one hangman, belike, fit to execute the fruits of their wits, who had murdered a great number of bodies, would have set fire on it. 'No,' said another very gravely, 'take heed what you do, for while they are busy about these toys, we shall with more leisure conquer their countries.

This indeed is the ordinary doctrine of ignorance, and many words sometimes I have heard spent in it but because this reason is generally against all learning, as well as Poetry, or rather, all learning but Poetry; because it were too large a digression to handle, or at least too superfluous (since it is manifest that all government of action is to be gotten by knowledge, and knowledge best by gathering many knowledges, which is reading), I only, with Horace, to him that is of that opinion,

Inbeo stultum esse libenter;

for as for Poetry itself, it is the freest from this objection. For Poetry is the companion of the camps.

I dare undertake, Orlando Furioso, or honest King

Arthur, will never displease a soldier: but the quiddity of Ens and Prima materia will hardly agree with a corslet. And therefore, as I said in the beginning, even Turks and Tartars are delighted with poets. Homer. a Greek, flourished before Greece flourished. And if to a slight conjecture a conjecture may be opposed, truly it may seem, that, as by hun their learned men took almost their first light of knowledge, so their active men received their first motions of courage, Only Alexander's example may serve, who by Plutarch is accounted of such virtue, that Fortune was not his guide but his footstool, whose acts speak for him, though Plutarch did not,-indeed the Phoenix of warlike princes. This Alexander left his schoolmaster, living Aristotle, behind him, but took dead Homer with him. He put the philosopher Callisthenes to death for his seeming philosophical, indeed mutinous, stubbornness, but the chief thing he ever was heard to wish for was that Homei had been alive. He well found he received more bravery of mind by the pattern of Achilles than by hearing the definition of fortitude: and therefore, if Cato misliked Fulvius for carrying Ennius with him to the field, it may be answered that, if Cato misliked it, the noble Fulvius liked it, or else he had not done it, for it was not the excellent Cato Uticensis (whose authority I would much more have reverenced), but it was the former, in truth a bitter punisher of faults, but else a man that had never well sacrificed to the Graces. He misliked and cried out upon all Greek learning, and yet, being 80 years old, began to learn it, belike fearing that Pluto understood not Laun. Indeed, the Roman laws allowed no person to be carried to the wars but he that was in the soldier's roll, and therefore, though Cato misliked his unmustered person, he misliked not his work. And if he had, Scipio Nasica, judged by common consent the best Roman, loved him. Both the other Scipio brothers, who had by their virtues no less surnames than of Asia and Afric, so loved hun that they caused

his body to be buried in their sepulchre. So as Cato's authority being but against his person, and that answered with so far greater than himself, is herein of no validity.

But now indeed my burden is great; now Plato's name is laid upon me, whom, I must confess, of all philosophers I have ever esteemed most worthy of reverence, and with great reason, since of all philosophers he is the most poetical. Yet if he will defile the fountain out of which his flowing streams have proceeded, let us holdly examine with what reasons he did it. First truly, a man might maliciously object that Plato, being a philosopher, was a natural enemy of mocis. For indeed, after the philosophers had picked out of the sweet mysteries of Poetry the right discerning true points of knowledge, they forthwith, putting it in method, and making a school art of that which the poets did only teach by a divine delightfulness, beginning to spurn at their guides, like ungrateful prentices, were not content to set up shops for themselves, but sought by all means to discredit their masters; which by the force of delight being barred them, the less they could overthrow them, the more they hated them. For indeed, they found for Homer seven cities strove who should have him for their citizen; where many cities banished philosophers as not fit members to live among them. For only repeating certain of Europides' verses, many Athenians had their lives saved of the Syracusians, when the Athenians themselves thought many philosophers unworthy to live. Certain poets, as Simonides and Pindarus, had so prevailed with Hiero the First, that of a tyrant they made him a just king; where Plato could do so little with Dionysius, that he himself of a philosopher was made a slave. But who should do thus, I confess, should requite the objections made against poets with like cavillation against philosophers; as likewise one should do that should bid one read Phaedrus or Symposium in Plato, or the discourse of love in Plutarch. and see whether any poet do authorize abominable filthiness, as they do. Again, a man might ask out of what Commonwealth Plato did banish them. In sooth, thence where he himself alloweth community of women. So as belike this banishment grew not for effeminate wantonness, since little should poetical sonnets be hurtful when a man might have what woman he listed. But I honour philosophical instructions, and bless the wits which bred them; so as they be not abused, which is likewise stretched to Poetry. St. Paul himself, who yet, for the credit of poets.

tions, and bless the wits which bred them; so as they be not abused, which is likewise stretched to Poetry. St. Paul hunself, who yet, for the credit of poets. allegeth twice two poets, and one of them by the name of a prophet, setteth a watchword upon Philosophy.-indeed upon the abuse. So doth Plato upon the abuse. not upon Poetry. Plato found fault that the poets of his time filled the world with wrong opinions of the gods, making light tales of that unspotted essence. and therefore would not have the youth deprayed with such opinions. Herein may much be said; let this suffice, the poets did not induce such opinions. but did imitate those opinions already induced. For all the Greek stories can well testify that the very religion of that time stood upon many and manyfashioned gods, not taught so by the poets, but followed according to their nature of imitation. Who list may read in Plutarch the discourses of Isis and Osiris, of the cause why oracles ceased, of the divine providence, and see whether the theology of that nation stood not upon such dreams which the poets indeed superstitiously observed, and truly (since they had not the light of Christ) did much better in it than the philosophers, who, shaking off superstition, brought in atheism. Plato therefore (whose authority I had much rather justly construe than unjustly resist) meant not in general of poets, in those words of which Julius Scaliger saith. Qua authoritate barbari quidam atque hispidi abuti velint ad boetas e republica exigendor, but only meant to drive out those wrong opinions of the Deity (whereof now, without further law, Christianity hath taken away all the hurtful belief), perchance (as he thought) nourished by the then esteemed poets. And a man need go no further than to Plato himself to know his meaning: who, in his Dialogue ealled Ion, giveth high and rightly divine commendation to Poetry. So as Plato, hamshing the abuse, not the thing, not banishing it, but giving due honour unto it, shall be our patron and not our adversary. For indeed I had much rather (since truly I may do it) show their mistaking of Plato (under whose hon's skin they would make an ass-like braying against Poesy) than go about to overthrow his authority; whom, the wiser a man is, the more just cause he shall find to have in admiration; especially since he attributeth unto Pocsy more than my-clf do, namely, to be a very inspiring of a divine fire, far above man's wit, as in the afore-named Dialogue is apparent.

Of the other side, who would show the honours have been by the best sort of judgements granted them, a whole sea of examples would present themseives: Alexanders, Caesars, Scipios, all favourers of poets: Laelius, called the Roman Socrates, himself a poet, so as part of Heautonismorumenos in Terence was supposed to be made by him, and even the Greek Socrates, whom Apollo confirmed to be the only wise man, is said to have spent part of his old time in nutting Aesop's fables into verses. And therefore, full evil should it become his seholar Plato to put such words in his master's mouth against poets. But what need more? Aristotle writes the Art of Poesy; and why, if it should not be written? Plutarch teacheth the use to be gathered of them, and how, if they should not be read? And who reads Plutarch's either history or philosophy, shall find he trimmeth both their garments with guards of Poesy. But I list not to defend Poesy with the help of her underling Historiography. Let it suffice that it is a fit soil for praise to dwell upon; and what dispraise may set upon it, is either easily overcome, or transformed into just commendation. So that, since the excellencies of it may be so easily and so justly confirmed, and the low-creeping objections so soon trodden down; it not being an art of lies, but oft rue dinetrine; not of efferminateness, but of notable stirring of courage; not of abusing man's wit, but of strengthening man's wit; not banished, but homoured by Plato; let us rather plant more laurels for to engarkand our poets' heads (which honour of being laureate, as besides them only triumphant captains wear, is a sufficient authority to show the piece they ought to be had in) than suffer the ill-favouring breath of such wrong-speakers once to blow upon the clear springs of Porsy.

But since I have run so long a carrett in this matter, methinks, before I give my pen a full stop, it shall be but a little more lost time to inquire why England (the mother of excellent minds) should be grown so hard a stepmother to poets, who certainly in wit ought to pass all other, since all only proceedeth from their wit, being indeed makers of themselves, not takers of others. How can I but exclaim,

Musa, pulu causas memora, quo numine laeso!

Sweet Poesy, that hath anciently had kings, emperors, senators, great captains, such as, besides a thousand others, David, Adrian, Sophocles, Germanicus, not only to favour poets, but to be poets, and of our neater times can present for her patrons a Robert, king of Sicily, the great King Francis of France, King James of Scotland, such cardinals as Bembus and Bibbiena; such famous preachers and teachers as Beza and Melancthon, so learned philosophers as Fracastorius and Scaliger; so great orators as Pontanus and Muretus; so piercing wits as George Buchanan; so grave counsellors as, besides many, but before all, that Hospital of France, than whom (I think) that realm never brought forth a more accomplished judgement, more firmly builded upon virtue-I say these, with numbers of others, not only to read others' poesies, but to poetize for others' reading-that Poesy, thus embraced in all other places, should only find in our time a hard welcome in England, I think the very earth lamenteth it, and therefore decketh our soil with fewer laurels than it was accustomed. For heretolore poets have in England also flourished, and, which is to be noted, even in those times when the trumpet of Mars did sound loudest. And now that an overfaint quictness should seem to strew the house for poets, they are almost in as good reputation as the mountebanks at Venice Truly even that, as of the one side it giveth great praise to Poesy, which like Venus (but to better purpose) hath rather be troubled in the net with Mars than enjoy the homely quiet of Vulcan, so serves it for a piece of a reason why they are less grateful to idle England, which now can scarce endure the pain of a pen Upon this necessarily followeth, that base men with servile wits undertake it, who think it enough if they can be rewarded of the printer And so as Epaminondas is said, with the honour of his virtue, to have made an office, by his exercising it, which before was contemptible, to become highly respected, so these, no more but setting their names to it, by their own disgracefulness disgrace the most graceful Poesy For now, as if all the Muses were got with child, to bring forth bastard poets, without any commission they do post over the banks of Helicon, till they make the readers more weary than posthorses, while, in the meantime, they,

Queis meliore luto finxit praecordia Titan,

are better content to suppress the outflowing of their wit, than, by publishing them, to be accounted kinghts of the same order. But I that, before ever I durst aspire unto the dienity, am admitted into the company of the paper-blurrers, do find the very true cause of our wanting estimation is want of desert, taking upon us to be poets in despite of Pallas. Now, wherein we want desert were a thankworthy labour to

express: but if I knew, I should have mended myself. But I, as I never desired the title, so have I neglected the means to come by it. Only, overmastered by some thoughts. I yielded an inky tribute unto them. Marry. they that delight in Poesy itself should seek to know what they do, and how they do, and, especially, look themselves in an unflattering glass of reason, if they be inclinable unto it. For Poesy must not be drawn by the ears; it must be gently led, or rather it must lead; which was partly the cause that made the ancient-learned affirm it was a divine gift, and no human skill; since all other knowledges he ready for any that hath strength of wit; a poet no industry can make, if his own genius be not carried unto it; and therefore is it an old proverb, Orator fit, Poeta nascitur, Yet confess I always that as the fertilest ground must be manured, so must the highest-flying wit have a Daedalus to guide him. That Daedalus, they say, both in this and in other, hath three wings to bear itself up into the air of due commendation; that is, Art, Imitation, and Exercise. But these, neither artificial rules nor imitative patterns, we much cumber ourselves withal. Exercise indeed we do, but that very fore-backwardly: for where we should exercise to know, we exercise as having known; and so is our brain delivered of much matter which never was begotten by knowledge. For, there being two principal parts-matter to be expressed by words and words to express the matter-in neither we use Art or Imitation rightly. Our matter is Quadlibet indeed, though wrongly performing Ovid's verse,

Quicquid conabar dicere, versus erat:

never marshalling it into an assured rank, that almost the readers cannot tell where to find themselves.

Chaucer, undoubtedly, did excellently in his Troilus and Cressida, of whom, truly, I know not whether to marvel more, either that he in that misty time could see so clearly, or that we in this clear age walk so stumblingly after him. Yet had he great wants, fit to be forgiven in so reverent antiquity. I account the Mirrour of Magistrates meetly furnished of beautiful parts, and in the Earl of Surrey's Lyrus many things tasting of a noble birth, and worthy of a nuble mind. The Shepheard's Calendar hath much poetry in his Eclogues, indeed worthy the reading, if I be not deceived. That same framing of his style to an old rustic language I dare not allow, since neither Theocratus in Greek, Virgil in Latin, nor Sannazzaro in Italian did affect it. Besides these, do I not remember to have seen but few (to speak boldly) printed, that have poetical sinews in them: for proof whereof, let but most of the verses be put in prose, and then ask the meaning; and it will be found that one verse did but beget another, without ordering at the first what should be at the last, which becomes a confused mass of words, with a tingling sound of rhyme, barely accompanied with reason,

Our Tragedies and Comedies (not without cause cried out against), observing rules neither of honest ervility nor of skilful Poetry, excepting Gorbodue (again, I say, of those that I have seen), which notwithstanding, as it is full of stately speeches and wellsounding phrases, climbing to the height of Seneca's style, and as full of notable morality, which it doth most delightfully teach, and so obtain the very end of Poesy, yet in truth it is very defectious in the circumstances, which grieveth me, because it might not remain as an exact model of all Tragedies. For it is faulty both in place and time, the two necessary companions of all corporal actions. For where the stage should always represent but one place, and the uttermost time presupposed in it should be, both by Aristotle's precept and common reason, but one day, there is both many days, and many places, inartificially imagined. But if it be so in Gorbodue, how much more in all the rest, where you shall have Asia of the one side, and Afric of the other, and so many other underkingdoms, that the player, when he cometh in, must ever begin with telling where he is, or else the tale will not be conceived? Now ye shall have three ladies walk to gather flowers and then we must believe the stage to be a garden. By and by we hear news of shipwreck in the same place, and then we are to blame if we accept it not for a rock. Upon the back of that comes out a hideous monster, with fire and smoke. and then the miserable beholders are bound to take it for a cave. While in the meantime two aimies fly in, represented with four swords and buckless, and then what hard heart will not receive it for a pitched field? Now, of time they are much more liberal, for ordinary it is that two young princes fall in love After many traverses, she is got with child, delivered of a fair boy; he is lost, groweth a man, falls in love. and is ready to get another child; and all this in two hours' space: which, how absurd it is in sense, even sense may imagine, and Art hath taught, and all ancient examples justified, and, at this day, the ordinary players in Italy will not err in. Yet will some bring in an example of Eunitchus in Terence, that containeth matter of two days, yet far short of twenty years. True it is, and so was it to be played in two days, and so fitted to the time it set forth. And though Plautus hath in one place done amiss, let us hit with him, and not miss with him. But they will say. How then shall we set forth a story, which containeth both many places and many times? And do they not know that a Tragedy is tied to the laws of Poesy, and not of History; not hound to follow the story, but, having liberty, either to feign a quite new matter, or to frame the history to the most tragical conveniency? Again, many things may be told which cannot be showed, if they know the difference betwixt reporting and representing. As, for example, I may speak (though I am here) of Peru, and in speech digress from that to the description of Calicut; but in action I cannot represent it without Paeolet's horse. And so was the manner the ancients took, by some Nuncius to recount things done in former time or other place. Lastly, if they will represent an history, they must not (as Horace saith) begin ab ovo, but they must come to the principal point of that one action which they will represent. By example this will be best expressed. I have a story of young Polydorus. delivered for safety's sake, with great riches, by his father Priam to Polymnestor, king of Thrace, in the Trojan war time. He, after some years, hearing the overthrow of Priam, for to make the treasure his own. murdereth the child. The body of the child is taken up by Hecuba. She, the same day, findeth a slight to be revenged most cruelly of the tyrant. Where now would one of our tragedy writers begin, but with the delivery of the child? Then should he sail over into Thrace, and so spend I know not how many years. and travel numbers of places. But where doth Euripides? Even with the finding of the body, leaving the rest to be told by the spirit of Polydorus. This need no further to be enlarged; the dullest wit may conceive it.

But besides these gross absurdaties, how all their plays be neither right tragedies, nor right comedies. mingling kings and clowns, not because the matter so carrieth it, but thrust in clowns by head and shoulders, to play a part in majestical matters, with neither decency nor discretion, so as neither the admiration and commiseration, nor the right sportfulness, is by their mongrel tragi-comedy obtained. I know Apuleus did somewhat so, but that is a thing recounted with space of time, not represented in one moment: and I know the ancients have one or two examples of tragi-comedies, as Plantus hath Amphitrio. But, if we mark them well, we shall find, that they never, or very daintily, match hornpipes and funerals. So falleth it out that, having indeed no right comedy, in that comical part of our tragedy we have nothing but scurrility, unworthy of any chaste ears, or some extreme show of doltishness, indeed fit to lift up a foud laughter, and nothing else; where the whole tract of a comedy should be full of delight, as the tragedy should be still maintained in a well-raised admiration. But our comedians think there is no delight without laughter; which is very wrong, for though laughter may come with delight, yet corneth it not of delight, as though delight should be the cause of laughter, but well may one thing breed both together. Nay, rather in themselves they have, as it were, a kind of contrariety; for delight we scarcely do but in things that have a conveniency to ourselves or to the general nature: laughter almost ever cometh of things most disproportioned to ourselves and nature. Delight hath a joy in it, either permanent or present. Laughter hath only a scornful tickling. For example, we are ravished with delight to see a fair woman, and yet are far from being moved to laughter, We laugh at deformed creatures, wherein certainly we cannot delight. We delight in good chances, we laugh at mischances; we delight to hear the happiness of our friends, or country, at which he were worthy to be laughed at that would laugh. We shall, contrarily, laugh sometimes to find a matter quite mistaken and go down the hill against the bias, in the mouth of some such men, as for the respect of them one shall be heartily sorry, yet he cannot choose but laugh; and so is rather pained than delighted with laughter. Yet deny I not but that they may go well together. For as in Alexander's picture well set out we delight without laughter, and in twenty mad antics we laugh without delight, so in Hercules, painted with his great beard and furnous countenance, in woman's attire, spinning at Omphale's commandment, it breedeth both delight and laughter. For the representing of so strange a power in love procureth delight: and the scornfulness of the action stirreth laughter. But I speak to this purpose, that all the end of the comical part be not upon such scornful matters as stirreth laughter only, but, mixed with it, that delightful teaching which is the end of Poesy. And the great fault even in that point of laughter, and forbidden plainly by Aristotle, is that they stir laughter in sinful things, which are rather excerable than indiculous; or in miscrable, which are rather to be pitted than scorned. For what is it to make folks gape at a wretched beggar, or a beggarly clown, or, against law of hospitality, to jest at strangers, because they speak not English so well as we do? What do we learn, since it is certain

> Nel habet infelix paupertas durius in se, Quam quod rediculos hommes facit?

But rather a busy loving courtier, a heartless threatening Thraso, a self-wise-seeming schoolmaster, an away-transformed traveller—these if we saw walk in stage names, which we play naturally, therein were delightful laughter, and teaching delightfulness: as in the other, the tragedies of Buchanan do justly bring forth a divine admiration. But I have lavished out too many words of this play matter. I do it because, as they are excelling parts of Poesy, so is there none so much used in England, and none can be more puffully abused; which, like an unmannerly daughter showing a bad education, causeth her mother Poesy's honesty to be called in question.

Other sorts of Poetry almost have we none, but that lyrical kind of songs and sonnets: which, Lord, if He gave us so good minds, how well it might be employed, and with how heavenly fruit, both private and public, in singing the prases of the immortal beauty, the immortal goodness of that God who giveth us hands to write and wits to conceive; of which we might well want words, but never matter of which we could turn our eyes to nothing, but we should ever have new budding occasions. But truly many of such writings as come under the banner of unresistable love, if I were a mistress, would never

persuade me they were in love; so coldly they apply fiery speeches, as men that had rather read lovers' writings, and so caught up certain swelling phrases (which hang together like a man which once told me the wind was at north-west, and by south, because he would be suie to name winds enough), than that in ruth they feel those passions, which casily (as I think) may be betrayed by that same forcibleness or Energia (as the Greeks call it) of the writer. But let this be a sufficient though short note, that we miss the right use of the material point of Poesy

Now, for the outside of it, which is words, or (as I may term it) Diction, it is even well worse. So is that honey-flowing matron Eloquence apparelled, or rather disguised, in a courtesan-like painted affectation; one time with so far-fetched words, they may seem monsters, but must seem strangers, to any poor Englishman; another time, with coursing of a letter. as if they were bound to follow the method of a dictionary; another tune, with figures and flowers, extremely winter-starved. But I would this fault were only peculiar to versifiers, and had not as large possession among prose-printers, and (which is to be marvelled) among many scholars, and (which is to be pitied) among some preachers. Truly I could wish. if at least I might be so bold to wish in a thing beyond the reach of my capacity, the diligent imitators of Tully and Demosthenes (most worthy to be imitated) did not so much keep Nizolian paper-books of their figures and phrases, as by attentive translation (as it were) devour them whole, and make them wholly theirs. For now they cast sugar and spice upon every dish that is served to the table, like those Indians, not content to wear earrings at the fit and natural place of the ears, but they will thrust jewels through their nose and hos, because they will be sure to be fine. Tully, when he was to drive out Catiline, as it were with a thunderbolt of eloquence, often used that figure of repctition, Vivit. Vivit? Into in Senatum venit, &c. Indeed,

inflamed with a well-grounded rage, he would have his words (as it were) double out of his mouth, and so do that artificially which we see men do in choler naturally. And we, having noted the grace of those words, hale them in sometime to a familiar epistle, when it were too much choler to be choleric. Now for similitudes in equal printed discourses,

I think all Herbernts, all stories of beasts, fowls, and fishes are rifled up, that they come in multitudes to wait upon any of our conceits; which certainly is as about d a surfeit to the ears as is possible; for the force of a similitude not being to prove anything to a contrary disputer, but only to explain to a willing hearer. when that is done, the rest is a most tedious prattling, rather over-swaying the memory from the purpose whereto they were applied, than any whit informing the judgement, already either satisfied, or by similitudes not to be satisfied. For my part, I do not doubt, when Antonius and Crassus, the great forefathers of Cicero in eloquence, the one (as Cicero testifieth of them) pretended not to know art, the other not to set by it, because with a plain sensibleness they might win credit of popular ears; which credit is the nearest step to persuasion; which persuasion is the chief mark of Oratory-I do not doubt (I say) that but they used these knacks very sparingly; which, who doth generally use, any man may see doth dance to his own music; and so be noted by the audience more careful to speak curiously than to speak truly. Undoubtedly (at least to my opinion undoubtedly)

Undoubtedly (at least to my opinion undoubtedly) I have found in divers small-leaned courters a more sound style than in some professors of learning: of which I can guess no other cause, but that the courtier, following that which by practice he findeth fittest to nature, therein (though he know it not) doth according to Art, though not by Art: where the other, using Art to show Art, and not to hide Art (as in these cases he should do), flieth from nature, and indeed abuseth Art.

But what? Methinks I deserve to be pounded for straying from Poetry to Oratory: but both have such an affinity in this wordish consideration, that I think this digression will make my meaning receive the fuller understanding-which is not to take upon me to teach poets how they should do, but only, finding myself sick among the rest, to show some one or two spots of the common infection grown among the most part of writers: that, acknowledging ourselves somewhat awry, we may bend to the 11ght use both of matter and manner; whereto our language giveth us great occasion, being indeed capable of any excellent exercising of it. I know some will say it is a mangled language. And why not so much the better, taking the best of both the other? Another will say it wanteth grammar. Nay truly, it hath that praise, that it wanteth not grammar; for grammar it might have, but it needs it not; being so easy of itself, and so void of those cumbersome differences of cases, genders, moods, and tenses, which I think was a piece of the Tower of Babylon's curse, that a man should be put to school to learn his mother-tongue. But for the uttering sweetly and properly the conceits of the mind, which is the end of speech, that hath it equally with any other tongue in the world and is particularly happy in compositions of two or three words together, near the Greek, far beyond the Latin: which is one of the greatest beauties can be in a language.

Now, of versifying there are two sorts, the one ancient, the other modern: the ancient marked the quantity of each syllable, and according to that framed his verse; the modern observing only number (with some regard of the accent), the chief life of it standeth in that like sounding of the words, which we call rhyme. Whether of these be the most excellent, would bear many speeches. The ancient (no doubt) more fit for music, both words and tune observing quantity, and more fit lively to express divers passions, by the low and bolty sound of the well-weighed syllable.

The latter likewise, with his rhyme, striketh a certain music to the ear; and, in fine, since it doth delight, though by another way, it obtains the same purpose; there being in either sweetness, and wanting in neither majesty. Truly the English, before any other vulgar language I know, is fit for both sorts; for, for the ancient, the Italian is so full of vowels that it must ever be cumbered with elisions; the Dutch so, of the other side, with consonants, that they cannot yield the sweet shding fit for a verse; the French, in his whole language, hath not one word that hath his accent in the last syllable saving two, called Antepenuluma; and little more hath the Spanish; and, therefore, very gracelessly may they use dactyls. The English is subject to none of these defects.

Now, for the rhyme, though we do not observe quantity, yet we observe the accent very precisely: which other languages either cannot do, or will not do so absolutely. That caesura, or breathing place in the nudst of the verse, neither Italian nor Spanish have, the French, and we, never almost fail of. Lastly, even the very rhyme itself the Italian cannot put in the last syllable, by the French named the 'masculine rhyme', but still in the next to the last, which the French call the 'female', or the next before that, which the Italians term sdructola. The example of the former is buono: suono, of the sdrucciola, femina: semina. The French, of the other side, hath both the male, as bon : son, and the female, as plaise : taise, but the sdrucciola he hath not where the English hath all three, as due : true, father : rather, motion : potion, with much more which might be said, but that I find already the triflingness of this discourse is much too much enlarged.

So that since the ever-praiseworthy Poesy is full of virtue-breeding delightfulness, and void of no gift that ought to be in the noble name of learning; since the blanes laid against it are either false or feeble, since the cause why it is not esteemed in England is the fault of poet-apes, not poets; since, lastly, our tongue is most fit to honour Poesy, and to be honoured by Poesy; I conjure you all that have had the evil luck to read this ink-wasting toy of inine, even in the name of the Nine Muses, no more to scorn the sacred mysteries of Poesy, no more to laugh at the name of 'poets', as though they were next inheritors to fools. no more to lest at the reverent title of a 'rhymer', but to believe, with Austotle, that they were the ancient treasurers of the Grecians' Divinity; to believe, with Bembus, that they were first bringers-in of all civility; to believe, with Scaliger, that no philosopher's precepts can sooner make you an honest man than the reading of Vugil; to believe, with Clauserus, the translator of Cornutus, that it pleased the heavenly Deity, by Hesiod and Homer, under the yeal of fables. to give us all knowledge, Logic, Rhetoric, Philosophy, natural and moral, and Qual non?; to believe, with me, that there are many mysteries contained in Poetry, which of purpose were written darkly, lest by profane wits it should be abused; to believe, with Landing, that they are so beloved of the gods that whatsoever they write proceeds of a divine fury; lastly, to believe themselves, when they tell you they will make you immortal by their verses

Thus doing, your name shall flourish in the printers' shops; thus doing, you shall be of kin to many a poetical preface; thus doing, you shall be most fair, most rich, most wise, most all, you shall dwell upon superlatives. Thus doing, though you be libertim paire natus, you shall suddenly grow Hercula protes,

Si quid mea carmina possunt.

Thus doing, your soul shall be placed with Dante's Beatrix, or Virgul's Ancluses. But if (fie of such a but) you be born so near the dull-making cataract of Nilus that you cannot hear the planet-like music of Poetry, if you have so earth-creeping a mind that it cannot lift itself up to look to the sky of Poetry, or rather, by

a certain rustical disdain, will become such a Mome as to be a Momus of Poetry; then, though I will not wish unto you the ass's ears of Midas, nor to be driven by a poet's verses (as Bubonax was) to hang himself, nor to be rhymed to death, as is said to be done in Ireland; yet thus much cuise I must send you, in the behalf of all poets, that while you live, you live in love, and never get favour for tacking skill of a Sonnet, and, when you the, your memory die from the earth for wast of an Epitaph.

THOMAS CAMPION

From OBSERVATIONS IN THE ART OF ENGLISH POESY

[1602]

THE FIRST CHAPILE, INTREATING OF NUMBERS
IN GLNERAL

THERE is no writing too brief that, without ob-A scurity, comprehends the intent of the writer, These my late observations in English Poesy I have thus briefly gathered, that they might prove the less troublesome in perusing, and the more apt to be retained in memory. And I will first generally handle the nature of Numbers Number is discreta quantitas: so that when we speak simply of number, we intend only the dissevered quantity; but when we speak of a poem written in number, we consider not only the distinct number of the syllables, but also their value. which is contained in the length or shortness of their sound. As in Music we do not say a strain of so many notes, but so many semibreves (though sometimes there are no more notes than semibreves), so in a verse the numeration of the syllables is not so much to be observed as their weight and due proportion. In joining of words to harmony there is nothing more offensive to the ear than to place a long syllable with a short note, or a short syllable with a long note, though in the last the vowel often bears it out. The world is made by symmetry and proportion, and a in that respect compared to Music, and Music to Poetry, for Terence saith, speaking of poets, artem qui tractant musicam, confounding Music and Poesy together. What music can there be where there is no proportion observed? Learning first flourished in Greece; from whence it was derived unto the Romans, both diligent observers of the number and quantity of syllables. not in their verses only but likewise in their prose, Learning, after the declining of the Roman Empire and the pollution of their language through the conquest of the Barbarians, lay most pitifully deformed till the time of Erisious, Reuchlin, Sir Thomas More. and other learned men of that age, who brought the Latin tompie again to light, redeening it with much labour out of the hands of the illiterate monks and frars as a scotling book, entitled Epistolae obscurorum therem, may sufficiently testily. In those lack-learning and a barbarized Italy, began that vulgar and way kind of Poesy which is now in use throughout most parts of Christendom, which we abusively call Rhyrus and Metre, of Ruhmus and Metrum, of which I will now discourse.

THE STOOM CHAPTER, DECLARING THE INAPTNESS OF RHYME IN POSSY

I am not ignorant that whosoever shall by way of reprehension examine the imperfections of Rhyme must encounter with many glorious enemies, and those very expert and ready at their weapon, that can if need be extenspore (as they say) rhyme a man to death. Besides, there is grown a kind of prescription in the use of Rhyme, to forestall the right of true numbers, as also the consent of many nations, against all which it may seem a thing almost impossible and vam to contend. All this and more cannot yet deter me from a lawful defence of perfection, or make me any what the sooner adhere to that which is lame and unbeserning. For custom I allege that ill uses are to be abulahed, and that things naturally imperfect cannot be perfected by use. Old customs, if they be better. why should they not be recalled, as the yet flourishing custom of numerous poesy used among the Romans and Grecians? But the unaptness of our tongues and the difficulty of mitation disheartens us; again, the

facility and popularity of Rhyme creates as many poets as a hot summer flies.

But let me now examine the nature of that which we call Rhyme. By Rhyme is understood that which ends in the like sound, so that verses in such manner composed yield but a continual repetition of that rhetorical figure which we term similiter desinentia, and that, being but figure verbs, ought (as Tully and all other rhetoricians have judicially observed) sparingly to be used, lest it should offend the ear with tedious affectation. Such was that absurd following of the letter amongst our English so much of late affected, but now hissed out of Paul's Churchyard: which foolish figurative repetition crept also into the Latin tongue, as it is manifest in the book of P's called practic percurum, and another pamphlet all of F's which I have seen imprinted. But I will leave these follies to their own ruin, and return to the matter intended, The ear is a rational sense and a chief judge of proportion, but in our kind of rhyming what proportion is there kept where there remains such a confused inequality of syllables? Inimbic and trochaic feet. which are opposed by nature, are by all rlivmers confounded, nay, often times they place instead of an iambic the foot Pyrrhichus, consisting of two short syllables, curtaining their verse, which they supply in reading with a ridiculous and unapt drawing of their speech. As for example:

Was it my destiny, or dismal chance?

In this verse the two last syllables of the word 'destiny', being both short, and standing for a whole foot in the verse, cause the line to fall out shorter than it ought by nature. The like impure errors have in time of rudeness been used in the Latin tongue, as the Carman proverbula can witness, and many other such reverend baubles. But the noble Greenais and Romans, whose skilful monuments outlive barbarism, tied themselves to the strict observation of poetical numbers, so

abandoning the childish utillation of rhyming that it was imputed a great error to Ovid for setting forth this one rhyming verse,

Quot caelum stellas tot habet tua Roma puellas.

For the establishing of this argument, what better confirmation can be had than that of Sir Thomas More in his book of Epigrams, where he makes two sundry epitaphs upon the death of a singing man at Westmuster, the one in learned numbers and dishked, the other in rude rhyrne and highly extolled, so that he concludes, tales lactuess talia labra petini, like lips like letting.

But there is yet another fault in Rhyme altogether intolerable, which is, that it enforceth a man oftentimes to abjure his matter and extend a short concert beyond all bounds of art, for in quatorzains, methinks, the poet handles his subject as tyranmically as Procrustes the thief his prisoners, whom, when he had taken, he used to cast upon a bed which if they were too short to fill, he would stretch them longer, if too long, he would cut them shorter. Bring before me now any the most self-loved rhymer, and let me see if without blushing be be able to read his lame balting thymes. Is there not a curse of nature laid upon such rude Possy, when the writer is himself ashamed of it. and the hearers in contempt call it rhyming and ballading? What divine in his sermon, or grave counsellor in his oration, will allege the testimony of a rhyme? But the divinity of the Romans and Grecians was all written in verse; and Aristotle, Galen, and the books of all the excellent philosophers are full of the testimones of the old poets. By them was laid the foundation of all human wisdom, and from them the knowledge of all antiquity is derived. I will propound but one question, and so conclude this point. If the Italians, Frenchmen, and Spaniards, that with commendation have written in rhyme, were demanded whether they had rather the books they have published

(if their tongue would bear it) should remain as they are in rhyme or be translated into the ancient numbers of the Greeks and Romans, would they not answer, Into numbers? What honour were it then for our English language to be the first that after so many years of barbarism could second the perfection of the industrious Greeks and Romans? Which how it may be effected I will now proceed to demonstrate.

THE THIRD CHAPTER: OF OUR ENGLISH NUMBERS IN GENERAL

There are but three feet which generally distinguish the Greek and Latin verses, the Dactvi, consisting of one long syllable and two short, as vivere, the Trochec, of one long and one short, as vita; and the Iambic, of one short and one long, as amor. The Spondee of two long, the Tribrach of three short, the Anaugestic of two short and a long, are but as servants to the first. Divers other feet I know are by the Grammarians cited, but to little purpose. The Heroical Verse that is distinguished by the dactyl hath been oftentimes attempted in our English tongue, but with passing pitiful success, and no wonder, seeing it is an attempt altogether against the nature of our language. For both the concourse of our monosyllables make our verses unant to slide, and also, if we examine our polysyllables, we shall find few of them, by reason of their heaviness, willing to serve in place of a dactyl. Thence it is that the writers of English heroics do so often repeat Amyntas, Olympus, Aternus, Erinnis, and suchlike borrowed words, to supply the defect of our hardly entreated dactyl. I could in this place set down many ridiculous kinds of dactyls which they use, but that it is not my purpose here to incite men to laughter. If we therefore reject the ductyl as unfit for our use (which of necessity we are enforced to do), there remain only the tambic foot, of which the tambic verse is framed, and the trochee, from which the trochaic numbers have their original. Let us now then examine the property of these two feet, and try if they consent with the nature of our English syllables. And first for the jambies, they fall out so naturally in our tongue, that, if we examine our own writers, we shall find they unawares hit oftentimes upon the true namble numbers, but always aim at them as far as their car without the guidance of art can attain unto. as it shall bereafter more evidently appear. The truckan, foot, which is but an iambic turned over and over, must of force in like manner accord in proportion with our Burish syllables, and so produce an English trochascal verse. Then having these two principal kinds of verses, we may easily out of them derive other forms, as the Latins and Greeks before us have done.

SAMUEL DANIEL A DEFENCE OF RHYME

[2 1603]

TO WILLIAM HERBERT, EARL OF PEMBROKE

THE general custom and use of Rhyme in this kingdom, noble lord, having been so long (as if from a grant of Nature) held unquestionable, made me to imagine that it lay altogether out of the way of contradiction, and was become so natural, as we should never have had a thought to cast it off into reproach, or be made to think that it ill became our language, But now I see, when there is opposition made to all things in the world by words, we must now at length likewise fall to contend for words themselves, and make a question whether they be right or not. For we are told how that our measures go wrong, all rhyming is gross, vulgar, barbarous; which if it be so, we have lost much labour to no purpose; and, for mine own particular. I cannot but blame the fortune of the times and mine own genius, that cast me upon so wrong a course, drawn with the current of custom and an unexamined example. Having been first encouraged or framed thereunto by your most worthy and honourable mother, and receiving the first notion for the formal ordering of those compositions at Wilton-which I must ever acknowledge to have been my best school, and thereof always ain to hold a feeling and grateful memory-, afterward drawn farther on by the well liking and approbation of my worthy lord, the fosterer of me and my Muse, I adventured to bestow all my whole powers therem, perceiving it agreed so well, both with the complexion of the times and mine own constitution, as I found not wherein I nught better employ me. But yet now, upon the great discovery of these new measures, threatening to overthrow the whole state of Rhyme in this kingdom, I must either stand out to defend. or else be forced to forsake myself and give over all. And though presolution and a self-distrust be the most apparent faults of my nature, and that the least therk of reprehension, if it sayour of reason, will as easily state my resolution as any man's living; yet in this care I know not how I am grown more resolved. and, before I sink, willing to examine what those nuwers of undrement are that must bear me down and heat we sit from the station of my profession, which by the law of nature I am set to defend. And the rather for that this detractor (whose commendable the mes, albeit now himself an enemy to Rhyme, have given heretofore to the world the best notice of his worth) is a man of fair parts and good reputation; and therefore the reproach forcibly cast from such a hand may throw down more at once than the labours of many shall in long time build up again, specially upon the slippery foundation of opinion, and the world's inconstancy, which knows not well what it would have, and

> Direct enim citius meminitque libentius illud Qued quis deridet, quam quod probat et veneratur.

And he who is thus become our unkind adversary must pardon us if we be as jealous of our fame and reputation as he is desirous of credit by his new-old art, and must consider that we cannot, in a thing that concerns us to near, but have a feeling of the wrong done, wherein every rhymer in this universal island, as well as myself, stands interested. So that if his charity had equally drawn with his learning, he would have forborne to procure the envy of so powerful a number upon him, from whom he cannot but expect the return of a like measure of blame, and only have made way to his own grace by the proof of his ability, without the disparaging of us, who would have been glad to have stood quietly by him, and

perhaps commended his adventure, seeing that evermore of one-science another be may born, and that these salles made out of the quarter of our set knowledges are the gallant proffers only of attemptive spirity, and commendeable, though they work no other effect than make a bravado: and I know it were Indecens at morosum numit alienae industries modum ponere

We could well have allowed of his numbers, had he not disgraced our Rhyme, which both custom and nature doth most powerfully defend; custom that is before all law, nature that is above all art. Every language hath her proper number or measure fitted to use and delight, which custom, entertaining by the allowance of the ear, doth endenize and make natural. All verse is but a frame of words confined within certain measure, differing from the ordinary speech. and introduced the better to express men's concerts. both for delight and memory. Which frame of words consisting of rhythmus or metrum, number or measure. are disposed into divers fashions, according to the humour of the composer and the set of the time. And these rhythms, as Aristotle saith, are familiar amongst all nations, and e naturali et sponte fusa compositione: and they fall as naturally already in our language as ever art can make them, being such as the ear of itself doth marshal in their proper rooms; and they of themselves will not willingly be put out of their rank, and that in such a verse as best comports with the nature of our language. And for our Rhyme (which is an excellency added to this work of measure, and a harmony far happier than any proportion antiquity could ever show us) doth add more grace, and hath more of delight than ever bare numbers, howsoever they can be forced to run in our slow language, can possibly yield Which, whether it be derived of rhythmus or of romance, which were songs the Bards and Druids about rhymes used, and thereof were called Remensi, as some Italians hold, or howsoever, it is likewise number and barmony of words,

consisting of an agreeing sound in the last syllables of several verses, giving both to the car an echo of a delightful report, and to the memory a deeper impression of what is delivered therein. For as Greek and Latin verse consists of the number and quantity of syllables, so doth the English verse of measure and accent. And though it doth not strictly observe long and short syllables, yet it most religiously respects the accent; and as the short and the long make number. so the arute and grave accent yield harmony. And barmony is likewise number; so that the English verse then hath number, measure, and harmony in the best proportion of music. Which, being more certain and more resounding, works that effect of motion with as happy success as either the Greek or Latin. And so natural a melody is it, and so universal, as it seems to be generally born with all the nations of the world as an hereditary eloquence proper to all mankind. The universality argues the general power of it for if the barbarian use it, then it shows that it sways the affection of the barbarian; if civil nations practise it. it proves that it works upon the beauts of civil nations: if all, then that it hath a power in nature on all. Georgeviez, De Turcarum Monbus, hath an example of the Turkish rhymes just of the measure of our verse of eleven syllables, in feminine rhyme; never begotten. I am persuaded, by any example in Europe, but born no doubt in Scythia, and brought over Caucasus and Mount Taurus. The Sclavonian and Arabian tongues acquaint a great part of Asia and Africa with it: the Moscovite, Polack, Hungarian, German, Italian, French, and Spaniard use no other harmony of words. The Irish, Briton, Scot, Dane, Saxon, English, and all the inhabiters of this island either have hither brought or here found the same in use. And such a force hath it in nature, or so made by nature, as the Latin numbers, notwithstanding their excellence, seemed not sufficient to satisfy the ear of the world thereunto accustomed, without this harmonical cadence: which

made the most learned of all nations labour with exceeding travail to bring those numbers likewise unto it; which many did with that happiness as neither their purity of tongue nor their material contemplations are thereby any way disgraced, but rather deserve to be reveienced of all gratful posterity, with the due regard of their worth. And for Schola Saleria, and those Carmina properbulia, who finds not therein more precepts for use, concerning diet, health, and conversation, than Cato, Theognis, or all the Greeks and Latins can show us in that kind of teaching? and that in so few words, both for delight to the ear and the hold of memory, as they are to be embraced of all modest readers that study to know and not to decrave.

Methinks it is a strange imperfection that menshould thus overrun the estimation of good things with so violent a censure, as though it must please none else because it likes not them whateas Oportei arbitratores esse non contradictores eas qui verim indicaturi sunt, south Aristotle, though he could not observe it himself. And mild charity tells us:

----Non eeo paucis
Offendar maculis quas aut incuria fudit
Aut humana parum cavit natura.

For all men have their errors, and we must take the best of their powers, and leave the rest as not appertaining unto us.

'Ill customs are to be left.' I grant it; but I see not how that can be taken for an ill custom which nature hath thus ratified, all nations received, time so long confirmed, the effects such as it performs those offices of motion for which it is employed; delighting the ear, surring the heart, and satisfying the judgement in such sort as I doubt whether ever single numbers will do in our climate, if they show no more work of wonder than yet we see. And if ever they prove to become anything, it must be by the approbation of

many ages that must give them their strength for any operation, as before the world will feel where the pulse, life, and energy lies; which now we are sure where to have in our rhymes, whose known frame hath these due stays for the mind, those encounters of touch, as made, the motion certain, though the yarrety be infinite.

Nor will the general sort for whom we write (the wise home above books) taste these laboured measures but as an orderly prose when we have all done. For this kind acquaintance and continual familiarity ever had betweet our car and this cadence is grown to so minute a friendship, as it will now hardly ever be brought to miss it. For be the verse never so good, never so full, it seems not to satisfy nor breed that delight, as when it is met and combined with a like sounding accent, which seems as the jointing without which it hangs loose, and cannot subsist, but runs wildly on, like a tedious fancy without a close. Suffer then the world to enjoy that which it knows, and what it likes seeing that whatsoever force of words doth move, delight, and sway the affections of men, in what Scythian sort soever it be disposed or uttered. that is true number, measure, eloquence, and the perfection of speech; which I said hath as many shapes as there be tongues or nations in the world, nor can with all the tyrannical rules of idle rhetoric be governed otherwise than custom and present observation will allow. And being now the trun and fashion of the times, to suit a man otherwise cannot but give a touch of singularity, for when he hath all done, he hath but found other clothes to the same body, and peradventure not so fitting as the former. But could our adversary hereby set up the music of our times to a higher note of judgement and discretion, or could these new laws of words better our imperfections, it were a happy attempt; but when hereby we shall but as it were change pisson, and put off these fetters to receive others, what have we gained? As good still

to use rhyme and a little reason as neither rhyme nor reason; for, no doubt, as idle wits will write in that kind, as do now in this,—imitation will after, though it break her neck. Scribinus indoct doctique pennala passim. And this multitude of idle writers can be no disguace to the good; for the same fortune in one propution or other is proper in a like senson to all states in their turn, and the same unmeasurable confluence of scribblets happened when measures were most in use among the Romans, as we find by this reprehension:

Mutavit mentem populus levis, et calet uno Scribendi studio; pueri[que] patresque severi Fronde comas vincti cenant et carmina diciant.

So that their plenty seems to have bred the same waste and contempt as ours doth now, though it had not power to disvalue what was worthy of posterity. nor keep back the reputation of excellences destined to continue for many ages. For seeing it is matter that satisfies the judicial, appear it in what habit it will, all these pretended proportions of words, howsoever placed, can be but words, and peradventure serve but to embroil our understanding; whilst seeking to please our ear, we enthral our judgement; to delight an exterior sense, we smooth up a weak confused sense, affecting sound to be unsound, and all to seem Servum pecus, only to imitate Greeks and Latins. whose felicity in this kind might be something to themselves, to whom their own idioma was natural; but to us it can yield no other commodity than a sound. We admire them not for their smooth-gliding words, nor their measures, but for their inventions; which treasure if it were to be found in Welsh and Irish, we should hold those languages in the same estimation; and they may thank their sword that made their tongues so famous and universal as they are. For to say truth, their verse is many times but a confused deliverer of their excellent concests, whose scattered limbs we are fain to look out and join together, to discern the image of what they represent unto us. And even the Latins, who profess not to be so licentious as the Greeks, show us many times examples, but of strange crucky in torturing and dismendering of words in the midst, or disjoining such as naturally should be married and march together, by setting them as far asunder as they can turnible stand; that sometimes, unless the kind reader out of his own good nature will stay them up by their measure, thry will full down into flat prose, and sometime, are no other indeed in their natural sound: and then again, when you find them disobedient to their own laws, you must hold it to be licentia poetica. and so dispensable. The striving to show their change. able measures in the variety of their odes have been very painful no doubt unto them, and forced them thus to disturb the quiet stream of their words which by a natural succession otherwise desire to follow in their due rourse.

But such affliction doth laboursome curiosity still lay upon our best delights (which ever must be made strange and variable), as if Art were ordained to afflict Nature, and that we could not go but in fetters. Every science, every profession, must be so wrapped up in unnecessary intrications, as if it were not to laction but to confound the understanding; which makes me much to distrust man, and fear that our presumption goes beyond our ability, and our curiosity is more than our judgement; labouring ever to seem to be more than we are, or laying greater burdens upon our minds than they are well able to bear, because we would not appear like other men.

And indeed I have wished that there were not that multiplicity of rhymes as is used by many in sonnets, which ly et we see in some so happily to succeed, and lath been so far from hindering their inventions, as it lath begot conceit beyond expectation, and comparable to the best inventions of the world, for sure in an enument spirit, whom Nature hath fitted for that mystery. Rhyme is no impediment to his concert, but rather gives him wings to mount, and carries him, not out of his course, but as it were beyond his power to a far happier flight. All excellences being sold us at the hard price of labour, it follows, where we bestow most thereof we buy the best success; and Rhyme, being far more laborious than loose measures (whatsoever is objected), must needs, meeting with wit and industry, breed greater and worthier effects in our language. So that, if our labours have wrought out a manumission from bondage, and that we go at liberty, notwithstanding these ties, we are no longer the slaves of Rhyme, but we make it a most excellent instrument to serve us. Nor is this certain limit observed in sonnets any tyrannical bounding of the concert, but rather reducing it in girum and a just form, neither too long for the shortest project, nor too short for the longest, being but only employed for a present passion. For the body of our imagination being as an unformed chaos without fashion, without day, if by the divine power of the spirit it be wrought into an orb of order and form, is it not more pleasing to Nature, that desires a certainty and comports not with that which is infinite, to have these closes, rather than not to know where to end, or how far to go, especially seeing our passions are often without measure? And we find the best of the Latins many times either not concluding or else otherwise in the end than they began. Resides, is it not most delightful to see much excellently ordered in a small room, or little gallantly disposed and made to fill up a space of like capacity, in such sort that the one would not appear so beautiful in a larger circuit, nor the other do well in a less?which often we find to be so, according to the powers of nature in the workman. And these limited proportions and rests of stanzas, consisting of six, seven, or eight lines, are of that happiness both for the disposition of the matter, the apt planting the sentence where it may best stand to hit, the certain close of delight with the full body of a just period well carried, is such as neither the Greeks or Latins ever attained unto. For their boundless running-on often so confounds the treader, that, having once lost himself, must either give off unsatisfied, or uncertainly cast back to retrieve the excaped sense, and to find way again into this matter.

Methinks we should not so soon yield our consents captive to the authority of antiquity, unless we saw more reason; all our understandings are not to be built by the square of Greece and Italy. We are the children of nature as well as they, we are not so placed out of the way of judgement, but that the same sun of discretion shineth upon us; we have our portion of the same virtues as well as of the same vices: Et Catelinam quocunque in populo videas, quocunque sub axe. Time and the turn of things bring about these faculties according to the present estimation: and Res temporibus non tempora reous service oportet. So that we must never rebel against use: Quem penes arourum est et vis et norma loquends. It is not the observing of trochaics nor their iambics that will make our writings aught the wiser. All their Poesy, all their Philosophy is nothing, unless we bring the discerning light of conceit with us to apply it to use. It is not books, but only that great book of the world and the all-overspreading grace of heaven that makes men truly judicial. Nor can it be but a touch of arrogant ignorance to hold this or that nation barbarous, these or those times gross, considering how this manifold creature man, wheresoever he stand in the world, hath always some disposition of worth, entertains the order of society, affects that which is most in use, and is eminent in some one thing or other that fits his humour and the times, The Grecians held all other nations barbarous but themselves; yet Pyrrhus, when he saw the well-ordered marching of the Romans, which made them see their presumptuous error, could say it was no barbarous manner of proceeding. The Goths, Vandals, and

Lombards, whose coming down like an inundation overwhelmed, as they say, all the glory of learning in Europe, have yet left us still their laws and customs as the originals of most of the provincial constitutions of Christendom, which well considered with their other courses of government may serve to clear them from this imputation of ignorance. And though the vanquished never yet spake well of the conqueror, yet even through the unsound coverings of malediction appear those monuments of truth as argue well their worth and proves them not without judgement, though without Greek and Latin.

Will not experience confute us, if we should say the state of China, which never heard of Anapaestics, Trochees, and Tribrachs, were gross, barbarous, and uncivil? And is it not a most apparent ignorance. both of the succession of learning in Europe and the general course of things, to say that all lay pitifully deformed in those lack-learning times from the declining of the Roman Empire till the light of the Latin tongue was revived by Reuchlin, Erasmus, and More'?--when for three hundred years before them, about the coming down of Tamerlane into Europe, Francis Petrarch (who then no doubt likewise found whom to imitate) showed all the best notions of learning, in that degree of excellency both in Latin, prose and verse, and in the vulgar Italian, as all the wits of posterity have not yet much overmatched him in all kinds to this day: his great volumes in moral philosophy show his infinite reading and most happy power of disposition; his twelve Eclogues, his Africa, containing nine books of the last Punic war, with his three books of Epistles in Latin verse show all the transformations of wit and invention that a spirit naturally born to the inheritance of poetry and judicial knowledge could express: all which notwithstanding wrought him not that glory and fame with his own nation as did his poems in Italian, which they esteem above all whatsoever wit could have invented in any other form than wherein it is; which questionless they will not change with the best measures Greeks or Latins can show them, howsoever our adversary imagines. Not could this very same innovation in verse, began amongst them by C. Tolomer, but die in the attempt, and was buried as soon as it came born, neglected as a produgious and unnatural issue amongst them. Nor could it never induce Tasso, the wonder of Italy, to write that admirable poem of Jeru alem, comparable to the best of the ancients, in any other form than the accustomed verse. And with Petrarch lived his scholar Boccaccio, and near about the same time Johannis Ravenensis, and from these. harryam ev eque Troiano, seems to have issued all those triums Italian writers, Leonardus Arctinus, Laurentus Valla, Poggius, Biondus, and many others. Then Emanuel Chrysolaras, a Constantinopolitan gentleman, renowned for his learning and virtue, being employed by John Paleologus, Emperor of the East. to implore the aid of Christian princes for the succouring of perishing Greece, and understanding in the meantime how Bajazet was taken prisoner by Tamerlane, and his country freed from danger, stayed still at Venice, and there taught the Greek tongue, discontinued before in these parts the space of seven hundred years. Him followed Bessarion, George Trapezuntius, Theodorus Gaza, and others, transporting Philosophy, beaten by the Turk out of Greece. into Christendom. Hereupon came that mighty confluence of Learning in these parts, which, returning as it were per postliminum, and here meeting then with the new invented stamp of Printing, spread itself indeed in a more universal sort than the world ever heretofore had it, when Pomponius Laetus, Aeneas Sylvius, Angelus Politianus, Hermolaus Barbarus, Johannes Picus de Mirandula (the miracle and phoenix of the world) adorned Italy, and wakened other nations likewise with this desire of glory, long before it brought forth Reuchlin, Erasmus, and More

-worthymen, I confess, and the last a great ornament to this land, and a rhymer.

And yet long before all these, and likewise with these, was not our nation behind in her portion of spirit and worthiness, but concurrent with the best of all this lettered world; witness venerable Bede, that flourished above a thousand years since; Aldelmus Durotelmus, that hved in the year 730, of whom we find this commendation registered: Omnum Poetarum sus temporis facile primus, tantae eloquentiae, maiestatis, et eruditionis homo fuit, ut nunquam satis admirari possim unde illi in tam barbara ac rudi aetate facundia accreverit, usque adeo omonibus numeris tersa, elegans, et rotunda, versus edidit cum antiquitate de balma contendentes. Witness Josephus Devonius, who wrote de bello Troumo in so excellent a manner, and so near resembling antiquity. as printing his work beyond the seas they have ascribed it to Cornelius Nepos, one of the ancients. What should I name Walterus Mape, Gulielmus Nigellus, Gervasius Tilburiensis, Bracton, Bacon, Occam, and an infinite catalogue of excellent men, most of them living about four hundred years since, and have left behind them monuments of most protound judgement and learning in all sciences! So that it is but the clouds gathered about our own judgement that makes us think all other ages wrapped up in inists, and the great distance betwixt us that causes us to imagine men so far off to be so little in respect of ourselves.

We must not look upon the immense course of times past as men overlook spacious and wide countries from off high mountains, and are never the near to judge of the true nature of the soil or the particular site and face of those territories they see. Nor must we think, evening the superficial figure of a region in a map, that we know straight the lashion and place as it is. Or reading an history (which is but a map of men, and doth no otherwise acquaint us with the true substance of circumstances than a superficial card doth the seaman with a coast never seen, which always

proves other to the eye than the imagination forecast it), that presently we know all the world, and can distinctly judge of times, men, and manners, just as they were: when the best measure of man is to be taken by his own foot bearing ever the nearest proportion to himself, and is never so far different and uncound in his powers, that he hath all in perfection at one time, and nothing at another. The distribution of citts are universal, and all seasons have them in some wat. We must not think but that there were Scipios. Carsars, Cates, and Pompeys born elsewhere than at Rome: the rest of the world hath ever had them in the same degree of nature, though not of state. And it is our weakness that makes us mistake or misconceive in these delineations of men the true figure of their worth. And our passion and belief is so apt to lead us beyond truth, that unless we try them by the just compass of humanity, and as they were men, we shall cast their figures in the air, when we should make their models upon earth. It is not the contexture of words, but the effects of action, that gives glory to the times; we find they had mercuritim in bectore, though not in lingua; and in all ages, though they were not Ciceronians, they knew the art of men, which only is Ars Artum, the great gift of heaven, and the chief grace and glory on earth; they had the learning of government, and ordering their state; eloquence enough to show their judgements. And it seems the hest times followed Lycurgus's counsel: Literas ad usum saltem discebant, reliqua omnis disciblina eras ut bulchre pararent ut labores preferrent. Ge. Had not unlearned Rome laid the better foundation, and built the stronger frame of an admirable state, eloquent Rome had contounded it utterly, which we saw ran the way of all confusion, the plain course of dissolution, in her greatest skill; and though she had not power to undo herself, yet wrought she so that she east herself quite away from the glory of a commonwealth, and fell upon the form of state she ever most feared and abhorred of all other; and then scarce was there seen any shadow of policy under her first emperors, but the most borrible and gross confusion that could be conceived; notwithstanding, it still endured, preserving not only a monarchy, locked up in her own limits, but therewithal held under her obedience so many nations so far distant, so ill affected, so disorderly commanded and unjustly conquered, as it is not to be attributed to any other fate but to the first frame of that commonwealth; which was so strongly jointed, and with such infinite combinations interlinked as one nail or other ever held up the majesty thereof. There is but one learning, which omnes gentes habent scriptum in cordibus suis, one and the self-same spirit that worketh in all. We have but one body of justice, one body of wisdom throughout the whole world, which is but apparelled according to the fashion of every nation

Eloquence and gay words are not of the substance of wit; it is but the garmsh of a nice time, the ornaments that do but deck the house of a state, and imitatur publicas mores. Hunger is as well satisfied with meat served in pewter as silver. Discretion is the best measure, the rightest foot in what habit soever it run. Erasmus, Reuchlin, and More brought no more wisdom into the world with all their new revived words than we find was before; it bred not a profounder divine than St. Thomas, a greater lawyer than Bartolus, a more acute logician than Scotus; nor are the effects of all this great mass of eloquence so admirable or of that consequence, but that impexa illa antiquitas cao yet compare with them.

Let us go no further but look upon the wonderful architecture of this state of England, and see whether they were deformed times that could give it such a form: where there is no one the least pillar of majesty but was set with most profound judgement, and borne up with the just convenience of prince and people; no court of justice but laid by the rule and square of

Nature, and the best of the best commonwealths that ever were in the world; so strong and substantial as it hath stood against all the storms of factions, both of belief and ambition, which so powerfully beat upon it, and all the temperations alterations of humorous times what sever, being continually in all ages furnished with spirits fit to maintain the majesty of her own receives, and to match in an equal concurrency all other kingdoms round about her with whom it had to generate.

But this innovation, like a viper, must ever make was into the world's opinion, through the bowels of her own breeding, and is always borne with reproach in her mouth, the disgracing others is the best grace it can put on to win reputation of wit; and yet it is never so wise as it would seem, nor doth the world ever get so much by it as it imagineth; which being so often deceived, and seeing it never performs so much as it promises, methinks men should never give more credit unto it. For, let us change never so often. we cannot change man, our imperfections must still run on with us. And therefore the wiser nations have taught men always to use moribus legibusque praesentibus etianist deteriores sint. The Lacedaemonians, when a musician, thinking to win himself credit by his new invention and be before his fellows, had added one string more to his crowd, brake his fiddle and hanished him the city, holding the innovator, though in the least things, dangerous to a public society. It is but a fantastic giddiness to forsake the way of other men. especially where it lies tolerable. Uh nunc est respublica, the simus potius quam dum illam veterem sequimur simus in nulla.

But shall we not tend to perfection? Yes; and that ever best by going on in the course we are in, where we have advantage, being so far onward, of him that is but now setting forth. For we shall never proceed, if we be ever beginning; nor arrive at any certain port, sailing with all winds that blow—non conselect blanta quae saepius transfertur-and therefore let us hold on in the course we have undertaken, and not still be wandering. Perfection is not the portion of man; and if it were, why may we not as well get to it this way as another, and suspect those great undertakers. lest they have conspired with envy to betray our procordings, and put us by the honour of our attempts. with casting us back upon another course, of purpose to overthrow the whole action of glory when we lay the fairest for it, and were so near our hopes? I thank God that I am none of these great scholars, if thus their high knowledges do but give them more eves to look out into uncertainty and confusion, accounting myself rather beholding to my ignorance that hath set me in so low an under-room of conceit with other men, and hath given me as much distrust as it hath done hope, daring not adventure to go alone, but plodding on the plain tract I find beaten by custom and the time, contenting me with what I see in use

And surely methinks these great wits should rather seek to adorn than to disgrace the present; bring something to it, without taking from it what it hath. But it is ever the misfortune of Learning to be wounded by her own hand, Stimulos dat emula virtus, and where there is not ability to match what is, inalice will find out engines, either to disgrace or ruin it, with a perverse encounter of some new appression; and, which is the greatest misery, it must ever proceed from the powers of the best reputation, as if the greatest spirits were ordained to endanger the world, as the gross are to dishonour it, and that we were to expect ab optimic periculum, a pessimis dedecus publicum. Emplation, the strongest pulse that beats in high minds, is oftentimes a wind, but of the worst effect; for whilst the soul comes disappointed of the object it wrought on, it presently forges another, and even cozens itself, and crosses all the world, rather than it will stay to be under her desires, falling out with all it hath, to flatter and make tair that which it would have.

So that it is the ill success of our longings that with Xerxes makes us to whip the sea, and send a cartel of defiance to Mount Athors and the fault laid upon others' weakness is but a presumptuous opinion of our own strength, who must not seem to be mastered. But had our adversary taught us by his own proceedings this way of perfection, and therein framed us a porm of that excellence as should have put down all, and here the masterpiece of these times, we should all have admired him. But to deprave the present form of writing, and to bring us nothing but a few loose and uncharriable epigrams—and yet would make us believe those numbers were come to raise the glory of our language—groth us cause to suspect the performance, and to examine whether this new art constat

sil 1. or aliquid sit dictum quod non sit dictum prius. I trot, we must here imitate the Greeks and Latins. and yet we are here showed to disobey them, even in their own numbers and quantities, taught to produce what they make short, and make short what they produce; make believe to be showed measures in that form we have not seen, and no such matter; told that here is the perfect art of versifying, which in conclusion is yet confessed to be unperfect—as if our adversary, to be opposite to us, were become unfaith. ful to himself, and seeking to lead us out of the way of reputation, bath adventured to intricate and confound him in his own courses, running upon most uneven grounds, with imperfect rules, weak proofs. and unlawful laws. Whereunto the world, I am persuaded, is not so unreasonable as to subscribe, considering the unjust authority of the lawgiver: for who hath constituted him to be the Rhadamanthus, thus to torture syllables and adjudge them their perpetual doom, setting his Theta or mark of condemnation upon them, to endure the appointed sentence of his cruelty. as he shall dispose? As though there were that disobedience in our words, as they would not be ruled or stand in order without so many intricate laws; which would argue a great perverseness amongst them, according to that in pessima republica plurimae leges, or that they were so far gone from the quiet freedom of nature that they must thus be brought back again by force. And now in what case were this poor state of words, if in like sort another tyrant the next year should arise and abrogate these laws and ordain others clean contrary according to his humour, and say that they were only right, the others unjust? What disturbance were there here, to whom should we obey? Were it not far better to hold us fast to our old custom than to stand thus distracted with uncertain laws, wherein Right shall have as many faces as it pleases passion to make it, that wheresoever men's affections stand, it shall still look that way? What trifles doth our unconstant curiosity call up to contend for? what colours are there laid upon indifferent things to make them seem other than they are, as if it were but only to entertain contestation amongst men, who, standing according to the prospective of their own humour, seem to see the self-same things to appear otherwise to them than either they do to other. or are indeed in themselves, being but all one in nature? For what ado have we here? what strange precepts of art about the framing of an nambic verse in our language? which, when all is done, reaches not by a foot, but falleth out to be the plain ancient verse, consisting of ten syllables or five feet, which hath ever been used amongst us time out of mind, and, for all this cunning and counterfeit name, can or will [not] be any other in nature than it bath been ever heretofore; and this new dimeter is but the half of this verse divided in two, and no other than the caesura or breathing-place in the midst thereof, and therefore it had been as good to have put two lines in one, but only to make them seem diverse. Nay, it had been much better for the true English reading and pronouncing thereof, without violating the accent, which now our adversary hath herein most unkindly done: for, being as we are to sound it, according to our English march. we must make a rest, and rare the last syllable, which falls out very unnatural in devolute, funeral, Elizabeth. predigal, and in all the rest, saving the monosyllables. Then follows the English trochaic, which is said to be a simple verse, and so indeed it is, being without rhyme: having here no other grace than that in sound it runs like the known measure of our former ancient yease, endong (as we term it according to the French) in a lemmine foot, saving that it is shorter by one syllable at the beginning, which is not much missed. by reason it falls full at the last. Next comes the elegiac, bring the fourth kind, and that likewise is no other than our old accustomed measure of five feet: if there be any difference, it must be made in the reading, and therein we must stand bound to stay where often we would not, and sometimes either break the accent or the due course of the word. And now for the other four kinds of numbers, which are to be employed for odes, they are either of the same measure. or such as have ever been familiarly used among us.

So that of all these eight several kinds of new promised numbers, you see what we have-only what was our own before, and the same but apparelled in foreign titles; which had they come in their kind and natural attire of rhyme, we should never have suspected that they had affected to be other, or sought to degenerate into strange manners which now we see was the cause why they were turned out of their proper habit, and brought in as allens, only to induce men to admire them as far-comers. But see the power of Nature: it is not all the artificial coverings of wit that can lucle their native and original condition, which breaks out through the strongest bands of affectation. and will be itself, do singularity what it can. And as for those imagined quantities of syllables, which have been ever held free and indifferent in our language. who can enione us to take knowledge of them, being in nullius verba unats, and owing fealty to no loreign

invention? especially in such a case where there is no necessity in Nature, or that it imports either the matter or form, whether it be so or otherwise. But every veisifier that well observes his work finds in our language, without all these unnecessary precepts, what numbers best fit the nature of her idiom, and the proper places destined to such accents as she will not let into any other nooms than in those for which they were born. As for example, you cannot make this fall into the right sound of a verse—

None thinks reward rend'red worthy his worth,

unless you thus misplace the accent upon 'rend'red' and 'worthy', contury to the nature of these words; which showeth that two fermine numbers (or trochees, if so you will call them) will not succeed in the third and fourth place of the verse. And so likewise in this case,

Though death doth consume, yet virtue preserves,

it will not be a verse, though it hath the just syllables, without the same number in the second, and the altering of the fourth place in this sort,

Though death doth ruin, virtue yet preserves.

Again, who knows not that we cannot kindly answer a leminine number with a masculine rhyme, or (if you will so term it) a trochee with a spondee, as weakness with onlifest, nature and nature, only for that thereby we shall wrong the accent, the chief lord and grave governor of numbers? Also you cannot in a verse of four feet place a trochee in the first, without the like offence, as, Xearly out of his wai'ry Cell; for so you shall sound it Yearly, which is unnatural. And other such like observations usually occur, which Nature and a judicial ear of themselves teach us readily to avoid.

But now for whom hath our adversary taken all this pains? For the learned, or for the ignorant, or for himself, to show his own skill? If for the learned, it was to no purpose, for every grammarian in this land hath learned his Prosodia, and already knows all this art of numbers. If for the ignorant, it was vain. for if they become versifiers, we are like to have lean numbers instead of fat rhyme, and if Tully would have his orator skilled in all the knowledges appertaming to God and man, what should they have who would be a degree above orators? Why then it was to show his own skill, and what himself had observed: so he might well have done without doing wrong to me fame of the hving, and wrong to England, in straing to lay reproach upon her native ornaments. and to turn the fair stream and full course of her are ents into the shallow current of a less uncertainty. than out of the way of her known delight I had thought it could never have proceeded from the pen at a scholar (who sees no profession free from the impure mouth of the scorner) to say the repreach of others' idle tongues is the curse of Nature unon us, when it is rather her curse upon him, that knows not how to use his tongue. What, doth he think himself is now gotten so far out of the way of contempt, that his numbers are gone beyond the reach of obleouy, and that, how fravolous or idle soever they shall run, they shall be protected from disgrace? as though that light rhymes and light numbers did not weigh all alike in the grave opinion of the wise. And that is not rhyme but our idle arguments that both brought down to so base a reckoning the price and estimation of writing in this kind; when the few good things of this age, by coming together in one throng and press with the many bad, are not discerned from them, but overlooked with them, and all taken to be alike. But when after-times shall make a quest of enquery, to examine the best of this age. peradventure there will be found in the now contemned records of Rhyme matter not unfitting the gravest divine and severest lawver in this kingdom. But these things must have the date of antiquity to make them reverend and authentical. For ever in the collation of writers men rather weigh their age than their merit, and legunt priscos cum reverentia, quando constantes non possunt sine invidue. And let no writer in thyme be any way discouraged in his endeavour by this brave alarum, but rather animated to bring up all the best of their powers, and charge with all the strength of nature and industry upon contempt, that the show of their real forces may turn back insolency into her own hold. For be sure that innovation never works any overthrow, but upon the advantage of a careless idleness. And let this make us look the better to our feet, the better to our matter, better to our manners. Let the adversary that thought to hurt us bring more profit and honour by being against us than if he had stood still on our side. For that (next to the awe of heaven) the best rein, the strongest hand to make men keep their way, is that which their enemy hears upon them: and let this be the benefit we make by being oppugned, and the means to redeem back the good opinion vanity and idleness have suffered to be won from us; which nothing but substance and matter can effect. For scribendi recte supere est et

brimibum et fons. When we hear music, we must be in our ear in the outer-room of sense, but when we entertain indgement, we retire into the cabinet and innermost withdrawing chamber of the soul. And it is but as music for the ear verba sequi fidibus modulanda Latinis; but it is a work of power for the soul numerosque modusque ediscere pitae. The most judicial and worthy spirits of this land are not so delicate, or will owe so much to their ear, as to rest upon the outside of words, and be entertained with sound; seeing that both number, measure, and rhyme is but as the ground or seat, whereupon is raised the work that commends it, and which may be easily at the first found out by any shallow concert; as we see some fantastic to begin a fashion, which afterward gravity itself is fain to put

on, because it will not be out of the wear of other

men, and recti abud nas locum tenet error ubi publicus factus est. And power and strength that can plant itself anywhere, having built within this compass, and reared it of so high a respect, we now embrace it as the fittest dwelling for our invention, and have thereon bestowed all the substance of our understanding to turnsh it as it is. And therefore here I stand forth, only to make good the place we have thus taken up, and to delend the sacred monuments erected thereus, which contain the honour of the dead. the fame of the hving, the glory of peace, and the best power of our speech; and wherein so many honourable spirits have sacrificed to memory their dearest passions, showing by what divine influence they have been moved, and under what stars they hved.

But yet, notwithstanding all this which I have here delivered in the defence of rhyme, I am not so far in love with mine own mystery, or will seem so floward, as to be against the reformation and the better settling these measures of ours. Wherein there te many things I could wish were more certain and better ordered, though myself dare not take upon me to be a teacher therein, having so much need to learn of others. And I must confess that to mine own car those continual cadences of couplets used in long and continued poems are very turesome and unpleasing, by reason that still, methinks, they run on with a sound of one nature, and a kind of certainty which stuffs the delight rather than entertains it. But yet, notwithstanding, I must not out of mine own daintiness condemn this kind of writing, which peradventure to another may seem most delightful; and many worthy compositions we see to have passed with commendation in that kind. Besides, methinks, sometimes to beguile the ear with a running out, and passing over the rhyme, as no hound to stay us in the line where the violence of the matter will break through, is rather graceful than otherwise,

to seem to have no bounds, albeit he were confined within his measures, to be in my conceit most happy. For so thereby they who care not for verse or rhyme may pass it over with taking notice thereof, and please themselves with a well-measured prove. And I must confess my adversary hath wrought this much upon me, that I think a tragedy would indeed best comport with a blank verse, and dispense with thome. saving in the chorus, or where a sentence shall remy affection.

quite a couplet. And to avoid this over-glutting the ear with that always certain and full encounter of rhyme. I have assayed in some of my Epistles to aller the usual place of meeting, and to set it further off by one verse, to try how I could disuse mine own ear and to ease it of this continual burden which indeed seems to surcharge it a little too much; but as yet I cannot come to please myself therein, this alternate or cross rhyme holding still the best place in Besides, to me this change of number in a poem of one nature fits not so well as to mix uncertainly feminine rhymes with masculine, which ever since I was warned of that deformity by my kind friend and countryman Master Hugh Samford, I have always so gyonded it, as there are not above two couplets in that kind in all my poem of the Civil Wars: and I would willingly if I could have altered it in all the rest, holding feminine rhymes to be fittest for ditties, and either to be set for certain, or else by themselves. But in these things, I say, I dare not take upon me to teach that they ought to be so, in respect myself holds them to be so, or that I think it right: for indeed there is no right in these things that are continually in a wandering motion, carried with the violence of uncertain likings, being but only the time that gives them their power. For if this right or truth should be no other thing than that we make it, we shall shape it into a thousand figures, men, and recti apud nos locum tenet error ubi publicus factus est. And power and strength that can plant itself anywhere, having built within this compass, and reared it of so high a respect, we now embrace it as the fittest dwelling for our invention, and have thereon bestowed all the substance of our understanding to furnsh it as it is. And therefore here I stand forth, only to make good the place we have thus taken up, and to defend the sacred monuments erected therein, which contain the honour of the dead. the fame of the living, the glory of peace, and the hest power of our speech; and wherein so many honourable spirits have sacrificed to memory their rlearest passions, showing by what divine influence they have been moved, and under what stars they lived.

But yet, notwithstanding all this which I have here delivered in the defence of rhyme, I am not so far in love with mine own mystery, or will seem so froward, as to be against the reformation and the better settling these measures of ours. Wherein there he many things I could wish were more certain and better ordered, though myself date not take upon me to be a teacher therein, having so much need to learn of others. And I must confess that to mine own car those continual cadences of couplets used in long and continued poems are very tiresome and unpleasing, by reason that still, methinks, they run on with a sound of one nature, and a kind of certainty which stuffs the delight rather than entertains it. But yet, nots nistanding. I must not out of mine own daintiness condemn this kind of writing, which peradventure to another may seem most delightful; and many worthy compositions we see to have passed with commendation in that kind. Besides, methinks, sometimes to beguile the car with a running out. and passing over the rhyme, as no bound to stay us in the line where the violence of the matter will break through, is rather graceful than otherwise.

Wherein I find my Homer-Lucan, as if he gloried to seem to have no bounds, albeit he were confined within his measures, to be in my conceit most happy. For so thereby they who care not for verse or rhyme may pass it over with taking notice thereof, and please themselves with a well-measured prose. And I must confess my adversary hath wrought this much upon me, that I think a tragedy would indeed best comport with a blank verse, and dispense with thyme. saving in the chorus, or where a sentence shall reguile a couplet. And to avoid this over-glutting the ear with that always certain and full encounter of rhyme, I have assayed in some of my Epistles to alter the usual place of meeting, and to set it further off by one verse, to try how I could disuse mine own car and to ease it of this continual burden which indeed seems to surcharge it a little too much; but as yet I cannot come to please myself therein, this alternate or cross rhyme holding still the best place in my affection.

Besides, to me this change of number in a poem of one nature fits not so well as to mix uncertainly feminine rhymes with masculine, which ever since I was warned of that deformity by my kind friend and countryman Master Hugh Samford, I have always so avoided it, as there are not above two couplets in that kind in all my poem of the Civil Wars: and I would willingly if I could have altered it in all the rest, holding feminine rhymes to be fittest for ditties, and either to be set for certain, or else by themselves. But in these things, I say, I dare not take upon me to teach that they ought to be so, in respect myself holds them to he so, or that I think it right: for indeed there is no right in these things that are continually in a wandering motion, carried with the violence of uncertain likings, being but only the time that gives them their power. For if this right or truth should be no other thing than that we make it, we shall shape it into a thousand figures, seeing this excellent painter, Man, can so well lay the colours which himself grinds in his own affections, as that he will make them serve for any shadow and any counterfeit. But the greatest hinderer to our proceedings and the reformation of our errors is this self-love, whereunto we versifiers are ever noted to be specially subject; a disease of all other the most dangerous and incurable, being once seated in the spirits, for which there is no cure but only by a specitual remody. Multos puto ad sabientiam potusse percentre, non putassent se percenisse; and this opinion of our with rency makes so great a crack in our judgement, as it will hardly ever hold anything of worth. Caesus amor sui; and though it would seem to see all without it, yet certainly it discerns but little within. For there is not the simplest writer that will ever tell himself he doth ill, but, as if he were the parasite only to sooth his own doings, persuades him that his lines cannot but please others which so much delight himself. Suffenus est quisque sibi

> -neque (dem unquam Aeque est beatus, ac poema cum seribit. Tam gaudet in se tamque se ibse intratur.

And the more to show that he is so, we shall see him evermore in all places, and to all persons repeating his own compositions; and

Quem cero arripuit, tenel, acciditque legendo.

Next to this deformity stands our affectation, wherein we always betray ourselves to be both unkind and
unnatural to our own native language, in disguising
or forcing strange or unusual words, as if it were to
make our verse seem another kind of speech out of
the course of our usual practice, displacing our words,
or inventing new, only upon a singularity, when our
own accustomed phrase, set in the due place, would
express us more familiarly and to better delight than
all this idle affectation of antiquity or novelty can
ever do. And I cannot but wonder at the strange

presumption of some men, that dare so audaciously adventure to introduce any whatsoever foreign words, be they never so strange, and of themselves, as it were, without a parliament, without any consent or allowance, establish them as free denizens in our language. But this is but a character of that perpetual revolution which we see to be in all things that never remain the same; and we must herein be content to submit ourselves to the law of time, which in few years will make all that for which we now contend nothing.

FRANCIS BACON

THE NATURE OF POETRY

[From The Advancement of Learning, Book II, 1605]

TABLE pars of human learning have reference to the three parts of man's understanding, which is the sort of learning; History to his memory, Poesy in Ira magnation, and Philosophy to his reason. Heating heating in the same, though the revolution of martle and sense be diverse, so as Theology consolved also of History of the Church, of Parables, which it him Poesy, and of holy Doctrine or Precept. For as for that part which seemeth supernumerary, which is Prophecy, it is but Divine History, which hath that percognitive over human as the narration may be before the fact as well as after.

History is natural, ravil, ecclesiastical, and literary,

whereof the three first I allow as extant, the fourth I note as deficient. For no man hath propounded to himself the general state of learning to be described and represented from age to age, as many have done the works of Nature and the State civil and ecclesiastical, without which the History of the world "eem-th to me to be as the statua of Polyphemus with his eye out, that part being wanting which doth most show the spirit and life of the person. And yet I am not unorant that in divers particular sciences, as of the Juniconsults, the Mathematicians, the Rhetoricam, the Philosophers, there are set down some small memorals of the schools, authors, and books; and so likewise some barren relations touching the invention of arts or usages. But a just story of learning, contuning the antiquities and originals of knowledges and their sects, their inventions, their traditions, their diverse admunstrations and managings, their flourishings, their oppositions, decays, depressions, oblivious, removes, with the causes and occasions of them, and all other events concerning learning throughout the ages of the world, I may truly affirm to be wanting. The use and end of which work I do not so much design for curiosity or satisfaction of those that are the lovers of learning, but chiefly for a more serious and grave purpose, which is this in few words, that it will make learned men wise in the use and administration of learning. For it is not Saint Augustine's nor Saint Augustine's works that will make so wise a divine as Ecclesiastical History thoroughly lead and observed, and the same reason is of Learning.

Poesy is a part of Learning in measure of words for the most part restrained, but in all other points extremely hocased, and doth truly refer to the imagination, which, being not used to the laws of matter, may at pleasure join that which Nature hath severed, and sever that which Nature hath joined, and so make unlawful matches and divorces of things Pictoribus atque Poetis, &c It is taken in two senses in respect of words or matter. In the first sense it is but a character of style, and belongeth to aits of speech, and is not pertinent for the present. In the later it is, as a hath been said, one of the principal pointons of learning, and is nothing else but retorid pointons which may be styled as well in prose as in verse.

The use of this prication to the mind of man in those points wherein the nature of things doth deny it, the world being in proportion interior to the soul, by reason whereof there is agrecable to the spirit of man a more ample greatness, a more exact goodness, and a more absolute variety than can be found in the nature of things. Therefore, because the acts or events of true History have not that magnitude which satisfieth the mind of man, Poesy fugneth acts and events greater and more heroical, because

true History propoundeth the successes and issues of actions not so agreeable to the ments of virtue and vice, therefore Poesy feigns them more just in retribution and more according to revealed Providence; because true History representeth actions and events more ordinary and less interchanged, therefore Poesy endurth them with more rareness and more unexpected and alternative variations; so as it appeareth that Poesy serveth and conferreth to magnanimity. morality, and to delectation. And therefore it was ever thright to have some participation of divineness. because it doth raise and erect the mind, by submitting the shows of things to the desires of the mind. whereas reason doth buckle and how the mind unto the nature of thungs. And we see that by these in mustions and congruities with man's nature and pleasure, joined also with the agreement and consort it hath with music, it hath had access and estimation in rude times and barbarous regions, where other learning stood excluded.

The division of Poesy which is aptest in the propriety thereof (besides those divisions which are common unto it with History, as feigned chronicles, feigned lives, and the appendices of History, as feigned enistles, feigned orations, and the rest) is into Poesy Narrative, Representative, and Allusive. The Nairative is a mere mutation of History with the excesses before remembered, choosing for subject commonly wars and love, rarely state, and sometimes pleasure or mirth. Representative is as a visible History, and is an image of actions as if they were present, as History is of actions in nature as they are, that is past; Allusive, or Parabolical, is a narration applied only to express some special purpose or conceit; which later kind of parabolical wisdom was much more in use in the ancient times, as by the Fables of Aesop, and the brief sentences of the Seven, and the use of hieroglyphics may appear. And the cause was for that it was then of necessity to express any point of reason which was more sharp or subtle than the vulgar in that manner, because men in those times wanted both variety of examples and subtlety of conceit and as hieroglyphics were before letters, so parables were before arguments; and nevertheless now and at all times they do retain much life and vigour, because reason cannot be sensible, nor examples so fit

But there remains the yet another use of Policy paraholical opposite to that which we last mentioned, for that tends the demonstrate and illustrate thit which is taught or delivered, and this other to retire and obscure it that is, when the secrets and mysterics of Religion, Policy, or Philosophy, are involved in fables or parables. Of this in divine Poesy we see the use is authorized. In heathen Poesy we see the exposition of fables doth fall out sometimes with great felicity, as in the fable that the Giants heing overthrown in their war against the Gods, the Earth their mother in revenge thereof brought forth Tame.

Illam Ierra Parens ira irritata Deorum, Extremam, ut perhibent, Cico Enceladoque Sororem Procentat

expounded, that when princes and monarchs have suppressed actual and open rebels, then the malignity of people, which is the mother of Rebellion, doth bring forth libels and slanders, and taxations of the states, which is of the same kind with rebellion, but more feminine; so in the fable that the rest of the gods having conspired to bind Jupiter, Pallas called Briareus with his hundred hands to his aid, expounded, that monarchies need not fear any curbing of their absoluteness by mighty subjects, as long as by wisdom they keep the hearts of the people, who will be sure to come in on their side so in the fable that Achilles was brought up under Chiron the Centaur, who was part a man and part a beast, expounded ingenuously but corruptly by Machiavell, that it belongeth to the education and discipline of 92 princes to know as well how to play the part of the lion in violence and the fox in guile, as of the man in virtue and justice. Nevertheless, in many the like encounters. I do rather think that the fable was first and the exposition devised than that the moral was hest and thereupon the fable hamed. For I find it was an ancient vanity in Chrysippus that troubled himsell with great contention to fasten the assertions of the Stones upon the fictions of the ancient poets: but yet that all the fables and fictions of the poets were but pleasure and not figure, I interpose no re incon. Surely of those poets which are now extant, even Hower himself (notwithstanding he was made a kin lot perir ture by the later schools of the Grecians). set I should without any difficulty pronounce that mattall shad no such inwardness in his own meaning; but they might have, upon a more original tradition, is not easy to allirm, for he was not the agent it of many of them. In this third part of Learning which is Poesy, I can report no deficiency. For being as a plant that cometh of the lust of the earth, without a formal seed, it hath splung up and suread abroad, more than any other kind, but to asembe unto it that which is due for the expressing of affections, passions, corruptions, and customs, we are beholden to Poets more than to the Philosophers' works, and for wit and eloquence not much less than to Orators' harangues.

BEN JONSON

Extracts from TIMBER, OR DISCOVERIES

(1620-35)

i. De Shakesbeare nostrat

I REMEMBER the players have often mentioned It as an honour to Shakespeare, that in his writing, whatsoever he penned, he never blotted out a line, My answer hath been, 'Would he had blotted a thousand,' which they thought a malevolent speech, I had not told posterity this but for their ignorance who chose that circumstance to commend their friend by wherein he most faulted; and to justify mine own candour, for I loved the man, and do honour his memory on this side idolatry as much as any He was, indeed, honest, and of an open and free nature; had an excellent fantasy, brave notions, and gentle expressions, wherein he flowed with that facility that sometimes it was necessary he should be stopped. 'Sufflammandus erat,' as Augustus said of Haterius His wit was in his own power; would the rule of it had been so, too! Many times he fell into those things, could not escape laughter, as when he said in the person of Gaesar, one speaking to him, 'Caesar, thou dost me wrong.' He replied, 'Caesar did never wrong but with just cause," and such like, which were adjeulous. But he redeemed his vices with his virtues. There was ever more in him to be praised than to be pardoned.

ii. Ingeniorum Discrimma

It cannot but come to pass that these men who commonly seek to do more than enough may sometimes happen on something that is good and great; but very seldom: and when it comes, it doth not

recompense the rest of their ill. For their jests and their sentences, which they only and ambitiously seek for, stick out and are more eminent, because all is sordid and vile about them; as lights are more discerned in a thick darkness than a faint shadow. Now because they speak all they can, however unfitly. they are thought to have the greater copy. Where the learned use ever election and a mean they look back to what they intended at first, and make all an even and proportioned body. The true artificer will not run away from Nature, as he were afraid of her, or depart from life and the likeness of Truth. but speak to the capacity of his hearers. And though his language differ from the vulgar somewhat, it shall not by from all humanity, with the Tamerlanes and Tamer-Chams of the late age, which had nothing in them but the scenical structure and furious vocifriation to warrant them to the ignorant gapers. He knows it is his only art so to carry it, as none but artificers perceive it. In the meantime perhaps he is called barren, dull, lean, a poor writer, or by what contumehous words can come in their cheeks, by these men who, without labour, judgement, knowledge, or almost sense, are received or preferred before him. He gratulates them and their fortune. Another age or juster men will acknowledge the virtues of his studies, his wisdom in dividing, his subtilty in arguing, with what strength he doth inspire his readers, with what sweetness he strokes them: in inveighing, what sharpness; in jest, what urbanity he uses: how he doth reign in men's affections; how invade and break in upon them, and makes their minds like the thing he writes. Then in his elocution, to behold what word is proper, which hath ornament, which height, what is beautifully translated, where figures are fit, which gentle, which strong to show the composition manly; and how he hath avoided faint, obscure, obscene, sordid, humble, improper, or effeminate phrase, which is not only praised of the

most, but commended, which is worse, especially for that it is naught.

iii. Scriptorum Catalogus

Cicero is said to be the only wit that the people of Rome had equalled to their Empire. Ingenium par impaio. We have had many, and in their several ages (to take in but the former seculum) Sir Thomas More, the elder Wyatt, Henry Earl of Surrey, Chaloner, Smith, Elyot, B. Gardiner, were for their times admirable; and the more because they began eloquence with us. Su Nicholas Bacon was singular and almost alone in the beginning of Oueen Elizabeth's times. Sir Philip Sidney and Mr. Hooker, in different matter, grew great masters of wit and language, and in whom all vigour of invention and strength of judgement met. The Earl of Essex, noble and high, and Sir Walter Raleigh, not to be contemned either for judgement or style; Sir Henry Savile, grave and truly lettered; Sir Edwin Sandes, excellent in both; Lord Egerton, the Chancellor, a grave and great orator, and best when he was provoked. But his learned and able, though unfortunate, successor is he who hath filled up all numbers, and performed that in our tongue which may be compared or preferred either to insolent Greece or haughty Rome. In short, within his view and about his times were all the wits born that could honour a language or help study. Now things daily fall; wits grow downward and eloquence grows backward; so that he may be named and stand as the mark and drun of our language.

I have ever observed it to have been the office of a wise patriot, among the greatest affairs of the state, to take care of the commonwealth of learning. For schools, they are the seminances of state; and nothing is worthier the study of a statesman than that part of the republic which we call the advancement of letters. Witness the care of Julius Caesar, who in the heat of the civil war with his books of Analogy, and

dedicated them to Tully. This made the late Lord St. Albans entitle his work Nomin Organium, which, though by the most of superficial men, who cannot get beyond the title of Nominals, it is not penetrated nor understood, it really openeth all defects of Learning whatsoever, and is a book

Qui longum noto scriptori porriget aevum.

iv. Praecipiendi Modi

I take this labour in teaching others, that they should not be always to be taught, and I would bring my precepts into practice. For rules are ever of less force and value than experiments, yet with this purpose, rather to show the right way to those that come after, than to detect any that have slipped before by error; and I hope it will be more profitable for men do more willingly listen, and with more favour, to precept than reprehension. Among diverse opinions of an act, and most of them contrary in themselves, it is hard to make election; and therefore, though a man cannot invent new things after so many, he may do a welcome work yet to help posterity to judge rightly of the old. But arts and precepts avail nothing, except Nature be beneficial and aiding. And therefore these things are no more written to a dull disposition than rules of husbandry to a barren soil. No precepts will profit a fool, no more than beauty will the blind, or music the deaf. As we should take care that our style in writing be neither dry nor empty, we should look again it be not winding, or wanton with far-fetched descriptions; either is a vice. But that is worse which proceeds out of want than that which riots out of plenty. The remedy of fruitfulness is easy, but no labour will help the contrary. I will like and praise some things in a young writer which yet, if he continue in, I cannot but justly hate him for the same. There is a time to be given all things for maturity, and that even your country

husbandman can teach, who to a young plant will not put the pruning knife, because it seems to fear the iron, as not able to admit the scar. No more would I tell a green writer all his faults, lest I should make him grieve and faint, and at last despair. For nothing doth more hurt than to make hun so afraid of all things as he can endeayour nothing. Therefore youth ought to be instructed betinies, and in the best things; for we hold those longest we take soonest; as the first scent of a vessel lasts, and that tract the wool first receives. Therefore a master should temper his own powers, and descend to the other's infinity. If you pour a glut of water upon a bottle, it receives little of it; but with a funnel, and by degrees, you shall fill many of them, and spill little of your own; to their capacity they will all receive and be hill. And as it is fit to read the best authors to youth first, so let them be of the openest and clearest: as Livy before Sallust, Sidney before Donne; and beware of letting them taste Gower or Chaucer at first, lest falling too much in love with antiquity, and not apprehending the weight, they grow rough and barren in language only. When their judgements are firm and out of danger, let them read both the old and the new; but no less take heed that their new flowers and sweetness do not as much corrupt as the others' dryness and squalor, if they choose not carefully Spenser, in affecting the ancients, writ no language: yet I would have him read for his matter, but as Virgil read Ennius The reading of Homer and Virgil is counselled by Quintilian as the best way of informing youth and confirming man. For, besides that the mind is raised with the height and sublimity of such a verse, it takes spirit from the greatness of the matter, and is tincted with the best things. Tragic and Lyric Poetry is good too; and Comic with the best, if the manners of the reader be once in safety. In the Creek poets, as also in Plautus, we shall see the economy and disposition of poems better observed than in Terence and the later, who thought the sole grace and virtue of their fable the sticking in of sentences, as ours do the forcing in of jests.

TO HIT MITUORY OF MY BELOVED MAYILR WILLIAM SHAKESPEARE AND WHAT HE HAIH LEFT US

AI IIL IMIII LAII OS

[1623]

To draw no ency, Shakespeare, on thy name. Am I thus ample to thy book and fame: While I confess thy writings to be such, As neither man, nor Muse, can praise too much, "I've true, and all men's suffrage. But these ways Were not the paths I meant unto thy praise, I or silicat ignorance on these may light, Which, when it sounds at best, but echoes right: Or blind affection, which doth ne'er advance tue truth, but gropes, and urgeth all by chance: Or craft, makes might pretend this praise, And think to run, where it seem'd to raise. These are, as some infamous bawd, or whore, Should praise a matron; what could hurt her more? But thuu art proof against them, and, indeed, Above the ill fortune of them, or the need. I therefore will hegin. Soul of the age! The applause! delight! the wonder of our stage! My Shakespeare, rise! I will not lodge thee by Chaucer, or Spenser, or bid Beaumont he A little further to make thee a room Thou art a monument without a tomb. And art alive still, while thy book doth live, And we have wits to read, and praise to give. That I not mux thee so, my brain excuses, I mean with great, but disproportion'd Muses: I or if I thought my judgement were of years, I should commit thre surely with thy peers,

And tell how far thou didst our Lyly outshine, Or sporting Kyd, or Marlowe's mighty line. And though thou hadst small Latin and less Greek, From thence to honour thee, I will not seek For names; but call forth thund'ring Aeschylus. Europides, and Sophocles to us. Pacuvius, Accius, hun of Gordova dead. To life again, to hear thy bushin tread, And shake a stage: or when thy socks were on, Leave thee alone for the comparison Of all, that insolent Greece, or Laughty Rome Sent forth, or since did from their ashes come, Triumph, my Britain, thou hast one to show, To whom all scenes of Europe homage owe. He was not of an age, but for all time! And all the Muses still were in their prime, When like Apollo, he came forth to warm Our ears, or like a Mercury to chaim! Nature herself was proud of his designs, And joy'd to wear the dressing of his lines! Which were so richly spun; and woven so fit, As, since, she will youchsafe no other wit, The merry Greek, tart Austophanes, Neat Terence, witty Plautus, now not please: But antiquated and deserted lie, As they were not of nature's family. Yet must I not give nature all, thy art, My gentle Shakespeare, must enjoy a part, For though the poet's matter nature be. His art doth give the fashion: and, that he Who casts to write a living line, must sweat, (Such as thine are) and strike the second heat Upon the Muses' anvil, turn the same, And himself with it, that he thinks to frame: Or for the laurel, he may gain a scoin; For a good poet's made, as well as born And such wert thou! Look how the father's face Lives in his issue, even so the race Of Shakespeare's mind and manners brightly shines

JONSON

100

In his well turned and true filed lines: In 4 a h of which he serms to shake a lance, As brandish'd at the eyes of ignorance. Sweet Swan of Avon' what a sight it were To see thee in our waters yet appear, And make thor flights upon the banks of Thames, That so did take flire, and our James' But stry! I see thee in the hemisphare Advanced, and in ide a constellation there! Share furth, thou star of poets, and with rage, Or infloence, clude, or cheer the diooping stage, Which, since thy flight from hence, hath mouth'd like night,

And despairs day, but for thy volume's light.

JOHN MILTON

PREFACE TO SAMSON AGONISTES

[1671]

Of that sort of Dramatic Poem which is called Tragedy

TRAGEDY, as it was anciently composed, hath I been ever held the gravest, moralest, and most profitable of all other poems; therefore said by Aristotle to be of power, by raising pity and fear, or terror. to purge the mind of those and such like passions, that is, to temper and reduce them to just measure with a kind of delight, stirred up by reading or seeing those passions well imitated. Nor is Nature wanting in her own effects to make good his assertion; for so, in Physic, things of melancholic hue and quality are used against melancholy, sour against sour, salt to remove salt humours. Hence philosophers and other gravest writers, as Cicero, Plutarch, and others, frequently cite out of Tragic poets, both to adorn and illustrate their discourse. The Apostle Paul humself thought it not unworthy to insert a verse of Euripides into the text of Holy Scripture, 1 Cor No. 33; and Paraeus, commenting on the Revelation, divides the whole book, as a tragedy, into acts, distinguished each by a Chorus of heavenly harpings and song between. Heretofore men in highest dignity have laboured not a little to be thought able to compose a tragedy. Of that honour Dionyssus the elder was no less ambitious than before of his attaining to the tyranny. Augustus Caesar also had begun his Ajax, but, unable to please his own judgement with what he had begun, left it unfinished. Seneca the Philosopher is by some thought the author of those tragedies (at least the best of them) that go under that name. Gregory Nazianzen, a

l'ather of the Church, thought it not unbesceming the sametity of his person to write a tragedy, which he entitled Christ Suffering. This is mentioned to vindicate Transly from the small esteem, or rather udanty, which in the account of many it undergoes at this day, with other common interludes; happening through the poets' error of intermixing comic stuff with tragic sidness and gravity, or introducing triordand valgar persons; which by all judicious hath h a counted aboud, and brought in without discretion, corruptly to gratify the people. And though ancient It, gody use no Prologue, yet using sometimes. in case of self defence or explanation, that which Murtial calls an Lpistle, in behalf of this tragedy. coming forth after the ancient manner, much different from what among us passes for best, thus much belorehand may be epistled: that Chorus is here introduced after the Greek manner, not ancient only but modern, and still in use among the Italians. In the modelling therefore of this poem, with good reason, the ancients and Italians are rather followed. as of much more authority and fame. The measure of verse used in the Chorus is of all sorts, called by the Greeks Monostrophic, or rather Apolelymenon. without regard had to strophe, anustrophe, or epodes which were a kind of stanzas framed only for the music, then used with the Chorus that sung, not essential to the poem, and therefore not material. or being divided into stanzas or pauses, they may be called Alloeostropha. Division into Act and Scene. referring chiefly to the stage (to which this work never was intended), is here omitted,

It suffices if the whole drama be found not produced beyond the fifth act; of the style and uniformity, and that commonly colled the plot, whether intecate or explicit—which is nothing indeed but such economy or disposition of the fable as may stand best with versimitude and decorum—they only will best judge who are not unacquainted with Aeschylag.

PREFACE TO SAMSON AGONISTES

103 Sophocles, and Euripides, the three tragic poets unequalled yet by any, and the best rule to all who endeavour to write Tragedy. The circumscription of time wherein the whole Diama begins and ends, is, according to ancient rule and best example, within the space of twenty-four hours.

JOHN DRYDEN

AN ESSAY OF DRAMATIC POESY

[1668]

TI was that memorable day, in the first summer L of the late war, when our navy engaged the Dutch -a day wherrin the two most mighty and best ar conted fleets which any age had ever seen, disputed the command of the greater half of the globe. tle commerce of nations, and the riches of the utdverse. While these vast floating bodies, on either sale, moved against each other in parallel lines, and our countrymen, under the happy conduct of his royal highness, went breaking, by little and little, into the line of the enemies; the noise of the cannon from both navies reached our ears about the city, so that all men being alarmed with it, and in a dreadful sustiense of the event, which they knew was then deciding, every one went following the sound as his fancy led him; and leaving the town almost empty. some took towards the park, some cross the river, others down it; all seeking the noise in the depth of silence.

Among the rest, it was the fortune of Eugenius, Crites, Lisideus, and Neander, to be in company together; three of them persons whom their wit and quality have made known to all the town; and whom I have chose to hide under these borrowed names, that they may not suffer by so ill a relation as I am going to make of their discourse.

2. Taking then a barge, which a servant of Listeleius had provided for them, they made haste to shoot the bridge, and left behind them that great fall of waters which hindered them from hearing what they desired; after which, having disengaged themselves from many vessels which rode at anchor in the Thames, and almost blocked up the passage towards Greenwich, they ordered the watermen to let fall their oars more gently; and then, every one favouring his own curiosity with a strict silence, it was not long ere they perceived the air to break about them like the noise of distant thunder, or of swallows in a chimney-those little undulations of sound. though almost vanishing before they reached them. vet still seeming to retain somewhat of their first horror, which they had betwixt the fleets. After they had attentively listened till such time as the sound by little and little went from them, Eugenius, lifting up his head, and taking notice of it, was the first who congratulated to the rest that happy omen of our nation's victory; adding, that we had but this to desire in confirmation of it, that we might hear no more of that noise, which was now leaving the English coast. When the rest had concurred in the same opinion, Crites, a person of a sharp judgement, and somewhat too delicate a taste in wit (which the world have mistaken in him for ill-nature), said, smiling to us, that if the conceinment of this battle had not been so exceeding great, he could scarce have wished the victory at the price he knew he must pay for it. in being subject to the reading and hearing of so many ill verses as he was sure would be made on that subject. Adding, that no argument could escane some of those eternal rhymers, who watch a battle with more dilurence than the ravens and birds of prev: and the worst of them surest to be first in upon the quarry while the better able, either out of modesty writ not at all, or set that due value upon their noems, as to let them be often desired and long expected. 'There are some of those impertment people of whom you speak,' answered Lisidents, 'who to my knowledge are already so provided, either way, that they can produce not only a panegyric upon the victory, but, if need be, a luneral elegy on the duke; wherein, after they have crowned his valour with many laurels, they will at last deplote the odds under which he iril, concluding that his courage deserved a better destiny.' All the company smiled at the concert of Luideius; but Crites, more eager than before, began to make particular exceptions against some writers, and said, the public magistrate ought to send betimes to forbid them; and that it concerned the peace and quet of all honest people, that ill met should be as well silenced as seditious preachers, In my opinion,' replied Eugenius, 'you pursue your point too lai, for as to my own particular, I am so great a lover of porsy, that I could wish them all rewarded, who attempt but to do well, at least, I would not have them worse used than one of their has three was by Sylla the Dictator: Quem in commone 11/145 (SESS Tully) cum et libellum malus poeta de populo subjectset, qued chigramma in cum fecisset tantummodo alternis versibus longiusculis, statim ex irs rebus quas tuna cerest at rulere er praemum tribus, sub ea conditione ne aud to ea "ribert," 'I could wish with all my heart," replied (rites, 'that many whom we know were as boundfully thanked upon the same condition,-that they would never trouble us again. For amongst others. I have a mortal apprehension of two poets, whom this victory, with the help of both her wings, will never be able to escape." "Tis easy to guess whem you intend, said Lisideius; and without naming them, I ask you, if one of them does not perpetually pay us with elenches upon words, and a certain clownsh Lind of raillery? it now and then he does not olar at a catachresis or Clevelandism, wresting and torturing a word into another meaning, in fine, if he be not one of those whom the French would call un maut as bouffon; one who is so much a well-willer to the satire, that he intends at least to spare no man; and though he cannot strike a blow to hurt any, yet he ought to be punished for the malice of the action, as our witches are justly hanged, because they think themselves to be such; and suffer deservedly for believing they did mischief, because they meant it.' 'You have described him,' said Crites, 'so exactly, that I am afraid to come after you with my other extremity of poetry. He is one of those who, having had some advantage of education and converse, knows better than the other what a poet should be, but puts it into practice more unluckily than any man; his style and matter are everywhere alike; he is the most calm, peaceable writer you ever read he never disquiets your passions with the least concernment, but still leaves you in as even a temper as he lound you; he is a very leveller in poetry, he creeps along with ten little words in every line, and helps out his numbers with For to, and Unto, and all the pretty expletives he can find, till he drags them to the end of another line: while the sense is left tired half way behind it; he doubly starves all his verses, hist for want of thought, and then of expression; his poetry neither has wit in it, nor seems to have it; like him in Martial:

Pauper inders Cinna vult, et est pauper.

'He affects plainness, to cover his want of imagination, when he writes the scrouss way, he lighest
flight of his fancy is some miserable antithesis, or
seeming contradiction; and in the comic he is still
reaching at some thin concert, the ghost of a jest, and
that too flies before him, never to be caucht; these
swallows which we see before us on the Thanues are
the just resemblance of his wit, you may observe how
near the water they stoop, how many proffers they
make to dip, and yet how seldom they touch it; and
when they do, it is but the surface; they slam over it
but to catch a gnat, and then mount into the air
and leave it.'

3. 'Well, gentlemen,' said Eugenius, 'you may speak your pleasure of these authors, but though I and some few more about the town may give you a peaceable hearing, yet assure yourselves, there are mulintudes who would think you maheious and them many laurels, they will at last deplore the odds under which he fell, concluding that his courage deserved a better de tiny,' All the company smiled at the contest of Ludeius; but Castes, more eager than before, began to make particular exceptions against some writers, and said, the public magistrate ought to send between to forbid them, and that it concerned the prace and quiet of all honest people, that ill prets should be as well silenced as sechnious preachers. In my opinion, terhed Eugenius, 'you pursue your print too far; for as to my own particular, I am so great a lover of persy, that I could wish them all rewarded, who attempt but to do well, at least, I would not have them worse used than one of their In them was by Sylla the Dictator .- Quem in concione tomus (says Tully) cum es tebellum malus poeta de bobulo xx1 must, cuch etigramma in eum ferisset tantummodo alto nes versibus lenguiscules, statim ex us rebus quas tune teri'dat palere el praemium tribut, sub ea conditione ne and form ambut." I could with with all my heart. replied (rites, that many whom we know were as boundfully thanked upon the same condition,-that they would never trouble us again. For amongst otlers. I have a mortal apprehension of two poets. whom this victory, with the help of both her wings, will never be able to escape " "Tis easy to guess whem you intend,' said Lisideius; 'and without naming them, I ask you, if one of them does not perpetually ray us with elenches upon words, and a certain clowinsh kind of raillery? if now and then he does not offer at a catachresis or Clevelandism, wresting and torturing a word into another meaning; in fine, if he be not one of those whom the French would call un materate bouffen; one who is so much a well-willer to the saure, that he intends at least to spare no man; and though he cannot strike a blow to hurt any. yet he ought to be punished for the malice of the action, as our witches are justly hanged, because they think themselves to be such; and suffer deservedly for believing they did mischief, because they meant it.' 'You have described him,' said Crites, 'so exactly, that I am afraid to come after you with my other entremity of poetry. He is one of those who, having had some advantage of education and converse, knows better than the other what a poet should be, but puts it into practice more unluckily than any man; his style and matter are everywhere alike; he is the most calm. peaceable writer you ever read; he never disquiets your passions with the least concernment, but still leaves you in as even a temper as he found you: he is a very leveller in poetry; he ereeps along with ten little words in every line, and helps out his numbers with For to, and Unto, and all the pretty expletives he can find, till he drags them to the end of another line; while the sense is left tired half way behind it: he doubly starves all his verses, first for want of thought, and then of expression, his poetry neither has wit in it, nor seems to have it, like him in Martial:

Pauper viders Cinna vull, et est pauper.

'He affects plainness, to cover his want of imagination, when he writes the serious way, the highest hight of his fancy is some miserable antithesis, or seeming contradiction, and in the comic he is still reaching at some thin conceit, the ghost of a jest, and hat too flies before him, never to be caught; these swallows which we see before us on the Thames are the just resemblance of his writ; you may nhorier show make to dip, and yet how seldom they touch it; and when they do, it is but the surface: they skim over it but to catch a gnat, and then mount into the air and leave it.'

3 'Well, gentlemen,' said Eugenius, 'you may speak your pleasure of these authors, but though I and some few more about the town may give you a peaceable hearing, yet assure yourselves, there are multitudes who would think you malicious and them 80r injured: especially him whom you first described; he is the very Withers of the city: they have bought more relitions of his works than would serve to lay under all their pies at the lord mayor's Christmas. When his famous poem first came out in the year 1660. I have seen them reading it in the midst of 'Change time; nav, so vehement they were at it, that they lost their bargain by the candles' ends, but what will you say, if he has been received amongst great persons? I ran assure you he is, this day, the envy of one who is lord in the art of quibbling; and who does not take it well, that any man should intrude so far into his province, 'All I would wish,' replied Crites, 'is. that they who love his writings, may still admire him, and his fellow poet. Our Bavium non odit, &c., is curse sufficient.' 'And farther,' added Lasideius, 'I believe there is no man who writes well, but would think he had hard measure, if their admirers should praise anything of his: Nam quos contemnimus, corum quoque laudes contemumus ' 'There are so few who write well in this age, said Crites, 'that methinks any praises should be welcome; they neither rise to the dignity of the last age, nor to any of the ancients: and we may cry out of the writers of this time, with more reason than Petronius of his, Pace vestra litted dixisse, primi omnium eloquentiam perdidistis: you have debauched the true old poetry so far, that Nature, which is the soul of it, is not in any of your writings. 4. 'If your quarrel,' said Eugenius, 'to those who now write, he grounded only on your reverence to antiquity, there is no man more ready to adore those great Greeks and Romans than I am but on the other side, I cannot think so contemptibly of the age in which I live, or so dishonourably of my own country, as not to judge we equal the ancients in most kinds of pocsy, and in some surpass them: neither know I any reason why I may not be as

zealous for the reputation of our age, as we find the ancients themselves were in reference to those who lived before them. For you hear your Horace saying,

Indignor quidquam reprehendi, non quia crassé Compositum, illepideve putetur, sed quia mijer.

And after:

St meltora diet, ut vina, poemata reddit, Scire velom, pretium chartis quotus arroget annus?

But I see I am engaging in a wide dispute, where the arguments are not like to reach close on either side, for poesy is of so large an extent, and so many both of the ancients and mode is have done well in all kinds of it, that in eiting one against the other, we shall take up more time this evening than each man's occasions will allow him; therefore I would ask Crites to what part of poesy he would conhie his arguments, and whether he would defend the general cause of the ancients against the moderns, or oppose any age of the moderns against this of ours?

5. Crites, a little while considering upon this demand, told Eugenius, that if he pleased, he would limit their dispute to Dramatic Poesy, in which he thought it not difficult to prove, either that the ancients were superior to the moderns, or the last age to this of ours

Eugenus was somewhat surprised, when he head Crites make choice of that subject. 'For aught I see,' said he, 'I have undertaken a harder province than I imagined, for though I never judged the plays of the Greek or Roman poets comparable to ours, yet, on the other side, those we now see acted come short of many which were written in the last age but my comfort is, if we are overcome, it will be only by our own countrymen: and if we yield to them in this one part of poesy, we more surpass them in all the other. For in the epic or lyric way, it will be hard for them to show us one such amongst them, as we have many now living, or who lately were, they can produce nothing so courtly writ, or which

expresses to much the conversation of a gentleman, as Sir John Surkling; nothing so even, sweet, and flowing, as Mr. Waller; nothing so majestic, so correct, as Sir John Denham; nothing so elevated, so copious, and full of spirit, as Mr. Cowley. As for the Italian, French, and Spanish plays, I can make it evident that those who now write surpass them; and that the diatura is wholly ours.

All of them were thus far of Eugenius his opinion, that the sweetness of English verse was never understood or practised by our lathers; even Cartes himself did not much oppose it; and every one was willing to acknowledge how much our poesy is improved by the happiness of some writers yet living; who first much it is to mould our thoughts into easy and significant words,—to retrench the superfluites of expression,—and to make our rhyme so properly a part of the verse, that it should never muslead the sense, but itselv be led and governed by it.

6. Engenius was going to continue this discourse, when Ludeius told him that it was necessary, before they proceeded further, to take a standing measure of their controversy; for how was it possible to be decided who writ the best plays, before we know what a play should be? But, this once agreed on by both parties, each might have recourse to it, either to prove his own advantages, or to discover the failures of his adversary.

He had no scorer said this, but all desired the favour of him to give the definition of a play; and they were the more importunate, because neither Armode, nor Horace, nor any other who had writ of that subject, had ever done it.

Livideius, after some modest denials, at last confeared he had a rude notion of it; indeed, rather a description than a definition; but which served to guide him in his private thoughts, when he was to make a judgement of what others writ: that he conceived a play ought to be, A just and heely image of human nature, representing its passions and humans, and the changes of fortune to which it is subject, for the delight and instruction of mankind.

This definition, though Crites raised a logical objection against it—that it was only a genue et fine, and so not altogether perfect—was yet well received by the rost; and after they had given order to the watermen to turn their barge, and low softly, that they might take the cool of the evening in their return, Crites, being desired by the company to begin, spoke on behalf of the ancients, in this imminer:

'If confidence presage a victory, Eugenius, in his own opinion, has already triumphed over the ancients' nothing seems more easy to him, than to overcome those whom it is our greatest praise to have imitated well; for we do not only build upon their foundations, but by their models Dramate Poesy had time enough, reckoning from 'Thespis (who first invented it) to Aristophanes, to be born, to grow up, and to flourish in maturity. If has been observed of arts and sciences, that in one and the same century they have arrived to great perfection; and no wonder, since every age has a kind of universal genius, which inclines those that live in it to some particular studies; the work then, being pushed on by many hands, must of necessity we forward.

'Is it not evident, in these last hundred years, when the study of philosophy has been the business of all the virtuosi in Christendom, that almost a new nature has been revealed to us? that more errors of the School have been detected, more useful experiments in philosophy have been made, more noble secrets in optics, medicine, anatomy, astronomy discovered, than in all those credulous and doting ages from Aristotle to us?—so true it is, that nothing spreads more fast than science, when rightly and generally cultivated.

'Add to this, the more than common emulation that was in those times of writing well; which though

it be found in all ages and all persons that pretend to the same reputation, yet poesy, being then in more esteem than now it is, had greater honours decreed to the professors of it, and consequently the rivalship was more high between them; they had judges ordained to decide their ment, and prizes to reward it: and historians have been diligent to record of Aesthylus, Euripides, Sophocles, Lycophron, and the rest of them, both who they were that vanquished in these wars of the theatre, and how often they were crossied while the Asian kings and Grecian commonwealths scarce afforded them a nobler subject than the unmanly luxuries of a debauched court, or giddy intrigues of a factious city: Alit aemulatio ingenia (says Paterculus), et nunc invidia, nunc admiratio incitationem accendit: 'emulation is the spur of wit, and sometimes envy, sometimes admiration, quickens our endeavours.3

'But now, since the rewards of honour are taken away, that virtuous emulation is turned into direct malac; yet so slothful, that it contents itself to condemn and cry down others, without attempting to do better; it is a reputation too unprofitable, to take the necessary pains for it; yet, wishing they had it, that desire is incitement enough to hinder others from it. And this, in short, Eugenius, is the reason why you have now so few good poets, and so many severe judges. Certainly, to imitate the ancients well, much labour and long study is required; which pains, I have already shown, our poets would want encouragement to take, if yet they had ability to go through the work. Those ancients have been faithful imitators and wise observers of that nature which is so torn and ill represented in our plays; they have handed down to us a perfect resemblance of her; which we, like ill copiers, neglecting to look on, have rendered monstrous, and disfigured. But, that you may know how much you are intlebted to those your masters, and be ashamed to have so ill requited them. I must remember you, that all the rules by which we practise the drama at this day, (either such as relate to the justness and symmetry of the plot, or the episodical ornaments, such as descriptions, narrations. and other beauties, which are not essential to the play), were delivered to us from the observations which Aristotle made of those poets who either lived before him, or were his contemporaries; we have added nothing of our own, except we have the confidence to say our wit is better; of which, none boast in this our age, but such as understand not theirs. Of that book which Aristotle has left us, ment the Постикув, Horace his Art of Poetry is an excellent comment, and, I believe, restores to us that second book of his concerning Comedy, which is wanting in him.

'Out of these two have been extracted the famous rules, which the French call *Des Tross Unités*, or, The Three Unities, which ought to be observed in every regular play; namely, of time, place, and action.

The unity of time they comprehend in twentyfour hours, the compass of a natural day, or as near as it can be contrived, and the reason of it is obvious to every one,-that the time of the ferened action, or fable of the play, should be proportioned as near as can be to the duration of that time in which it is represented: since therefore, all plays are acted on the theatre in the space of time much within the compass of twenty-four hours, that play is to be thought the nearest imitation of nature, whose plot or action is confined within that time; and, by the same rule which concludes this general proportion of time, it follows, that all the parts of it are (as near as may be) to be equally subdivided; namely, that one act take not up the supposed time of half a day, which is out of proportion to the rest; since the other four are then to be straightened within the compass of the remaining half; for it is unnatural that one act, which being spoke or written is not longer than the rest, should be supposed longer by the audience; it is therefore the poet's duty, to take care that no act should be imagined to exceed the time in which it is represented on the stage; and that the intervals and inequalities of time be supposed to fall out between the acts.

This rule of time, how well it has been observed by the ancients most of their plays will witness; you see them in their tragelies (wherein it follow this rule, is critically most difficult), from the very beginning of their plays, falling close into that part of the story which they intend for the action or principal object of it, leaving the former part to be delivered by narration; so that they set the audience, as it were, at the post where the race is to be concluded; and, saving them the tedious expectation of seeing the poet set out and ride the beginning of the course, they suffer you not to behold him, till he is in sight of the goal and, just upon you.

For the second unity, which is that of place, the ancients meant by it, that the scene ought to be continued through the play, in the same place where it was laid in the beginning: for, the stage on which it is represented being but one and the same place, it is unnatural to conceive it many, -and those far distant from one another. I will not deny but, by the varmtion of painted scenes, the fancy, which in these cases will contribute to its own deceit, may sometimes imagine it several places, with some appearance of probability; yet it still carries the greater likelihood of truth, if those places be supposed so near each other, as in the same town or city, which may all be comprehended under the larger denomination of one place; for a greater distance will bear no proportion to the shortness of time which is allotted, in the acting, to pass from one of them to another; for the observation of this, next to the ancients, the French are to be most commended. They tie themselves so strictly to the unity of place, that you never see in

any of their plays, a scene changed in the middle of an act; if the act begins in a garden, a street, or chamber, 'it's ended in the same place; and that you may know it to be the same, the stage is so supplied with persons, that it is never empty all the time. he who enters second has business with him who was on before; and before the second quits the stage, a third appears who has business with him. This Conseille calls la hatson des sches, the continuity or joining of the scenes, and 'it's a good mark of a well-contrived play, when all the persons are known to each other, and every one of timen has some affairs with all the rest.

'As for the third unity, which is that of action, the ancients meant no other by it than what the logicians do by their finis, the end or scope of any action-that which is the first in intention, and last in execution: now the poet is to aim at one great and complete action, to the carrying on of which all things in his play, even the very obstacles, are to be subservient: and the reason of this is as evident as any of the former. For two actions, equally laboured and driven on by the writer, would destroy the unity of the poem; it would be no longer one play, but two: not but that there may be many actions in a play, as Ben Jonson has observed in his Discoveries, but they must be all subservient to the great one (which our language happily expresses in the name of under-plots): such as in Terence's Eunuch is the difference and reconcilement of Thais and Phaedria, which is not the chief business of the play, but promotes the marriage of Chaerea and Chremes's sister, principally intended by the poet. There ought to be but one action, says Corneille, that is, one complete action, which leaves the mind of the audience in a full repose; but this cannot be brought to pass but by many other imperfect actions, which conduce to it, and hold the audience in a delightful suspense of what will be.

'If by these rules (to omit many other drawn from the precepts and practice of the ancients) we should judge our modern plays, 'tas probable that few of them would endure the trial: that which should be the husiness of a day, takes up in some of them an age; instead uf one action, they are the epitomes of a man's life; and for one spot of ground, which the stage should represent, we are sometimes in more countries than the map can show us.

'But if we allow the antients to have contrived well. we must acknowledge them to have written better. Questionless we are deprived of a great stock of with in the loss of Menander among the Greek poets. and of Caecilius, Afranius, and Varius, among the Romans: we may guess at Menander's excellency by the plays of Terence, who translated some of his and yet wanted so much of him, that he was called by G. Caesar the half-Menander; and may judge of Varue, by the testimonies of Hurace, Martial, and Velleius Paterculus. 'Tis probable that these, could they be recovered, would decide the controversy: but so long as Aristophanes and Plautus are extant. while the tragedies of Euripides, Sophocles, and Seneca, are in our hands, I can never see one of those plays which are now written, but it increases my admiration of the ancients. And yet I must acknowledge further, that to admire them as we ought, we should understand them better than we do. Doubtless many things appear flat to us, the wit of which depended on some custom or story which never came to our knowledge; or perhaps on some criticism in their language, which being so long dead, and only remaining in their books, 'tis not possible they should make us understand perfectly. To read Macrobius. explaining the propriety and elegancy of many words in Virgil, which I had before passed over without consideration as common things, is enough to assure me that I ought to think the same of Terence; and that in the purity of his style (which Tully so much valued that he ever carried his works about him) there is yet left in him great room for admiration,

if I knew but where to place it. In the meantime I must desire you to take notice, that the greatest man of the last age, Ben Jonson, was willing to give place to them in all things: he was not only a professed imitator of Horace, but a learned plaguary of all the others; you track him everywhere in their snow; if Horace, Lucan, Petronius Arbiter, Seneca, and Juvenal, had their own from him, there are few serious thoughts which are new in him; you will pardon me, therefore, if I presume he loved their fashion, when he wore their clothes But since I have otherwise a great veneration for him, and you, Eugenius, prefer him above all other poets, I will use no further argument to you than his example: I will produce before you Father Ben, dressed in all the ornaments and colours of the ancients; you will need no other guide to our party, if you follow him, and whether you consider the bad plays of our age, or regard the good plays of the last, both the best and worst of the modern poets will equally instruct you to admire the ancients.'

Crites had no sooner left speaking, but Eugenius, who had waited with some impatience for it, thus

began:

If have observed in your speech, that the former part of it is convincing as to what the moderns have profited by the rules of the ancients; but in the latter you are careful to conceal how much they have excelled them; we own all the belps we have from them, and want neither veneration nor gratitude, while we acknowledge that, to overcome them, we must make use of the advantages we have received from them; but to these assistances we have joined our own industry; for, had we sat down with a dull imitation of them, we might then have lost somewhat of the old perfection, but never acquired any that was new. We draw not therefore after their lines, but those of nature; and having the life before us, besides the experience of all they knew, it is no wonder if we hit

some airs and features which they have missed. I denv not what you urge of arts and sciences, that they have flourished in some ages more than others; but your instance in philosophy makes for me: for if natural causes be more known now than in the time of Aristotle, because more studied, it follows that poesy and other arts may, with the same pains, arrive still nearer to perfection; and, that granted, it will rest for you to prove that they wrought more perfect images of human life than we; which seeing in your discourse you have avoided to make good, it shall now he my task to show you some part of their defects. and some few excellencies of the moderns. And I think there is none among us can imagine I do it enviously, or with purpose to detract from them; for what interest of same or profit can the living lose by the reputation of the dead? On the other side, it is a great truth which Velleius Paterculus affirms: Audita visis libentius laudamus; et praesentia invidia. praeterita admiratione prosequimur; et his nos obrui, illis instrui credimus, that praise or censure is certainly the most sincere, which unbribed posterity shall give us.

Be pleased then in the first place to take notice, that the Greek poesy, which Crites has affirmed to have arrived to perfection in the reign of the old comedy, was so far from it, that the distinction of it into acts was not known to them; or if it were, it is yet so darkly delivered to us that we cannot make it out,

'All we know of it is, from the singing of their Chorus; and that too is so uncertain, that in some of their plays we have reason to conjecture they sing more than five times. Aristotle indeed divides the integral parts of a play into four. First, the Prolaus, or entiance, which gives light only to the characters of the persons, and proceeds very little into any part of the action. Secondly, the Epitaris, or working up of the plot; where the play grows warmer, the design or action of it is drawing on, and you see something promising that it will come to pass. Thirdly, the

Catastasis, called by the Romans, Status, the height and full growth of the play we may call it properly the counterturn, which destroys that expectation, embroils the action in new difficulties, and leaves you iar distant from that hope in which it found you, as you may have observed in a violent stream resisted by a narrow passage, - it runs round to an eddy, and carries back the waters with more swiftness than it brought them on Lastly, the Catastrophe, which the Grecians called Assors, the French le dénovement, and we the thiscovery, or unravelling of the plot; there you see all things settling again upon their first foundations; and, the obstacles which hurdered the design or action of the play once removed, it ends with that resemblance of truth and nature, that the audience are satisfied with the conduct of it. Thus this great man delivered to us the image of a play. and I must confess it is so lively, that from thence much bight has been derived to the forming it more perfectly into acts and scenes, but what poet first limited to five the number of the acts. I know not: only we see it so famly established in the time of Horace, that he gives it for a rule in comedy. Neu brevior quinto, neu sit productior actu. So that you see the Grecians cannot be said to have consummated this art, writing rather by entrances, than by acts, and having rather a general indigested notion of a play, than knowing how and where to bestow the particular graces of it.

But since the Spaniards at this day allow but three acts, which they call Jornadas, to a play, and the Italians in many of theirs follow thern, when I condemn the ancients, I declare it is not altogether because they have not five acts to every play, but because they have not contined themselves to one certain number: it is building a house without a model; and when they succeeded in such undertakings, they ought to have sacrificed to Fortune, not to the Muscs.

and often rear mpaymaror governs, and from him the Romans Fabula; it has already been judiciously observed by a late writer, that in their tragedies it was only some tale derived from Thebes or Troy, or at least something that happened in these two ages: which was worn so threadbare by the pens of all the cuic poets, and even by tradition itself of the talkative Greeklings (as Ben Jonson calls them), that before it came upon the stage, it was already known to all the audience; and the people, so soon as ever they heard the name of Oedipus, knew as well as the poet, that he had killed his lather by a mistake, and committed incest with his mother, before the play: that they were now to hear of a great plague, an oracle, and the ghost of Laius: so that they sat with a yawning kind of expectation, till he was to come with his eyes pulled out, and speak a hundred or more verses in a tragic tone, in complaint of his misfortunes. But one Oudipus, Hercules, or Medea, had been tolerable: poor people, they escaped not so good cheap; they had still the chapon bouille set before them, till their appetites were cloved with the same dish, and, the novelty being gone, the pleasure vanished, so that one main end of Dramatic Poesy in its definition, which was to

cause delight, was of consequence destroyed. 'In their comedies, the Romans generally borrowed their plots from the Greek poets, and theirs was commonly a little gul stolen or wandered from her parents, brought back unknown to the city, there falling into the kands of some young fellow, who, by the help of his servant, cheats his father; and when her tune comes, to cry, June Launa, for open, one or other sees a little box or cabinet which was carried away with her, and so discovers her to her friends, it some god do not prevent it, by coming down in a machine, and taking the thanks of it to himself.

By the plot you may guess much of the characters of the persons. An old father, who would willingly,

before he dies, see his son well married; his debauched son, kind in his nature to his mistress, but miscrably in want of money; a servant or slave, who has so much wit to strike in with him, and help to dune his father; a braggadocio captain, a parasite, and a lady of pleasure.

As for the poor honest maid, on whom the story is built, and who ought to he one of the principal actors in the play, she is commonly a mute in it; she has the breeding of the old Elizabeth way, which was for maids to be seen and not to be heard, and it is enough you know she is willing to be married when the fitth act requires it.

'These are plots built after the Italian mode of houses,-you see through them all at once; the characters are indeed the imitation of nature, but so narrow, as if they had imitated only an eye or an hand, and did not date to venture on the lines of a face, or the proportion of a body.

But in how straight a compass soever they have bounded their plots and characters, we will pass it by, if they have regularly pursued them, and perfeetly observed those three unities of time, place, and action; the knowledge of which you say is derived to us from them. But in the first place give me leave to tell you, that the unity of place, however it might be practised by them, was never any of their rules; we neither find it in Aristotle, Horace, or any who have written of it, till in our age the French poets first made it a precept of the stage. The unity of time, even Terence himself, who was the best and most regular of them, has neglected; his Heautontymorumenos, or Self-Punisher, takes up visibly two days, says Scaliger; the two first acts concluding the first day, the three last the day ensuing; and Euripides, in tying himself to one day, has committed an absurdity never to be forgiven him; for in one of his tragedies he has made Theseus go from Athens to Thebes, which was about forty English miles, under the walls of it to give battle, and appear victorious in the next act, and yet, from the time of his departme to the return of the Nuntus, who gives the relation of his victory, Acthra and the chorus have but thirty-six verses; which is not for every mile a verse.

The like error is as evident in Terence his Eunuch, when Lathres, the old man, enters by mistake into the house of Thais: where, betweet his exit and the entrance of Pythias, who comes to give ample relation of the disorders he has raised within, Parmeno, who was left upon the stage, has not above five lines to speak. C'est been employer un temps si court, says the French poet, who funnished me with one of the observations and almost all their tragedies will afford us examples of the like nature.

'It is true they have kept the continuity, or, as you called it, haison des scènes, somewhat better: two do not perpetually come in together, talk, and go out together; and other two succeed them, and do the same throughout the act, which the English call by the name of single scenes; but the reason is, because they have seldom above two or three scenes, properly so called, in every act; for it is to be accounted a new scene, not only every time the stage is empty; but every person who enters, though to others, makes it so; because he introduces a new business. Now the plots of their plays being narrow, and the persons tew, one of their acts was written in a less compass than one of our well-wrought scenes; and yet they are often deficient even in this. To go no further than Terence; you find in the Eunuch. Antipho entering single in the midst of the third act, after Chremes and Pythias were gone off; in the same play you have likewise Dorias beginning the fourth act alone; and after she had made a relation of what was done at the Soldier's entertainment (which by the way was very inartificial, because she was presumed to speak directly to the audience, and to acquaint them with what was necessary to be known, but yet should have

been so contrived by the poet as to have been told by persons of the drama to one another, and so by them to have come to the knowledge of the people), she quits the stage, and Phaedria enters next, alone likewise he also gives you an account of himself, and of his returning from the country, in monologue; to which unnatural way of narration Terence is subject in all his plays. In his Adelphi, or brothers, Syrus and Demea enter after the scene was broken by the departure of Sostrata, Geta, and Cauthara, and indeed you can scarce look into any of his connecties, where you will not presently discover the same interruption.

'But as they have failed both in laying of their plots, and in the management, swerving from the rules of their own art by misrepresenting nature to us, in which they have ill satisfied one intention of a play, which was delight; so in the instructive part they have erred worse instead of punishing vice and rewarding virtue, they have often shown a prosperous wickedness, and an unhappy piety: they have set before us a bloody image of revenge in Medea, and given her dragons to convey her safe from punishment; a Priam and Astyanax murdered, and (assandia ravished, and the lust and murder ending in the victory of him who acted them, in short, there is no indecorum in any of our modern plays, which if I would excuse, I could not shadow with some authority from the ancients.

'And one further note of them let me leave you: tragedies and comedies were not wnt then as they are now, promiscuously, by the same person, but he who found his genus bending to the one, never attempted the other way. This is so plain, that I need not instance to you, that Aristophanes, Plautus, Terence, never any of them with a tragedy; Asschylus, Euripides, Sophoeles, and Seneca, never meddled with comedy: the sock and buskin were not worn by the same poet. Having then so much care to excel in one kind, very little is to be pardoned them, if

they miscarried in it; and this would lead me to the consideration of their wit, had not Crites given me sufficient warning not to be too bold in my judgement of it; because, the languages being dead, and many of the customs and little accidents on which it depended lost to us, we are not competent judges of it. But though I grant that here and there we may miss the application of a proverb or a custom, yet a thing well saul will be wit in all languages; and though it may lose something in the translation, yet to him who reach it in the original, 'tis still the same: he has an idea of its excellency, though it cannot pass from his mind into any other expression or words than these in which he finds it. When Phaedria, in the h, wah, had a command from his mistress to be alment two days, and, encouraging himself to go through with it, said. Tandem ego non illa caream, si sit abus, sel totum triduum? Parmeno, to mock the softness of his master, lifting up his hands and eyes, cries out. as it were in admiration. Hui universum tridium the elegancy of which universum, though it cannot be rendered in our language, yet leaves an impression on our souls; but this happens seldom in him, in Plautus oftener, who is infinitely too bold in his metaphors and coining words, out of which many times his wit is nothing; which questionless was one reason why Horace falls upon him so severely in those verses:

Sed proavs nostre Plantinos et numeros et Landovere soles, nimium patientes utrumque, Ne dicam stolidè.

For Horace himself was cautious to obtrude a new word on his readers, and makes custom and common use the best measure of receiving it into our writings:

Multa renascentur quae nunc [iam] ceculere, cadentque Quae nunc runt in honore vocabula, si volet usus, Quem penes arbitrium est, et sus, et norma loquendi.

The not observing this rule is that which the world has blamed in our satirist, Cleveland: to express a

thing hard and unnaturally, is his new way of elocution. 'Tis true, no poet but may sometimes use a catachresis: Virgil does it.—

Mistaque ridenti colorasia fundet acantho-

in his eclogue of Pollio; and in his seventh Accord,

— mirautur et undaz, Miratur nemus invuetum fulgentia longe Scuta virum fluvio pictavque umare curinas.

And Ovid once so modestly that he asks leave to do it:

> - quem, se verbo audacia detur, Haud metuam summi divesse Palatia caeli.

calling the court of Jupiter by the name of Augustus his palace; though in another place he is more bold. where he says, et longes visent Capitolia bombas. But to do this always, and never be able to write a line without it, though it may be admired by some few pedants, will not pass upon those who know that wit is best conveyed to us in the most easy language; and is most to be admired when a great thought comes dressed in words so commonly received that it is understood by the meanest apprehensions, as the best meat is the most easily digested; but we cannot read a verse of Cleveland's without making a face at it, as if every word were a pill to swallow, he gives us many times a hard nut to break our teeth, without a kernel for our pains. So that there is this difference betwixt his saures and Doctor Donne's; that the one gives us deep thoughts in common language, though rough cadence; the other gives us common thoughts in abstruse words: 'tis true, in some places his wit is independent of his words, as in that of the Rebel Scot:

Had Cain been Scot, God would have chang'd his doom; Not forc'd him wander, but confin'd him home

'Si sue omnia dixessat! This is wit in all languages:

it is like mercury, never to be lost or killed:—and so that other—

For beauty, like white powder, makes no noise, And yet the alent hypocrite destroys.

You see, the last line is highly metaphorical, but it is so soft and gentle, that it does not shock us as we read it.

But to return from whence I have digressed, to the consideration of the ancients' writing, and their wit (of which by this time you will grant us in some measure to be fit judges). Though I see many excellent thoughts in Seneca, yet he of them who had a genius most proper for the stage, was Ovid, he had a way of writing so fit to stir up a pleasing admiration and concernment, which are the objects of a tragedy, and to show the various movements of a soul combat-15/2 betweet two different passions, that, had he lived in our age, or in his own could have writ with our advantages, noman but must have yielded to him, and therefore I am confident the Medea is none of his: for, though I esteem it for the gravity and sententiousness of it, which he himself concludes to be suitable to a tragedy,-Omne genus scripti gravitate tragoedia vincit,yet at mover not my smal emough at judge abot he who in the epic way wrote things so near the drama as the story of Myrrha, of Caunus and Biblis, and the rest, should stir up no more concernment where he most endeavoured it. The masterpiece of Seneca I hold to be that scene in the Troades, where Ulysses is seeking for Astyanax to kill him, there you see the tenderness of a mother so represented in Andromache that it raises compassion to a high degree in the reader, and bears the nearest resemblance of anything in the tragedies of the ancients to the excellent scenes of passion in Shakespeare, or in Fletcher: for love icenes, you will find few among them; their tragic neets dealt not with that soft passion, but with lust, cruelty, revenge, amhition, and those bloody actions they produced; which were more capable of raising horror than compassion in an audience; leaving love untouched, whose gentleness would have tempered them; which is the most frequent of all the passions, and which, being the private concernment of every person, is soothed by viewing its own image in a public entertainment.

'Among their comedies, we find a scene or two of tenderness, and that where you would least expect it, in Plantus; but to speak generally, their lovers say little, when they see each other, but anima mea, vila mea: Zwh nei buyn, as the women in Juvenal's time used to cry out in the fury of their kindness. Any sudden gust of passion (as an ecstasy of love in an unexpected meeting) cannot better be expressed than in a word and a sigh, breaking one another. Nature is dumb on such occasions, and to make her speak. would be to represent her unlike heiself. But there are a thousand other concernments of lovers, as jealousies, complaints, contrivances, and the like, where not to open their minds at large to each other were to be wanting to their own love, and to the expectation of the audience; who watch the movements of their minds, as much as the changes of their fortunes. For the imaging of the first is properly the work of a poet; the latter he burrows from the historian."

Eugenius was proceeding in that part of his discourse, when Crites interrupted him. 'I see,' said he, Eugenius and I are never like to have this question decided betwist us; for he maintains the moderns have acquired a new perfection in writing; I can only grant they have altered the mode of it. Homer described his heroes men of great appetites, lovers of beef broiled upon the coals, and good fellows; contrary to the piactice of the French Romances, whose heroes neither eat, nor drink, nor sleep, for love, Virgil makes Aeneas a bold avower of his own virtues:

Sum pius Aeneas, fama super aethera notus;

which, in the civility of our poets, is the character of a fantaron or Hector: for with us the knight takes occasion to walk out, or sleep, to avoid the vanity of telling his own story, which the trusty squire is ever to perform for him. So in their love scenes, of which Lucenius spoke last, the ancients were more hearty. we more talkative; they writ love as it was then the mode to make it; and I will grant thus much to Lugenus, that perhaps one of their poets, had he lived in our age, si foret hoe nostrum fato delabsus in anum (as Horace says of Lucihus), he had altered many things; not that they were not natural before, but that he might accommodate himself to the age in which he lived. Yet in the mean time we are not to conclude anything rashly against those great men. but preserve to them the dignity of masters, and give that honour to their memories, quos Libiting sucravit, part of which we expect may be paid to us in future times."

This moderation of Crites, as it was pleasing to all the company, so it put an end to that dispute; which Eugenius, who seemed to have the better of the argument, would urge no farther; but Lisideius, after he had acknowledged himself of Eugenius his opinion concerning the ancients, yet told him, he had forborne, till his discourse were ended, to ask him why he preferred the English plays above those of other nations? and whether we ought not to submit our stage to the exactness of our next neighbours?

Though,' said Eugenius, 'I am at all times ready to defend the honour of my country against the French, and to maintain we are as well able to vanquish them with our pens, as our ancestors have been with their swords; yet, if you please,' added he, looking upon Neander, 'I will commit this cause to my friend's management; his opinion of our plays is the same with mine: and besides, there is no reason that Crites and I, who have now left the stage, should reenter so suddenly upon it; which is against the laws of comedy.'

'If the question had been stated,' replied Lisidenia. 'who had writ best, the French or English, forty years ago. I should have been of your opinion, and adjudged the honour to our own nation, but since that time,' (said he, turning towards Neander), 'we have been so long together bad Englishmen, that we had not leasure to be good poets. Beaumont, Fletcher, and lonson (who were only capable of bringing us to that degree of perfection which we have), were just then leaving the world; as if in an age of so much horror. wit, and those milder studies of humanity, had no further business among us. But the Muses, who ever follow peace, went to plant in another country: it was then, that the great Cardinal of Richelieu began to take them into his protection, and that, by his encouragement, Corneille, and some other Frenchmen, reformed their theatre (which before was as much below ours, as it now surpasses it and the jest of Europe). But because Crites in his discourse for the ancients has prevented me, by observing many rules of the stage which the moderns have borrowed from them, I shall only, in short, demand of you, whether you are not convinced that of all nations the French have best observed them? In the unity of time you find them so scrupulous, that it yet remains a dispute among their poets, whether the artificial day of twelve hours, more m less, be not meant by Aristotle, rather than the natural one of twenty-four; and consequently, whether all plays ought not to be reduced into that compass. This I can testify, that in all their dramas writ within these last twenty years and upwards. I have not observed any that have extended the time to thirty hours; in the unity of place they are full as scrupulous; for many of their critics limit it to that very spot of ground where the play is supposed to begin; none of them exceed the compass of the same town or city. The unity of action in all plays is yet more conspicuous; for they do not builden them with underplots, as the English do; which is the reason why many scenes of our tragi-comedies carry on a design that is nothing of kin to the main plot; and that we see two distinct webs in a play, like those in illwrought stuffs; and two actions, that is, two plays. carried on together, to the confounding of the audieme; who, before they are warm in their concernments for one part, are diverted to another; and by that means espense the interest of neither. From hence likewise it airses, that the one half of our actors are not known to the other. They keep their distances. as if they were Montagues and Capulets, and seldom bean an acquaintance till the last scene of the fifth act, when they are all to meet upon the stage. There is no theatre in the world has anything so absurd as the English trags-comedy; 'tis a drama of our own invention, and the lashion of it is enough to proclaim it so: here a course of murth, there another of sadness and passion, and a third of honour and a duel: thus, in two hours and a half, we run through all the fits of Redlam. The French affords you as much variety on the same day, but they do it not so unseasonably, or mal d propos, as we our poets present you the play and the farce together; and our stages still retain somewhat of the original civility of the Red Bull.

Atque ursum et pugiles media inter carmina poscunt,

The end of tragedies or schous plays, says Anstotle, is to beget admiration, compassion or concernment; but are not mirth and compassion things incompatible? and is it not evident that the poet must of necessity destroy the former by intermingling of the latter? that is, he must run the sole end and object of his tragedy to introduce somewhat that is forced into it, and is not of the body of it. Would you not think that physician mad, who, having prescribed a purge, should immediately order you to take restringmis?

'But to leave our plays, and return to theirs. I have noted one great advantage they have had in the plotting of their tragedies; that is, they are always grounded upon some known history: according to that of Horacce, Ev note fortum carmen sequar; and in that they have so imitated the ancients that they have surpassed them. For the ancients, as was observed before, took for the foundation of their plays some poeucal fiction, such as under that consideration could move but little concernment in the audience, because they already knew the event of it. But the French goes farther:

Atque eta mentitur, sic veris falsa remiscet, Primo ne medium, medio ne discrepet iniun.

He so interweaves truth with probable fiction that he puts a pleasing fallacy upon us, mends the intrigues of fate, and dispenses with the severity of history, to reward that virtue which has been rendered to us there unfortunate. Sometimes the story has left the success so doubtful, that the writer is free, by the privilege of a poet, to take that which of two or more iclations will best suit with his design as for example, in the death of Cyrus, whom Justin and some others report to have perished in the Scythian war, but Xenophon affirms to have died in his bed of extreme old age. Nay more, when the event is past dispute, even then we are willing to be deceived, and the poet, if he contrives it with appearance of truth, has all the audience of his party; at least during the time his play is acting; so naturally we are kind to virtue, when our own interest is not in question, that we take it up as the general concernment of mankind. On the other side, if you consider the historical plays of Shakespeare, they are rather so many chronicles of kings, or the business many times of thirty or forty years, cramped into a representation of two hours and a half; which is not to imitate or paint nature, but rather to draw her in miniature, to take her in little; to look upon her through the wrong end of a perspective, and receive her images not only much less, but infinitely more imperfect than the life; this, instead of making a play delightful, renders it ridiculous:—

Quadeunque ostendis milii sie, incredulus adi.

For the spirit of man cannot be satisfied but with truth, or at least verismulty; and a poem is to contain, if not ra tropa, yet truponeur dyoia, as one of the Greek poets has expressed it.

Another thing in which the French differ from us and from the Spannards is, that they do not embarrass or cumber themselves with 100 much plot; they only represent so much of a story as will constitute one whole and great action sufficient for a play; we, who undertake more, do but multiply adventures, which, not being produced from one another, as effects from eauses, but barely following, constitute many actions in the drama, and consequently make it many plays.

'But by pursuing closely one argument, which is not cloved with many turns, the French have gained more liberty for verse, in which they write; they have lesure to dwell on a subject which deserves it; and to represent the passions (which we have acknowledged to be the poet's work), without being hurried from one thing to another, as we are in the plays of Calderon, which we have seen lately upon our theatres, under die name of Spanish plots. I have taken notice but of one tragedy of ours, whose plot has that uniformity and unity of design in it which I have commended in the French; and that is Rollo, or rather, under the name of Rollo, the Story of Bassianus and Geta in Herodian: there indeed the plot is neither large nor intricate, but just enough to fill the minds of the audience, not to cloy them. Besides, you see it founded upon the truth of history, -only the time of the action is not reduceable to the strictness of the rules; and you see in some places a little farce mingled, which is below the dignity of the other parts; and in this all our poets are extremely peccant; even Ben Jonson himself, in Seganus and Catiline, has given us this olco of a play, this unmatural mixture of comedy and tragedly, which to me sounds just as influidoidly as the history of David with the merry himmous of Golia's In Sejamis you may take notice of the scene betweet Livia and the physician, which is a ple sant satter month eartificial helps of beauty in Caldine you may see the parliament of women, the little charts of them to one another, and all that passes betweet Curio and I alvia scenes admirable in their kind, but of an ill mingle with the rest

But I return again to the French writers, who, as I have said, do not buiden themselves too much with plot, which has been reproached to them by an ingenious person of our nation as a fault, for, he says, they commonly make but one person considerable in a play, they dwell on him and his concernments. while the rest of the persons are only subservient to set him off If he intends this by it-that there is one person in the play who is of greater dignity than the rest, he must tax, not only theirs, but those of the ancients, and (which be would be loth to do) the best of ours, for it is impossible but that one person must be more conspicuous in it than any other, and consequently the greatest share in the action must devolve on him We see it so in the management of all affairs. even in the most equal aristocracy the balance cannot be so justly poised, but some one will be superior to the rest, either in parts, fortune, interest, or the consideration of some glorious exploit, which will reduce the greatest part of business into his hands.

But, if he would have us to magne that in exalting one character the rest of them are neglected, and that all of them have not some share or other in the action of the play, I desue him to produce any of Contelle's tragedies, wherein every person, like so many servants in a well-governed family, has not some employment, and who is not necessary to the carrying on of the plot, or at least to your understanding it.

There are indeed some protatic persons in the

ancients, whom they make use of in their plays, either to hear or give the relation : but the French avoid this with great address, making their narrations only to or by such, who are some way interested in the main design. And now I am speaking of relations, I cannot take a fitter opportunity to add this in favour of the French, that they often use them with better judgement and more a propos than the English do. Not that I commend narrations in general -but there are two sorts of them. One, of those things which are antecedent to the play, and are related to make the conduct of it more clear to us. But 'tis a fault to choose such subjects for the stage as will force us on that rock, because we see they are seldom listened to by the Andience, and that is many times the ruin of the play: for, being once let pass without attention, the audience

for, being once let pass without attention, the audience can never recover themselves to understand the plot; and indeed it is somewhat unreasonable that they should be put to so much trouble, as that, to comprehend what passes in their sight, they must have recourse to what was done, pethaps, ten or twenty years ago.

But there is another sort of relations, that is, of

though happening at the action of the play, and aupposed to be done behind the scenes; and this is many times both convenient and beautiful; for by it the French avoid the timult to which we are subject in England by representing duels, battles, and the like; which renders our stage too like the theatres where they fight prizes. For what is more reduculous than to represent an army with a drum and five men behind it, all which the hero of the other side is to drive in before him; or to see a duel fought, and one slain with two or three thrusts of the foils, which we know are so blunted, that we might give a man an hour to kill another in good earnest with them.

'I have observed that in all our tragedies the audience cannot forbear laughing when the actors are to die; it is the most comic part of the whole play. All

passions may be lively represented on the stage, if to the well-writing of them the actor supplies a good commanded voice, and limbs that more castly, and without stiffness, but there are many actions which can never be imitated to a just height; dying epic ally is a thing which none but a Romain gladiator could naturally perform on the stage, when he did not mitate or represent, but do it, and therefore it is better to omit the representation of it.

'The words of a good writer, which describe it lively, will make a deeper impression of belief in us than all the actor can insinuate into us, when he seems to fall dead before us, as a poet in the description of a beautiful garden, or a meadow, will please our imagination more than the place itself can please our sight. When we see death represented, we are convinced it is but fiction, but when we hear it related, our eyes, the strongest witnesses, are wanting, which might have undeceived us; and we are all willing to favour the sleight, when the poet does not too grossly impose on us. They therefore who imagine these relations would make no concernment in the audience, are deceived, by confounding them with the other, which are of things antecedent to the play, those are made often in cold blood, as I may say, to the audience; but these are warmed with our concernments, which were before awakened in the play. What the philosophers say of motion, that, when it is once begun, it continues of itself, and will do so to eternity, without some stop put to it, is clearly true on this occasion, the soul, being already moved with the characters and fortunes of those imaginary persons, continues going of its own accord: and we are no more weary to hear what becomes of them when they are not on the stage than we are to listen to the news of an absent mistiess. But it is objected, that if one part of the play may be related, then why not all? I answer, some parts of the action are more fit to be represented, some to be related. Corneille says judiciously, that the poet is not obliged to expose to view all particular actions which conduce to the principal: he ought to select such of them to be seen, which will appear with the greatest beauty, either by the magnificence of the show, or the vehenence of passions which they produce, or some other charm which they have in them; and let the rest arrive to the audience by narration, 'Tis a great mustake in us to believe the I rench present no part of the action on the stage, every alteration or crossing of a design, every new-spring passion and turn of it. is a part of the action, and much the noblest, except we conceive nothing to be action all the players come to blows, as if the painting of the hero's mind were not more properly the poet's work than the strength of his body. Nor does this anything contradict the opinion of Horace, where he tells us,

> Seemus veritant animos demissa per aurem, Qi um quae sunt oculis subiecta fidelibus.

For he says immediately after,

Digna geri promes in scenam, multaq, tolles Ex oculis, quae mox narret facundia praesens.

Among which 'many' he recounts some:

Are pueros coram populo Medea trucidet,

Aut in acent Progne mutetur, Cadmus in anguem; Ge.

That is, those actions which by reason of their cruelsy will cause aversion in us, or by reason of their impossibility, unbihef, ought either wholly to be avoided by a poet, or only delivered by narration. To which we may have leave to add, such as, to avoid turnult (as was before hinted), or to reduce the plot into a more is somable compass of time, or for defect of heauty in thirm, are rather to be related than presented to the eve. Lxamples of all these kinds are frequent, not only among all the ancients, but in the best received of our linglish poets. We find Ben Jonson using them in his Magnetic Lady, where one comes out from dinner, and

relates the quarrels and disorders of it, to save the undecent appearance of them on the stage, and to abbreviate the story; and this in express imitation of Terence, who had done the same before him in his Eunuch, where Pythias makes the like relation of what had happened within at the soldier's entertainment. The relations likewise of Sejanus's death, and the prodigies before it, are remarkable, the one of which was hid from sight, to avoid the horior and turnilt of the representation; the other, to shun the introducing of things impossible to be believed. In that excellent play, The hing and no King, Fletchez goes yet in ther: for the whole unravelling of the plot is done by narration in the fifth act, after the manner of the ancients: and it moves great concurrencent in the audience. though it be only a relation of what was done many years before the play. I could multiply other instances. but these are sufficient to prove that there is no error in choosing a subject which requires this sort of narrations; in the ill management of them, there may,

But I find I have been too long in this discourse. since the French have many other excellences not common to us; as that you never see any of their plays end with a conversion, or simple change of will, which is the ordinary way which our poets use to end theirs. It shows little art in the conclusion of a diamatic poem, when they who have hindered the felicity during the four acts, desist from it in the fifth, without some powerful cause to take them off their design: and though I deny not but such reasons may be found, yet it is a path that is cautiously to be trod, and the poet is to be sure he convinces the audience that the motive is strong enough. As, for example, the conversion of the Usurer in The Scaraful Lady, seems to me a little forced, for, being an Usurer, which implies a lover of money to the highest degree of covetousness-and such the poet has represented him-the account he gives for the sudden change is, that he has been duped by the wild young fellow; which in reason might render him more wary another time, and make him punish himself with harder fare and coarser clother, to get up again what he had lost but that he should hold out as a judgment, and so repent, we may expect to hear in a scrinon, but I should never endure it in a play.

It pass by this, neither will I insist on the care they take, that no person after his first entrance shall ever appear, but the lusiness which hings him upon the stage shall be evident; which rule, if observed, must no discreder all the events in the play more natural; for there you see the probability of every accident, in the cause that produced it, and that which appears hance in the play, will seem so reasonable to you, hast you will there find it almost necessary; so that in the cut of the actor you have a clear account of his purpose and design in the next entrance (though, if the scene be well wrought, the event will commonly deceive you), for there is nothing so absurd, says Coincille, as for an actor to leave the stage, only because he has no more to say.

'I should now speak of the beauty of their rhyme, and the just reason I have to prefer that way of writing in tragedies before ours in blank verse; but because it is partly received by us, and therefore not altogether peculiar to them. I will say no more of it in a lation to their plays. For our own, I doubt not but it will exceedingly beautify them; and I can see but one reason why it should not generally obtain. that is, because our poets write so ill in it. This indeed may prove a more prevailing argument than all others which are used to destroy it, and therefore I am only troubled when great and judicious poets, and those who are acknowledged such, have written or speake against it: as for others, they are to be answered by that one sentence of an ancient author; Sed ut prime ad consequen les eos ques priores ducimus, accondinur, eta ubi aut frueterri, aut acquari eos posse desperavimus, studium cum spe senescit: quod, scritect, assequi non potest, segui desinit; . . . praeteritoque eo in quo eminere non possumus, aliquid in quo nitamur, conquirimus.

Lisideius concluded in this manner; and Neander, after a little nause, thus answered him:

I shall grant Lisideur, without much dispute, a great part of what he has urged against us, for I acknowledge that the French continue their plots more regularly, and observe the laws of comedy, and decorum of the stage (to speak generally), with more exactness than the English. Fatther, I deny not but he has taxed us justly in some irregularities of ours, which he has mentioned jyet, after all, I am of opinion that neither our faults nor their vittues are considerable enough to place them above us.

'For the lively imitation of nature being in the definition of a play, those which best fulfil that law ought to be esteemed superior to the others. 'Tis' true, those beauties of the French poesy are such as will raise perfection higher where it is, but are not sufficient to give it where it is not they are indeed the beauties of a statue, but not of a man, because not animated with the soul of poesy, which is imitation of humour and passions; and this Listdetus himself, or any other, however biassed to their party, cannot but acknowledge, if he will either compare the humours of our comedies, or the characters of our serious plays, with theirs. He who will look upon theirs which have been written till these last ten years, or thereabouts. will find it a hard matter to pick out two or three passable humours amongst them. Corneille himself, their arch-poet, what has he produced except The Lear? and you know how it was cried up in France; but when it came upon the English stage, though well translated, and that part of Dorant acted to so much advantage as I am confident it never received in its own country, the most favourable to it would not put it in competition with many of Fletcher's or Ben Jonson's. In the rest of Corneille's comedies you have little humour; he tells you himself his way is, first to show two lovers in good intelligence with each other; in the working up of the play to emborit them by some mistake, and in the latter end to clear it, and reconcile them.

'But of late years Mohère, the younger Corneille. Oninguit, and some others, have been unitating afar off the quark turns and graces of the English stage. They have mixed their serious plays with mirth. like our trans-comedies, since the death of Cardinal Richelieu, which Lisideius and many others not observing, have commended that in them for a virtue which they themselves no longer practise. Most of their new plays are, like some of ours, derived from the Spanish novels. There is scarce one of them without a yell, and a trusty Diego, who drolls much after the rate of The Adventures. But their humours, if I may grace them with that name, are so thin-sown, that never above one of them comes up in any play. I dare take upon me to find more variety of them in some one play of Ben Jonson's, than in all theirs together, as he who has seen The Alchemist. The Stlent Woman, or Bartholomew Fair, cannot but acknowledge with me.

'I grant the French have performed what was possible on the ground-work of the Spanish plays; what was pleasant before, they have made regular; but there is not above one good play to be writ on all those plots; they are too much alike to please often; which we need not the experience of our own stage to justify. As for their new way of mingling murth with serious plot, I do not, with Lisideius, condemn the thing, though I cannot approve their manner of doing it. He tells us, we cannot so speedily recollect ourselves after a scene of great passion and concernment, as to pass to another of mirth and humour, and to enjoy it with any relish: but why should he imagine the soul of man more heavy than his senses? Does not the eye pass from an unpleasant object to a pleasant in a much shorter time than is required to this? and does not the unpleasantness of the first commend the beauty of the latter? The old rule of logic might have convinced him that contraries, when placed near, set off each other. A continued gravity keeps the spuit too much bent; we must refresh it sometimes, as we bait in a journey that we may go on with greater ease. A scene of mirth, mixed with tragedy, has the same effect upon us which our music has between the acts: which we find a relief to us from the best plots and language of the stage, if the discourses have been long. I must therefore have stronger arguments, ere I am convinced that compassion and much in the same subject destroy each other; and in the meantime cannot but conclude, to the honour of our nation, that we have invented, increased, and perfected a more pleasant way of writing for the stage than was eyer known to the ancients or moderns of any nation, which is tragi-comedy.

'And this leads me to wonder why Lisideius and many others should cry up the barrenness of the French plots above the variety and copiousness of the English. Their plots are single; they carry on one design, which is pushed forward by all the actors, every scene in the play contributing and moving towards it. Our plays, besides the main design, have under-plots or by-concernments, of less considerable persons and intrigues, which are carried on with the motion of the main plot: as they say the orb of the fixed stars and those of the planets, though they have motions of their own, are whitled about by the motion of the primum mobile, in which they are contained. That similitude expresses much of the English stage; for it contrary motions may be found in nature to agree, if a planet can go east and west at the same time-one way by vulue of his own motion, the other by the force of the first mover-it will not be diflicult to imagine how the under-plot, which is only different, not contrary to the great design, may naturally be conducted along with it.

show two lovers in good intelligence with each other; in the working up of the play to embroil them by some mistake, and in the latter end to clear it, and reconcile them.

'But of late years Molière, the younger Corneille. Quinault, and some others, have been unitating afar off the quick turns and graces of the English stage. They have mixed their serious plays with mirth. like our trans-comedies, since the death of Cardinal Richelien; which Lisideius and many others not observing, have commended that in them for a virtue which they themselves no longer practise. Most of then new plays are, like some of ours, derived from the Spanish novels. There is scarce one of them without a veil, and a trusty Diego, who drolls much after the rate of The Adventures. But their humours, if I may grare them with that name, are so thin-sown, that never above one of them comes up in any play. I dare take upon me to find more variety of them in some one play of Ren Jonson's, than in all theirs together; as he who has seen The Alchemist. The Silent Woman, or Bartholomew Fair, cannot but acknowledge with me.

'I grant the French have performed what was nossible on the ground-work of the Spanish plays; what was pleasant before, they have made regular; but there is not above one good play to be writ on all those plots; they are too much alike to please often; which we need not the experience of our own stage to justify. As for their new way of mingling mirth with serious plot, I do not, with Lisideius, condemn the thing, though I cannot approve their manner of doing it. He tells us, we cannot so speedily recollect ourselves after a scene of great passion and concernment, as to pass to another of mirth and humour, and to enjoy it with any relish; but why should he imagine the soul of man more heavy than his senses? Does not the eye pass from an unpleasant object to a pleasant in a much shorter time than is required to this? and

does not the unpleasantness of the fast commend the heauty of the latter? The old rule of logic might have convinced him that contiaries, when placed near, set off each other. A continued gravity keeps the spirit too much bent; we must refresh it sometimes, as we hait in a journey that we may go on with greater ease. A scene of murth, mixed with tragedy, has the same effect upon us which our music has between the acts: which we find a rehel to us from the best plots and language of the stage, if the discourses have been long. I must therefore have stronger arguments, ere I am convinced that compassion and muth in the same subject destroy each other; and in the meantime cannot but conclude, to the honour of our nation. that we have invented, increased, and perfected a more pleasant way of writing for the stage than was ever known to the ancients or moderns of any nation. which is tragi-comedy.

'And this leads me to wonder why Lisideius and many others should cry up the barrenness of the French plots above the variety and copiousness of the English. Their plots are single; they carry on one design, which is pushed forward by all the actors, every scene in the play contributing and moving towards it. Our plays, besides the main design, have under-plots or by-concernments, of less considerable persons and intrigues, which are carried on with the motion of the main plot as they say the orb of the fixed stars and those of the planets, though they have motions of then own, are whitled about by the motion of the primum mobile, in which they are contained. That similitude expresses much of the English stage; for if contrary motions may be found in nature to agree, if a planet can go east and west at the same time-one way by virtue of his own motion, the other by the force of the first mover-it will not be difficult to imagine how the under-plot, which is only different, not contrary to the great design, may naturally be conducted along with it.

Eugenius has already shown us, from the confession of the French poets, that the unity of action is sufficiently preserved, if all the imperfect actions of the play are conducing to the main design; but when these petty intriques of a play are so ill ordered, that they have no coherence with the other, I must grant that Listicus has reason to tax that want of due connexion; for co-ordination in a play is as dangerous and unnatural as in a state. In the meantime he must acknowledge our variety, if well ordered, will afford a greater pleasure to the audience.

'As for his other argument, that by pursuing one single theme they gain an advantage to express and work up the passions, I wish any example he could bring from them would make it good, for I confess their verses are to me the coldest I have ever read. Neither, indeed, is it possible for them, in the way they take, so to express passion as that the effects of it should appear in the concernment of an audience. their speeches being so many declamations which tire us with the length, so that instead of persuading us to grieve for their unaginary heroes, we are concerned for our own trouble, as we are in tedious visits of bad company; we are in pain till they are gone. When the French stage came to be reformed by Cardinal Richelieu, those long harangues were introduced to comply with the gravity of a churchman. Look upon the Ginna and the Pompey, they are not so properly to be called plays, as long discourses of reason of state, and Polycucte in matters of religion is as solemn as the long stops upon our organs. Since that time it is grown into a custom and their actors speak by the hour-class, like our parsons; nay, they account it the grace of their parts, and think themselves disparaged by the pact, if they may not twice or thrace in a play entertain the audience with a speech of an hundred hues. I deny nut but this may suit well enough with the French; for as we, who are a more sullen people. come to be diverted at our plays, so they, who are of an airy and gay temper, come thither to make themselves more serious and this I conceive to be one reason why comedies are more pleasing to us, and trancdies to them But to speak a nerally it cannot he denied that short speeches and it plies are more apt to move the passions and beget concernment in us. than the other, for it is unnatural for any one in a gust of passion to speak long together, or for another in the same condition to suffer him, without interruption. Grief and passion are like floods raised in little brooks by a sudden rain, they are quickly up. and if the concernment be pouted and pectedly in upon us, it overflows us but a long sober shower gives them leisure to run out as they came in, without troubling the ordinary current. As for coinedy, repartee is one of its chiefest graces, the greatest pleasure of the audience is a chase of wit, kept up on both sides, and swiftly managed. And this our forefathers, if not we, have had in Fletcher's plays, to a much higher degree of perfection than the French poets can reasonably hope to reach

There is another part of Lisideius his discourse. in which he has rather excused our neighbours, than commended them, that is, for aiming only to make one person considerable in their plays 'Tis very true what he has urged, that one character in all plays, even without the poet's care, will have advantage of all the others, and that the design of the whole drama will chiefly depend on it. But this hinders not that there may be more shining characters in the play, many persons of a second magnitude, nay, some so very near, so almost equal to the first, that greatness may be opposed to greatness, and all the persons be made considerable, not only by their quality, but their action 'Tis evident that the more the pursons are, the greater will be the variety of the plot. If then the parts are managed so regularly, that the beauty of the whole be kept entire, and that the variety become not a perplexed and confused mass of accidents, 144 you will find it infinitely pleasing to be led in a labvrinth of design, where you see some of your way before you, yet discern not the end till you arrive at it. And that all this is practicable, I can produce for examples many of our English plays; as The Maid's Tragedy. The Alchemist. The Silent Woman: I was going to have named The Por, but that the unity of design seems not exactly observed in it; for there appear two actions in the play; the first naturally ending with the fourth act: the second forced from it in the fifth: which vet is the less to be condemned in him, because the disguise of Voluone, though it suited not with his character as a crafty or covetous person, agreed well enough with that of a voluptuary; and by it the poet gained the end at which he aimed, the punishment of vice and the reward of virtue, both which that disguise produced. So that to judge equally of it, it was an excellent fifth act, but not so naturally proceeding from the former.

But to leave this, and pass to the latter part of Landens his discourse, which concerns relations: I must acknowledge with hun, that the French have reason to lade that part of the action which would occasion too much tumult on the stage, and to choose rather to have it made known by narration to the audience. Faither, I think it very convenient, for the reasons he has given, that all incredible actions were removed; but, whether custom has so insinuated itself into our countrymen, or nature has so formed them to herceness. I know not: but they will scarcely suffer combats and other objects of horror to be taken from them. And indeed, the indecency of turnults is all which can be objected against fighting: for why may not our imagmation as well suffer itself to be deluded with the probability of it, as with any other tung in the play? For my part, I can with as great case persuade myself that the blows are given in good earnest, as I can, that they who strike them are kings or princes, or those persons whom they represent. For objects of incredibility-I would be satisfied from Lisideius, whether we have any so removed from all appearance of truth, as are those of Corneille's Andromède; a play which has been frequented the most of any he has written. If the Perseus, or the son of an heathen god, the Pegasus, and the Monster. were not capable to choke a strong belief, let him blame any representation of ours hereafter. Those indeed were objects of delight, yet the reason is the same as to the probability: for he makes it not a ballet or masque, but a play, which is to resemble truth. But for death, that it ought not to be represented. I have, besides the arguments alleged by Lisideius, the authority of Ben Jonson, who has forborne it in his tragedies; for both the death of Scianus and Catiline are related; though in the latter I cannot but observe one irregularity of that great poet; he has removed the scene in the same act from Rome to Catiline's army, and from thence again to Rome; and besides. has allowed a very inconsiderable time after Catiline's speech for the striking of the battle, and the return of Petreius who is to relate the event of it to the senate: which I should not animadvert on him, who was otherwise a painful observer of to apénor, or the decorum of the stage. If he had not used extreme severity in his judgement on the incomparable Shakespeare for the same fault. To conclude on this subject of relations; if we are to be blamed for showing too much of the action, the French are as faulty for discovering too little of it: a mean betwirt both should be observed by every judicious writer, so as the audience may neither be left unsatisfied by not sceing what is beautiful, or shocked by beholding what is either incredible or undecent.

I hope I have already proved in this discourse that though we are not altogether so punctual as the French in observing the laws of comedy, yet our errors are so few and little, and those things wherein we excet them so considerable, that we ought of right to be preferred before them But what will Lasideius sav. if they themselves acknowledge they are too strictly bounded by those laws, for breaking which he has blamed the I m lish? I will allege Corneille's words as I lind them in the end of his discourse of the three Unities. Il est facile aux speculatifs d'être sevères &c. "'In easy for speculative persons to judge severely, but if they would produce to public view ten or twelve meres of this nature, they would perhaps give more latitude to the rules than I have done, when, by experience, they have known how much we are limited and constrained by them, and how many beauties of the stage they banished from it." To illustrate a little what he has said By their servile observations of the unities of time and place, and integrity of somes, they have brought on themselves that dearth of plot, and narrowness of imagination, which may be observed in all their plays. How many beautiful accidents mucht naturally happen in two or three days. which cannot arrive with any probability in the comness of twenty-four hours? There is time to be allowed also for maturity of design, which, amongst great and prudent persons, such as are often represented in tragedy, cannot, with any likelihood of truth, be brought to pass at so short a warning. Farther, by tying themselves strictly to the unity of place and unbroken scenes, they are forced many times to omit some beauties which cannot be shown where the act began, but might, if the scene were interrupted and the stage cleared for the persons to enter in another place; and therefore the French poets are often forced upon absurdities, for if the act begins in a chamber, all the persons in the play must have some business or other to come hither, or else they are not to be shown that act, and sometimes their characters are very unfitting to appear there, as, suppose it were the king's bed-chamber; yet the meanest mao in the tragedy must come and dispatch his business there, rather than in the lobby or courtyard (which is fitter for him), for fear the stage should be cleared. and the scenes broken. Many times they fall by it in a greater inconvenience, for they keep their scenes unbroken, and yet change the place, as in one of their newest plays, where the act begins in the street There a gentleman is to meet his friend, he sees him with his man, coming out from his lather's house, they talk together, and the first goes out: the second, who is a lover, has made an appointment with his mistress; she appears at the window, and then we are to imagine the scene hes under it. This mentleman is called away, and leaves his servant with his mistress, presently her father is heard from within: the young lady is afraid the serving-man should be discovered, and thrusts him into a place of safety. which is supposed to be her closet. After this, the father enters to the daughter, and now the scene is in a house, for he is seeking from one 100m to another for this poor Philipin, or French Diego, who is heard from within, diolling and breaking many a miserable concert on the subject of his sad condition. In this ridiculous manner the play goes forward, the stage heme never empty all the while; so that the street. the window, the houses, and the closet, are made to walk about, and the persons to stand still Now what, I beseech you, is more easy than to write a regular French play, or more difficult than to write an irregular English one, like those of Hetcher, or of Shakespeare?

If they content themselves, as Corneille did, with some flat design, which, like an ill riddle, is found out cre it be half proposed, such plots we can make every way regular, as easily as they, but whenever they endeavour to use to any quick turns and counterturns of plot, as some of them have attempted, since Corneille's plays have been less in vogue, you see they write as irregularly as we, though they cover it more speciously. Hence the reason is perspicuous why no French plays, when translated, have or ever

can succeed on the English stage. For, if you consider the plots, our own are fuller of variety, if the writing, ours are more quick and fuller of spirit, and therefore 'tis a strange mistake in those who decry the way of writing plays in verse, as il the English therein inntated the French We have borrowed nothing from them, our plots are weaved in English looms, we endcarour therein to follow the variety and greatness of characters which are derived to us from Shakespeare and Fletcher, the copiousness and well-knitting of the intrigues we have from Jonson: and for the verse itself we have English precedents of elder date than any of Corneille's plays. Not to name our old comedies before Shakespeare, which were all writ in verse of six feet, or Alexandrines, such as the French now use, I can show in Shakespeare many scene, of rhyme together, and the like in Ben Jonson's tracedics, in Catiline and Seignus sometimes thirty or forty lines-I mean besides the Chorus, or the monologues, which, by the way, showed Ben no enemy to this way of writing, especially if you read his Sad Sietherd, which goes sometimes on rhyme, sometimes on blank veise, like an horse who eases himself on trot and amble. You find him likewise commending Fletcher's pastoral of The Faithful Shepherdess, which is for the most part rhyme, though not refined to that purity to which it hath since been brought. And these examples are enough to clear us from a servile imitation of the French

'But to return whence I have digressed. I dare holdly affilm these two things of the English draina. I'rist, that we have many plays of ours as regular as any of theirs, and which, besides, have more variety of plot and characters, and secondly, that in most of the irregular plays of Shakspeare or Fletcher (for lien Jonson's are for the most part regular), there is a more maximum fancy and greater spirit in the writing than there is in any of the French. I could produce, even in Shakespeare's and Fletchers' works,

some plays which are almost exactly formed; as The Merry Wives of Windor, and The Sconful Lady: but because (generally spealing) Shakespeare, who wart first, did not perfectly observe the laws of comedy, and Fletcher, who came nearer to perfection, yet through carelessness made many faults; I will take the pattern of a perfect play from Ben Jonson, who was a careful and learned observer of the dramatic laws, and from all his comedies I shall select The Stalet Woman, of which I will make a short examen, according to those rules which the French observe.

As Neander was beginning to examine The Silent Woman, Eugenius, earnestly regarding him, 'I beseech you, Neander,' said he, 'gratify the company, and me in particular, so far as, before you speak of the play, to give us a character of the author; and tell us frankly your opinion, whether you do not think all writers, both French and English, ought to give place to him.'

T fear,' replied Neander, 'that in obeying your commands I shall draw some envy on myself. Bendes, in performing them, it will be first necessary to speak somewhat of Shakespeare and Fletcher, his rivals in poesy; and one of them, in my opinion, at least his equal, perhaps his superime.

To begin, then, with Shakespeare. He was the man who of all modern, and perhaps ancient poets, had the largest and most comprehensive soul. All the images of nature were still present to him, and he drew them, not laboriously, but luckly; when he describes anything, you more than see it, you feel it too. Those who accesse him to have wanted learning, give him the greater commendation: he was naturally learned; he needed not the spectacles of books to read nature; he looked inwards, and found her there. I cannot say he is everywhere alike; were he so, I should do him injury to compare him with the greater of mankind. He is many times flat, insipid; his

comic wit degenerating into elenches, his serious

swelling into bombast. But he is always great, when some great occasion is presented to him, no man can say he ever had a fit subject for his wit, and did not then raise himself as high above the rest of poets.

Quantum lenta salent unter viburna cupressi.

The consideration of this made Mr. Hales of Eaton say, that there was no subject of which any poet ever wrote but he would produce it much better done in Shakespeare; and however others are now generally preferred before hm, yet the age wherean he lived, which had contemporaries with him Fletcher and Jonson, never equalled them to him in their esteemand in the last king's court, when Ben's reputation was at highest, Sir John Suckling, and with him the greater part of the courtiers, set our Shakespeare far above him.

Beaumont and Fletcher, of whom I am next to speak, had, with the advantage of Shakespeare's wit. which was their precedent, great natural gifts, improved by study. Beaumont especially being so accurate a judge of plays that Ben Jonson, while he lived, submitted all his writings to his censure, and, 'tis thought, used his judgement in correcting, if not contriving, all his plots What value he had for him, appears by the verses he writ to hun; and therefore I need speak no farther of it. The first play that brought Fletcher and him in esteem was their Philaster, for before that, they had written two or three very unsuccessfully, as the like is reported of Ben Jouson, before he wrote Every Man in his Humour. Their plots were generally more regular than Shakespeare's, especially those which were made before Beaumont's death; and they understood and imitated the conversation of gentlemen much better; whose wild debaucheries, and quickness of wit in repartees, no poet before them could paint as they have done. Humour which Ben Jonson derived from particular persons they made it not their business to describe: they represented all the passions very lively, but above all, love. I am apt to believe the English language in them arrived to its highest perfection, what word-have since been taken in, are rather superfluous thar ornamental. Their plays are now the most pleasare and frequent entertainments of the stage; two of their being acted through the year for more of Stake-speare's or Jonson's: the reason is, because there is a certain garety in their comedies and pathos in their more senious plays, which suits generally with all men's senious plays, which suits generally with all men's humours. Shakespeare's language is likewise a little obsolete, and Ben Jonson's wit comes short of theirs.

'As for Jonson, to whose character I am now, arrived, if we look upon him while he was himsel (for his last plays were but his dotages), I think him the most learned and judicious writer which any theatre ever had. He was a most severe judge of himself, as well as others. One cannot say he wanted wit, but rather that he was frugal of it. In his works you find little to retrench or alter. Wit, and language, and humour also in some measure, we had before him; but something of ait was wanting to the drama. till he came He managed his strength to more advantage than any who preceded lum. You soldom find him making love in any of his scenes, or endeavouring to move the passions, his centus was too sullen and saturnine to do it gracefully, especially when he knew he came after those who had performed both to such a height. Humour was his proper sphere; and in that he was delighted most to represent mechanic people. He was deeply conversant in the ancients, both Greek and Latin, and he borrowed boldly from them; there is scarce a poet or historian among the Roman authors of those times whom he has not translated in Sejanus and Catiline, But he has done his robbenes so openly, that one may see he fears not to be taxed by any law. He invades authors like a monarch, and what would be their in other poets is only victory in him. With the spoils of these writers he so represents old Rome to us, in its rites, ceremonies, and customs, that if one of their poets had written either of his tragedies, we had seen less of it than in him. If there was any fault in his language, 'twas that he weaved it too closely and laborrously, in his comedics especially, perhaps too, he did a little too much Romanize our tongue, leaving the words which he translated almost as much Latin as he found them: wherem, though he learnedly followed their language, he did not enough comply with the idiom of ours. If I would compare him with Shakespeare, I must acknowledge him the more correct poet, but Shakespeare the greater wit. Shakespeare was the Homer. or father of our dramatic poets, Jouson was the Virgil, the pattern of elaborate writing; I admire him, but I love Shake peare. To conclude of him, as he has given us the most correct plays, so in the precents which he has laid down in his Discoveries, we have as many and profitable rules for perfecting the stage, as any wherewith the French can furnish us.

'Having thus spoken of the author, I proceed to the examination of his coniedy, The Silant Woman.

EXAMEN OF 'THE SILENT WOMAN'.

To begin first with the length of the action; it is so far from exceeding the compass of a natural day, that it takes not up an artificial one. The all included in the limits of three hours and a half, which is no more than is required for the presentment on the stage; a beauty perhaps not much observed; if it had, we should not have looked on the Spanish translation of Fice Hours with so much wonder. The scene of it is laid in London, the latitude of place is almost as little as you can imagine; for it lies all within the compass of two houses, and after the first act, in one. The continuity of scenes is observed more than in any of our plays, except his own Fox and Alchemat. They are not broken above twice or three at most in the whole coundy; and in the two best of Corneille's plays,

the Cid and Cinna, they are interrupted once. The action of the play is entirely one, the end or aim of which is the settling Morose's estate on Dauphine The intrigue of it is the girate st and most noble of any pure unmixed comedy in any language, you see in it many persons of various characters and humours, and all delightful, as first, Morose, or an old man, to whom all noise but his own talking is offensive. Some who would be thought critics, say this humour of his is forced but to remove that objection, we may consider him first to be naturally of a delicate hearing. as many are, to whom all sharp sounds are unpleasant, and secondly, we may attribute much of it to the peevishness of his age, or the wayward authority of an old man in his own house, where he may make himself obeyed, and to this the poet seems to allude in his name Morose. Besides this, I am assured from divers persons, that Ben Jonson was actually acquainted with such a man, one altogether as ridiculous as he is here represented. Others say, it is not enough to find one man of such a humour, it must be common to more, and the more common the more To prove this, they instance in the best of comical characters, Falstaff There are many men resembling him, old, fat, merry, cowardly, drunken, amorous, vain, and lying. But to convince these people. I need but tell them, that humour is the ridiculous extravagance of conversation, wherein one man differs from all others. If then it be common. or communicated to many, how differs it from other men's? or what indeed causes it to be ridiculous so much as the singularity of it? As for Lalstaff, he is not properly one humour, but a miscellany of humours or images, drawn from so many several men: that wherein he is singular is his wit, or those things he says practer expectatum, unexpected by the audience; his quick evasions, when you imagine him surprised, which, as they are extremely diverting of themselves, so receive a great addition from his person, for the

very sight of such an unwieldy old debauched fellow is a controlly alone. And here, having a place so proper for it, I cannot but enlarge somewhat upon this subject of humour into which I am fallen. The ancients had little of it in their comedies; for the ro yelolor of the old comedy, of which Aristophanes was chief, was not so much to imitate a man, as to make the people laugh at some odd conceit, which had commonly somewhat of unnatural or obscene in it. Thus, when you see Socrates brought upon the stage, you are not to imagine him made ridiculous by the imitation of his actions, but rather by making him perform something very unlike himself, something so childish and absurd as by comparing it with the gravity of the true Socrates makes a ridiculous object for the spectators. In their new comedy which succeeded, the poets sought indeed to express the ifor, as in their tragedies the middles of manhand. But this filles contained only the general characters of men and manners; as old men, lovers, serving-men, courtesans, parasites, and such other persons as we see in their comedies; all which they made alike; that is, one old man or father. one lover, one courtesan, so like another, as if the first of them had begot the rest of every sort. Ex homing hune natum dieas. The same custom they observed likewise in their tragedies. As for the French, though they have the word human among them, yet they have small use of it in their comedies or farces; they being but ill imitations of the ridiculum, or that which stirred up laughter in the old comedy. But among the English 'tis otherwise: where by humour is meant some extravagant habit, passion, or affection, particular (as I said before) to some one person, by the oddness of which he is immediately distinguished from the rest of men, which being lively and naturally represented, most frequently begets that malicious pleasure in the amhence which is testified by laughter; as all things which are deviations from customs are ever the aptest to produce it. though by the way this laughter is only accidental, as the person represented is fantastic or hizarie; but pleasure is essential to it, as the intritation of what is natural. The description of these humours, drawn from the knowledge and observation of particular persons, was the per ultra genus and talent of Ben Jonson; to whose play I now return.

Besides Morose, there are at least nine or ten different characters and humours in The Silent Woman: all which persons have several concernments of their own, yet are all used by the port to the conducting of the main design to perfection. I shall not waste time in commending the writing of this play, but I will give you my opinion, that there is more wit and acuteness of fancy in it than in any of Ben Jonson's. Besides that he has here described the conversation of gentlemen in the persons of True-Wit and his friends, with more gaicty, air, and freedom, than in the rest of his comedies. For the contrivance of the plot, 'tis extreme elaborate, and yet withal easy; for the highs, or untying of it, 'tis so admirable, that when it is done, no one of the audience would think the poet could have missed it; and yet it was concealed so much before the last scene that any other way would sooner have entered into your thoughts. But I dare not take upon me to commend the fabric of it, because it is altogether so full of art, that I must umavel every scene in it to commend it as I ought. And this excellent contrivance is still the more to be admired, because 'tis comedy, where the persons are only of common tank, and their business private, not elevated by passions or high concernments, as in serious plays. Here every one is a proper judge of all he sees, nothing is represented but that with which he daily converses. so that by consequence all faults he open to discovery, and few are pardonable, 'Tis this which Horace has judiciously observed:

> Creditur, ex medio quia res arcessil, habere Sudores munimum; sed habet Comedia tunto Plus oneres, quanto veneae munus.

But our poet who was not irmorant of these difficulties, has made use of all advantages; as he who designs a large hap take, his use from the highest ground One of the ce advantage is that which Corneille has lard down as the greatest which can arrive to any poem, and which he himself could never compass above three in all his plays; viz. the making choice of some segnal and long-expected day, which con the atmost the play is to depend. This day was that de igned by Dauplaine for the settling of his uncle's estate upon him, which to compass, he contrives to marry him. That the marriage had been plotted by

had the strosed what he had been raising many months. There is another artifice of the poet, which I cannot been omit, because by the frequent practice of it in his comedia, he has left it to us almost as a rule, that is, when he has any character or humour wherein in would show a coup de matter, or his highest skill, he recommends it to your observation by a pleasant description of it to your observation by a pleasant description of it before the person first appears. Thus, in Darthalomete Fau he gives you the picture of Numpa and Cokes, and in this those of Daw, Lafoele, Morose, and the Collegate Ladies; all which you hear descrit ed before you see them. So that before they come upon the stage, you have a longing expectation of it em, which prepares you to receive them favourably, and when they are there, even from their first appear.

from long beforehand is made evident by what he tells I receive it in the second act, that in one moment he

nothing of their humour is lost to you.

I will observe yet one thing further of this admirable plot; the humous of it rises in every act. The second is greater than the first; the third than the second; and so forward to the fifth. There too you see, till the very last were, new difficulties arising to obstruct the action of the play; and when the audience is brought into despair that the business can naturally be effected, then, and not before, the discovery is

ance you are so far acquainted with them, that

made. But that the poet might entertain you with more variety all this while, he reserves some new characters to show you, which he opens not till the second and third act, in the second Morose, Daw, the Barber, and Otter, in the third the Collegitte I adie all which he moves afterwards in by-walls, or underplots, as diversions to the main design, lest it should grow tedrous, though they are still naturally joined with it, and somewhere or other subservient to it. Thus, like a skillul chess-player, by little and little he draws out his men, and makes his pawns of use to his greater persons.

'If this comedy and some others of his were translated into French prose (which would now be no wonder to them, since Mohère has lately given them plays out of verse, which have not displeased them). I believe the controversy would soon be decided betweet the two nations, even making them the judges But we need not call our heroes to our aid Be it spoken to the honour of the English, our nation can never want in any age such who are able to dispute the empire of wit with any people in the universe And though the fury of a civil war, and nower for twenty years together abandoned to a barbarous race of men, enemies of all good learning, had buried the muses under the runs of monarchy, yet, with the restoration of our happiness, we see revived poesy lifting up its head, and already shaking off the rubbish which lay so heavy on it. We have seen since his maiesty's return many dramatic poems which yield not to those of any foreign nation, and which deserve all laurels but the English I will set aside fluttery and envy it cannot be denied but we have had some little bleinish either in the plot or writing of all those plays which have been made within these seven years (and perhaps there is no nation in the world so quick to discern them, or so difficult to paidon them, as ours) vet if we can persuide ours lves to use the candour of that poet, who, though the most severe of cute, has left us this caution by which to inoderate

nhi phira mitent in carmine, non ego paucis
 Offer dur u aculis, —

if, in conseleration of their many and great beauties we con wink at some slight and little imperfections, if we, I are, an lie thus equal to outselves, I ask no ke is it from the I reach. And if I do not venture upon any particular judgement of our late plays, 'us out of the conseleration which an ancient writer gives metric irm, at magna administion, the castina difficults. "Network the istemes of administion and malece, 'us hard to quality superfixed the thing." Only I think it may be permitted me to say, that as it is no lessening to us to wild to some plays, and those not many, of our own nation in the last age, so it can be no addition to promource of our present poets that they have far surpassed all the ancients, and the modern writers of other counters."

This was the substance of what was then spoke on that occasion; and Lisideus, I think, was going to reply, when he was prevented thus by Crites: 'I am consident,' said he, 'that the most material things that can be said have been already urged on either side; il they have not. I must beg of Lisidens that he will defer his answer till another time; for I confess I have a joint quariel to you both, because you have concluded, without any reason given for it, that rhyme is ruper for the stage. I will not dispute how ancient it hath been among us to write this way, perhaps our ancestors knew no better till Shakespeare's time. I will grant it was not altogether left by him, and that Fletcher and Ben Jonson used it frequently in their Pastorals, and sometimes in other plays. Farther-I will not argue whether we received it originally from our own countrymen, or from the French; for that is an injury of as little benefit, as theirs who, in the midst of the late plague, were not so sulicitous to provide against it, as to know whether we had it from the malignity of our own air, or by transportation from Holland. I have therefore only to affirm that it is not allowable in serious plays; for comedies, I find you already concluding with me. To prove this, I might satisfy myself to tell you how much in vain it is for you to strive against the stream of the people's inclination; the greatest part of which are prepossessed so much with those excellent plays of Shakespeare, Fletcher, and Ben Jonson, which have been written out of rhyme, that except you could bring them such as were written better in it, and those too by persons of equal reputation with them, it will be impossible for you to gain your cause with them, who will still be judges. This it is to which, in fine, all your reasons must submit. The unanimous consent of an audience is so powerful, that even Julius Caesai (as Macrobius reports of him), when he was perpetual dictator, was not able to balance it on the other side; but when Laberius, a Roman knight, at his request contended in the Mine with another poet, he was forced to cry out. Etiam favente me victus es. Labers. But I will not on this occasion take the advantage of the greater number, but only urge such reasons against rhyme, as I find in the writings of those who have argued for the other way. First then, I am of opinion, that rhyme is unnatural in a play, because dialogue there is presented as the effect of sudden thought: for a play is the imitation of nature; and since no man without premeditation speaks in rhyme, neither ought he to do it on the stage. This hinders not but the tancy may be there elevated to a higher pitch of thought than it is in ordinary discourse; for there is a probability that men of excellent and quick parts may speak noble things extembore but those thoughts are never fettered with the numbers or sound of verse without study, and therefore it cannot be but unnatural to present the most free way of speaking in that which is the most constrained. For this reason, says Aristotle, 'tis best to write tragedy in that kind of verse which is the least such, or which is nearest prose and this amongst the ancients was the lambic, and with us is blank verse. or the measure of verse kept exactly without thyme. These numbers therefore are littest for a play; the others for a paper of verses, or a poem; blank verse being as much below them, as rhyme is improper for the drama. And if it be objected that neither are blank verses made extempore, yet, as nearest nature, they are still to be preferred. But there are two particular exceptions, which many besides myself have had to verse, by which it will appear yet more plainly how improper it is in plays. And the first of them is grounded on that very reason for which some have commended rhyme; they say the quickness of repartees in argumentative scenes receives an ornament from verse. Now what is more unreasonable than to imagine that a man should not only hight upon the wit, but the rhyme too, upon the sudden? This nicking of him who spoke before both in sound and measure, is so great a happiness that you must at least suppose the persons of your play to be horn poets: Arcades omnes, et cantare pares, et respondere parati; they must have arrived at the degree of quiequid conabar titlete, to make verses almost whether they will of no. If they are anything below this, it will look rather like the design of two, than the answer of one: it will appear that your actor, hold intelligence together; that they perform their tricks like fortune-tellers, by confederacy. The hand of art will he too visible in it. against that maxim of all professions-Ars est celare when, that it is the greatest perfection of art to keep itself undiscovered. Nor will it serve you to object, that however you manage it, 'tis still known to be a play, and, consequently, the dialogue of two persons understood to be the labour of one poet. For a play is still an imitation of nature; we know we are to be deceived, and we desire to be so; but no man ever was deceived but with a probability of truth, for who will suffer a gross lie to be fastened on him? Thus we sufficiently understand, that the scenes which represent ettes and countries to us are not really such, but only painted on boards and canvas; but shall that excuse the ill painture or designment of them? Nay, rather ought they not to be labouted with so much the more diligence and exactness, to help the magmatton? since the mind of man does naturally tend to truth; and therefore the neater any thing comes to the initiation of it, the more it pleases.

'Thus, you see, your thyme is uncapable of expressing the greatest thoughts naturally, and the lowest it cannot with any grace: for what is more unbefitting the majesty of verse than to call a servant, or bid a door he shut, in rhyme? and yet you are often forced on this miserable necessity. But verse, you say, circonscribes a quick and luxuriant fancy, which would extend itself too far on every subject, did not the labour which is required to well-turned and polished rhyme set bounds to it. Yet this argument, if granted, would only prove that we may write better in verse, but not more naturally. Neither is it able to evince that, for he who wants judgement to confine his fancy in blank verse, may want it as much in rhyme; and he who has it will avoid errors in both kinds. Latin verse was as great a confinement to the imagination of those poets, as rhyme to ours; and yet you find Ovid saying too much on every subject. Nescont (says Seneca) quod hene cessit relinquere: of which he gives you one famous instance in his description of the deluge.

> Omma pontus erat, decrant quoque litora ponto. Now all was sea, nor bad that sea a shore.

Thus Ovid's fancy was not hanted by verse, and Virgil needed not verse to have bounded his.

In our own language we see Ben Jonson coulding himself to what ought to be said, even in the liberty of blank verse; and yet Corneille, the most judicious of the French poets, is still varying the suite sense a

hundred ways, and dwelling eternally on the same subject, though confined by rhyme. Some other exceptions I have to verse; but since these I have named are for the most part already public, I conceive it reasonable they should first be answered.

'It concerns me less than any,' said Neander (seeing he had ended), 'to reply to this discourse; because when I should have proved that verse may be natural in plays, yet I should always be ready to confess, that those which I have written in this kind come short of that perfection which is required. Yet since you are pleased I should undertake this province. I will do it, though with all imaginable respect and deference, both to that person from whom you have borrowed your strongest arguments and to whose judgement, when I have said all, I finally submit, But before I proceed to answer your objections, I must first remember you, that I exclude all comedy from my defence, and next that I deny not but blank verse may be also used; and content myself only to assert. that in serious plays where the subject and characters are great, and the plot unmixed with mirth, which might allay or divert these concernments which are produced, rhyme is there as natural and more effectual than blank verse.

'And now having laid down this as a foundation—to hegm with Crites—I must crave leave to tell him, that some of his arguments against rhyme reach no farther than, from the faults or defects of ill rhyme, to conclude against the use of it in general. May not I conclude against blank verse by the same reason! If the words of some poets who write in it are either ill chosen, or ill placed, which makes not only rhyme, but all kinds of vene in any language unnatural, shall I, for their vicious affectation, condemn those excellent lines of I letcher which are written in that kind' Is there anything in rhyme more constrained than his line in blank verse?—I heaven mooke, and strong translance make; where you see both the clauses are

placed unnaturally, that is, contrary to the common way of speaking, and that without the excuse of a rhyme to cause it yet you would think me very ridiculous, if I should accuse the stubbornness of blank verse for this, and not rather the stillness of the poet. Therefore, Crites, you must either prove that words. though well chosen, and duly placed, yet render not rhynic natural in itself or that, however natural and easy the rhyme may be, yet it is not proper for a play. If you insist on the former part, I would ask you, what other conditions are required to make rhyme natural in itself, besides an election of apt words, and a right disposition of them? For the due choice of your words expresses your sense naturally, and the due placing them adapts the rhyme to it. If you object that one verse may be made for the sake of another, though both the words and rhyme be apt, I answer, it cannot possibly so fall out, for either there is a dependance of sense betweet the first line and the second, or there is none if there be that connexion, then in the natural position of the words the latter line must of necessity flow from the former; if there be no dependance, yet still the due ordering of words makes the last line as natural in itself as the other, so that the necessity of a rhyme never forces any but bad or lazy writers to say what they would not otherwise. 'Tis true, there is both care and art required to write in verse. A good poet never establishes the first line, till he has sought out such a rhyme as may fit the sense, already prepared to heighten the second many times the close of the sense falls into the middle of the next verse, or farther off, and he may often prevail houself of the same advantages in English which Vir al had in Latin -he may break off in the hemistich, and begin another line. Indeed, the not observing these two last things makes plays which are written in verse so tedious for though, most commonly, the sense is to be confined to the couplet, yet nothing that does perbetuo tenore fluere, "run in the same channel", can please always. 'Tis like the murmuring of a stream, which not varying in the fall, causes at first attention, at lest drownness. Variety of cadences is the best rule; the greatest help to the actors, and refreshment to the authence.

'If then yesse may be made natural in itself, how becomes it unnatural in a play? You say the stage is the representation of nature, and no man in ordinary conversation speaks in rhyme. But you foresaw when you said this, that it might be answered—neither does any man speak in blank verse, or in measure without thyme. Therefore you concluded, that which is nearest nature is still to be preferred. But you took no notice that rhyme might be made as natural as blank verse, by the well placing of the words, &c. All the difference between them, when they are both correct, is, the sound in one, which the other wants; and if so, the sweetness of it, and all the advantage resulting from it, which are handled in the Preface to The Rwal Ladies, will yet stand good. As for that place of Aristotle, where he says, plays should be written in that kind of verse which is nearest prose. it makes little for you, blank verse being properly but measured prose. Now measure alone, in any modern language, does not constitute verse; those of the anguents in Greek and Latin consisted in quantity of words, and a determinate number of feet. But when, by the inundation of the Goths and Vandals into Italy, new languages were introduced, and barbarously mingled with the Latin, of which the Italian, Spanish, French, and ours (made out of them and the Teutonic) are dialects, a new way of poesy was practised; new, I say, in those countries, for in all probability it was that of the conquerous in their own nations; at least we are able to prove that the eastern people have used it from all antiquity. This new way consisted in measure or number of feet, and rhyme; the sweetness of thyme, and observation of accent, supplying the place of quantity in words, which could neither

exactly be observed by those barbarians, who knew not the rules of it, neither was it suitable to their tongues, as it had been to the Greek and Latin. No man is tied in modern poesy to observe any further rule in the feet of his verse, but that they be dissyllables; whether Spondee, Truches, or Lambie, it matters not; only he is obliged to thyme; neither do the Spanish, French, Italian, or Germans acknowledge at all, or very rarely, any such kind of poesy as blank verse amongst them. Therefore, at nioit 'tis but a poetic piose, a sermo pedestris, and as such, most fit for comedies, where I acknowledge rhyme to be improper. Faither, as to that quotation of Aristotle, our couplet verses may be rendered as near prose as blank verse itself, by using those advantages I lately named—as breaks in an hemistich, or running the sense into another line—thereby making art and order appear as loose and free as nature, or, not tying ourselves to couplets strictly, we may use the benefit of the Pindaric way practised in The Siege of Rhodes; where the numbers vary, and the rhyine is disposed carclessly and far from often chuning. Neither is that other advantage of the ancients to be despised, of changing the kind of verse when they please, with the change of the scene, or some new entrance; for they confine not themselves always to jambies, but extend their liberty to all lync numbers, and sometimes even to hexameter. But I need not go so far to prove that rhvine, as it succeeds to all other offices of Greek and Latin verse, so especially to this of plays, since the custom of nations at this day confirms it; the French. Italian, and Spanish tragedies are generally writ in it; and sure the universal consent of the most civilized parts of the world ought in this, as it doth in other customs, to include the rest.

But perhaps you may tell me, I have proposed such a way to make rhyme natural, and consequently proper to plays, as is unpracticable; and that I shall scarce find six or eight lines together in any play. where the words are so placed and chosen as is required to make it natural. I answer, no poet need constrain himself at all times to it. It is enough he makes it his general rule; for I deny not but sometimes there may be a greatness in placing the words otherwise; and sometimes they may sound better; sometimes also the variety itself is excuse enough. But if, for the most part, the words be placed as they are in the negligence of prose, it is sufficient to denominate the way practicable; for we esteem that to be such. which in the trial oftener succeeds than misses. And thus far you may find the practice made good in many plays; where you do not, remember still that if you cannot find six natural rhymes together, it will be as hard for you to produce as many lines in blank verse, even among the greatest of our poets, against which I cannot make some reasonable exception.

'And this, Sir, calls to my remembrance the beginning of your discourse, where you told us we should never find the audience favourable to this kind of writing, till we could produce as good plays in rhyme. as Ben Jonson, Fletcher, and Shakespeare had written out of it. But it is to raise envy to the living, to compare them with the dead. They are honoured, and almost adored by us, as they deserve; neither do I know any so presumptuous of themselves as to contend with them, Yet give me leave to say thus much, without injury to their ashes; that not only we shall never equal them, but they could never equal themselves, were they to rise and write again. We acknowledge them our fathers in wit, but they have ruined their estates themselves, before they came to their children's hands. There is scarce a humour, a character, or any kind of plot, which they have not used. All comes suffied or wasted to us; and were they to entertain this age, they could not now make so plenteous treatments out of such decayed fortunes. This therefore will be a good argument to us, either not to write at all, or to attempt some other way. There is no bays to be expected in their walls: tentanda ma est, qud me quoque possum tollere humo.

This way of writing in verse they have only left free to us; our age is arrived to a perfection in it, which they never knew, and which (if we may guess by what of theirs we have seen in verse, as The Faithful Shepherdess, and Sad Shepherd) 'tis probable they never could have reached. For the genrus of every age is different; and though ours excel in this, I deny not but to imitate nature in that perfection which they did in prose, is a greater commendation than to write in verse exactly. As for what you have added—that the people are not generally inclined to like this way -if it were true, it would be no wonder that betweet the shaking off an old habit, and the introducing of a new, there should be difficulty. Do we not see them stick to Honkins' and Sternhold's psalms, and forsake those of David, I mean Sandys his translation of them? If by the people you understand the multitude, the of πολλοί, 'tis no matter what they tlank, they are sometimes in the right, sometimes in the wrong; their judgement is a mere lottery. Est ubs plebs recté putat. est ubs beceat. Horace says it of the vulgar judging poesy. But if you mean the mixed audience of the nopulace and the noblesse. I dare confidently affirm that a great part of the latter sort are already favourable to verse, and that no serious plays written since the king's return have been more kindly received by them than The Stege of Rhodes, the Mustapha, The Indian Queen, and Indian Emperor.

But I come now to the inference of your first argument. You said that the dialogue of plays is presented as the effect of sudden thought, but no man speaks suddenly, or estempore, in rhyme; and you inferred from thence, that rhyme, which you acknowledge to be proper to epic poesy, cannot equally be proper to dramatic, unless we could suppose all men burn so much more than poets, that verses should be made in them, not by them.

'It has been formerly urged by you, and confessed by me, that since no man spoke any kind of verse extembore, that which was nearest nature was to be preferred. I answer you, therefore, by distanguishing betwixt what is nearest to the nature of comedy, which is the imitation of common persons and ordinary speaking, and what is nearest the nature of a serious play; this last is indeed the representation of nature, but 'tis nature wrought up to a higher pitch. The plot, the characters, the wit, the passions, the descriptions, are all exalted above the level of common converse, as high as the imagination of the poet can carry them, with proportion to vensimility. Tragedy, we know, is wont to image to us the minds and fortunes of noble persons, and to portray these exactly, heroic rhyme is nearest nature, as being the noblest kind of modern verse.

Indignatur emm privatis et proje socco
Dignis carmambus nareuri coena Thyestae—
says Horace; and in another place,

Effutire leves indigna tragoedia versus-.

Blank verse is acknowledged to be too low for a poem, nay more, for a paper of verses; but if too low for an ordinary sounce, how much more for tragedy, which is by Aristotle in the dispute between the epic poesy and the dramatic, for many reasons he there alleges, ranked above it?

But setting this defence aside, your argument is almost as strong against the use of rhyme in poems as in plays; for the epi, way is everywhere intellaced in dialogue, or discussive scenes; and therefore you must either grant flyme to be improper there, which is contrary to your assertion, or admit it into plays by the same title which you have given it to poems. For though tragedy be justly preferred above the other, yet there is a great affilmty between them, as may easily be discovered in that definition of a play which Lisalcius gave us. The genus of them is the same—a

just and lively image of human nature, in its actions, passions, and traverses of fortune: so is the endnamely, for the delight and benefit of mankind. The characters and persons are still the same, viz. the greatest of both sorts; only the manner of acquainting us with those actions, passions, and for times is different, Tragedy performs it time trace, or by action, in dialogue: wherein it excels the epic poem, which closs it chiefly by narration, and therefore is not so lively an image of human nature. However, the agreement between them is such that if rhyme be proper for one, it must be for the other Verse, 'tis true, is not the effect of sudden thought; but this hinders not that sudden thought may be represented in verse, since those thoughts are such as must be higher than nature can raise them without premeditation, especially to a continuance of them, even out of verse, and consequently you cannot imagine them to have been sudden either in the poet or in the actors. A play, as I have said, to be like nature, is to be set above it; as statues which are placed on lugh are made greater than the life. that they may descend to the sight in their just proportion.

¹ 'Perhaps I have insisted too long on this objection; but the cleaning of it will make my stay shorter on the rest. You tell us, Crues, that rhyme appears most unnatural in reparters, or short replies: when he who answers (it being presumed he knew not what the other would say, yet) makes up that part of the verse which was left incomplete, and supplies both the sound and measure of it. This, you say, looks rather like the confederacy of two, than the answer of one.

"This, I confess, is an objection which is in every man's mouth, who loves not thyme: but suppose, I beseech you, the repartee were made only in blank verse, might not part of the same argument be turned against youl for the measure is as often supplied there, as it is in thyme; the latter half of the heustich as commonly made up, or a second line subjoined as a reply to the former; which any one leaf in Jonson's plays will sufficiently clear to you. You will often find in the Greek tragedians, and in Seneca, that when a scene grows up into the warmth of repartees, which is the close fighting of it, the latter part of the trimeter is supplied by him who answers; and yet it was never observed as a fault in them by any of the ancient or modern critics. The case is the same in our verse, as it was in theirs; rhyme to us being in lieu of quantity to them. But if no latitude is to be allowed a poet, you take from him not only his licence of quidlibet audendi, but you tie him up in a straighter compass than you would a philosopher. This is indeed Musas colere secenores. You would have him follow nature, but he must follow her on foot: you have dismounted him from his Pegasus. But you tell us, this supplying the last half of a verse, or adjoining a whole second to the former, looks more like the design of two, than the answer of one. Suppose we acknowledge it: how comes this confederacy to be more displeasing to you than in a dance which is well contrived? You see there the united design of many persons to make up one figure: after they have separated themselves in many petty divisions, they rejoin one by one into a gross: the confederacy is plain amongst them, for chance could never produce anything so beautiful; and yet there is nothing in it, that shocks your sight. I acknowledge the hand of art appears in repartee, as of necessity it must in all kind of verse. But there is also the quick and poignant brevity of it (which is an high imitation of nature in those sudden gusts of passion) to nungle with it; and this, joined with the cadency and sweetness of the rhyme, leaves nothing in the soul of the hearer to desire. 'Tis an art which appears; but it appears only like the shadowings of painture, which being to cause the rounding of it cannot be absent; but while that is considered, they are lost: so while we attend to the other beauties of the matter, the care and labour of the rhyme is carried from us, or at least drowned in its own swer tness, as bees are sometimes buried in their honey. When a poet has found the repartie, the last perfection he can add to it is to put it into verse. However good the thought may be, however apt the words in which its courched, yet he finds himself at a little unest while rhyme is wanting; he cannot leave it till that comes naturally, and then is at ease, and sits down contented.

'From replies, which are the most elevated thoughts of verse, you pass to those which are most mean, and which are common with the lowest of household conversation. In these, you say, the majesty of verse suffers. You instance in the calling of a servant, or commanding a door to be shut, in rhyme. This, Crites, is a good observation of yours, but no argument: for it proves no more but that such thoughts should be waived, as often as may be, by the address of the poet. But suppose they are necessary in the places where he uses them, yet there is no need to put them into rhyme. He may place them in the beginning of a verse, and break it off, as unfit, when so debased, for any other use; or granting the worstthat they require more room than the hemistich will allow, yet still there is a choice to be made of the best words, and least vulgar (provided they be apt), to express such thoughts. Many have blamed rhyme in general for this fault, when the poet with a little care might have redressed it. But they do it with no more justice than if English poesy should be made ridiculous for the sake of the Water-poet's rhymes. Our language is noble, full, and significant, and I know not why he who is master of it may not clothe ordinary things in it as decently as the Latin, if he use the same dilesence in his choice of words: delectus verborum origo est eloquentiae. It was the saying of Julius Caesar, one so curious in his, that none of them can be changed but for a worse. One would think unlock the door was a

thing as vulgar as could be spoken; and yet Seneca could make it sound high and lofty in his Latin;

> Reserve cluses requi postes buis. Set wide the palace gates

But I turn from this exception, both hecause it happens not above twice or thrice in any play that those vulgar thoughts are used; and then too, (were there no other apology to be made, yet) the necessity of them, which is alike in all kind of writing, may excuse them. For if they are little and mean in rhyme, they are of consequences such in blank verse. Besides that the great eagerness and precipitation with which they are spoken makes us rather mind the substance than the diese; that for which they are spoken, rather than what is spoke. For they are always the effect of some hasty concernment, and something of consequence decends on them.

'Thus, Crites, I have endeavoured to answer your objections; it remains only that I should vindicate an argument for verse, which you have gone about to overthrow. It had formerly been said, that the easiness of blank verse renders the poet too luxuriant, but that the habour of rhyme bounds and circumscribes an over-fruitful fancy; the sense there being commonly confined to the couplet, and the words so ordered that the rhyme naturally follows them, not they the rhyme. To this you answered, that it was no argument to the question in hand; for the dispute was not which way a man may write liest, but which is most proper for the subject on which he writes.

Thus, give me leave, Sir, to remember you, that the argument against which you raised this objection was only secondary; it was built on this hypothesis—that to write in verse was proper for serious plays. Which supposition being granted (as it was briefly made out in that discourse by showing how verse might be made natural), it asserted, that this way of writing was an help to the port's judgement, by put-

ting bounds to a wild overflowing fancy. I think, therefore, it will not be hard for me to make good what it was to prove on that supposition. But you add, that were this let pass, yet he who wants judgement in the liberty of his fancy, may as well show the delect of it when he is conlined to verse; for he who has judgement will avoid errors, and he who has it not will commit them in all knots of writing

"This argument, as you have taken it from a most acute person, so I confess it carries much weight in it: but by using the word "judgement" here indefinitely, you seem to have put a fallacy upon us. I grant, he who has judgement, that is, so profound, so strong, or rather so infallible a judgement that he needs no helps to keep it always poised and upright, will commit no faults either in rhyme or out of it. And on the other extreme, he who has a judgement so weak and crazed that no helps can correct or amend it, shall write scurvily out of rhyme, and worse in it. But the first of these judgements is no where to be found, and the latter is not fit to write at all. To speak therefore of judgement as it is in the best poets, they who have the greatest proportion of it, want other helps than from it, within As for example you would be loath to say, that he who is endued with a sound judgement has no need of lustory, geography, or moral philosophy, to write correctly. Judgement is indeed the master-workman in a play, but he requires many subordinate hands, many tools to his assistance. And verse I affirm to be one of these, 'tis a rule and line by which he keeps his building compact and even, which otherwise lawless imagination would ruse either irregularly or loosely; at least, if the poet commits errors with this help, he would make greater and more without it. 'tis, in short, a slow and pamiul, but the surest kind of working. Ovid, whom you accuse for luxuriancy in verse, had purhans been farther guilty of it, had he wut in prose. And for your instance of Ben Jonson, who, you say, wrote exactly without the help of rhyme; you are to remember, 'tis only an aid to a hixumant fancy, which his was not; as he did not want imagination, so none ever said he had much to spare. Neither was verse then refined so much, to be an help to that age, as it is to ours. Thus then the verond thoughts being usually the best, as receiving the matrix of digestion from judgement, and the last and most mature product of those thoughts being aidful and laboured verse, it may well be inferred, that were is a great help to a luxumant fancy; and this is what that argument which you opposed was to existe.

Neander was pursuing this discourse so eagerly, that Eugenius had called to him twice or thrice, ere he took notice that the barge stood still, and that they were at the loot of Somerset Stans, where they had appointed it to land. The company were all sorry to sen trate so soon, though a great part of the evening was already spent; and stood awhile looking back on the water, upon which the moonbeams played, and made it appear like floating quicksilver; at last they went up through a crowd of French people, who were metrily dancing in the open air, and nothing concerned for the noise of guns which had alarmed the town that afternoon. Walking thence together to the Piazze, they parted there, Eugenius and Lisideius to some pleasant appointment they had made, and Crites and Neander to their several lodgings.

PREFACE TO THE FABLES

[1700]

This with a poet, as with a man who designs to build, and is very exact, as he supposes, in casting up the cost heforeland; but, generally speaking, he is mistaken in his account, and reckons short of the expense he first intended. He alters his mind as the work proceeds, and will have this or that convenience more, of

which he had not thought when he began. So has it happened to me; I have built a house, where I intended but a lodge; yet with better success than a certain nobleman, who, begunning with a dog-kennel, never lived to finish the palace he had contrived.

From translating the First of Homer's High (which

I intended as an essay to the whole work). I proceeded to the translation of the Twelfth Book of Oyid's Metamorphoses, because it contains, among other things, the causes, the beginning, and ending, of the Trojan war, Here I ought in reason to have stopped; but the speeches of Ajax and Ulysses lying next in my way I could not balk 'em. When I had compassed them, I was so taken with the former part of the Fifteenth Book (which is the masterpiece of the whole Metamorphoses), that I enjoined myself the pleasing task of rendering it into English. And now I found, by the number of my verses, that they began to swell into a little volume; which gave me an occasion of looking backward on some beauties of my author, in his former books: there occurred to me the Hunting of the Boar. Cinyras and Myriha, the good-natured story of Baucis and Philemon, with the rest, which I hope I have translated closely enough, and given them the same turn of verse which they had in the original; and this, I may say, without vanity, is not the talent of every poet. He who has arrived the nearest to it is the ingenious and learned Sandys, the best versifier of the former age; if I may properly call it by that name, which was the former part of this concluding century. For Spenser and Fairfax both flourished in the reign of Queen Elizabeth; great masters in our language, and who saw much farther into the beauties of our numbers than those who immediately followed them Milton was the poetical son of Spenser, and Mr. Waller of Fairfax, for we have our lineal descents and clans as well as other families. Spenser more than once insinuates, that the soul of Chaucer was transfused into his body; and that he was begotten by him two hundred years after his decease. Milton has acknowledged to me, that Sproser was his original; and many besides myself have heard our famous Waller own, that he derived the harmony of his numbers from Godfeer of Bullogne, which was turned into English by Mi. Fantax.

But to return; having done with Ovid for this time,

it came into my mind, that our old English poet, Chaucer, in many things resembled him, and that with no disadvantage on the side of the modern author, as I shall endeavour to prove when I compare them; and as I am, and always have been, studious to promote the honour of my native country, so I soon resolved to put their ments to the trial, by turning some of the Centerbury Tales into our language, as it is now refined; for by this means, both the poets being set in the same light and dressed in the same English habit, story to be compared with story, a certain judgement may be made betwixt them by the reader. without obtaining my opinion on him. Or, if I seem partial to my countryman and predecessor in the laurel, the friends of antiquity are not few; and, besides many of the learned. Ovid has almost all the beaux and the whole fair sex, his declared patrons. Perhaps I have assumed somewhat more to myself than they allow me, because I have adventured to sum up the evidence; but the readers are the jury, and their privilege remains entire, to decide according to the merits of the cause; or, if they please, to bring it to another hearing before some other court. In the meantime, to follow the thread of my discourse (as thoughts, according to Mr. Hobbes, have always some connexion), so from Chaucer I was led to think on Boccace, who was not only his contemporary, but also pursued the same studies; wrote novels in prose, and many works in verse; particularly is said to have invented the octave rhyme, or stanza of eight lines. which ever since has been maintained by the practice of all Italian writers who are, or at least assume the

title of, heroic poets. He and Chaucer, among other things, had this in common, that they refund their mother-tongues; but with this difference, that Dante had begun to file their language, at least in verse, before the time of Boccace, who likewise received no little help from his master Petrarch; but the reformation of their prose was wholly owing to Boccace himself, who is yet the standard of murity in the Italian tongue, though many of his phrases are become obsolete, as in process of time it must needs happen. Chaucer (as you have formerly been told by our learned Mr. Rymer) first adorned and amplified our barren tongue from the Provençal, which was then the most polished of all the modern languages, but this subject has been copiously treated by that great critic, who deserves no little commendation from us his countrymen. For these reasons of time, and resemblance of genius, in Chaucer and Boccace, I resolved to join them in my present work; to which I have added some original papers of my own, which whether they are equal or inferior to my other poems, an author is the most improper judge; and therefore I leave them wholly to the mercy of the reader. I will hope the best, that they will not be condemned; but if they should, I have the excuse of an old gentleman, who, mounting on borseback before some ladies, when I was present, got up somewhat heavily, but desired of the fair spectators, that they would count fourscore and eight before they judged bim. By the mercy of God. I am already come within twenty years of his number: a cripple in my limbs, but what decays are in my mind, the reader must determine. I think myself as vigorous as ever in the faculties of my soul, excepting only my memory, which is not impaned to any great degree; and if I lose not more of it, I have no great reason to complam. What judgement I had, increases rather than diminishes, and thoughts, such as they are, come crowding in so hist upon me, that my only difficulty is to choose or to reject, to run them

I have so long studied and practised both, that they are grown into a habit, and become familiar to me. In short, though I may lawfully plead some part of the old gentleman's excuse, yet I will reserve it till I think I have greater need, and ask no grains of allowance for the faults of this my present work, but those which are given of course to human fraulty. I will not trouble my reader with the shortness of time in which I wrote it, or the several intervals of sickness. They who think too well of their own performances, are apt to boast in their prelaces how little time their works have cost them, and what other business of more importance interfered; but the reader will be as apt to ask the question, why they allowed not a longer time to make their works more perfect? and why they had so despicable an opinion of their judges as to thrust their indigested stuff upon them, as if they descrived no better?

With this account of my present undertaking, I conclude the first part of this discourse; in the second part, as at a second sitting, though I alter not the draught. I must touch the same features over again. and change the dead-colouring of the whole. In general I will only say, that I have written nothing which savours of immorality or profaneness; at least, I am not conscious to myself of any such intention. If there happen to be found an irreverent expression, or a thought too wanton, they are crept into my verses through my inadvertency; if the searchers find any in the cargo, let them be staved or forfeited, like counterbanded goods; at least, let their authors be answerable for them, as being but imported merchandise, and not of my own manufacture. On the other side. I have endeavoured to choose such fables, both ancieut and modern, as contain in each of them some instructive moral; which I could prove by induction, but the way is tedious, and they leap foremost into ight, without the reader's trouble of looking after them. I wish I could affirm, with a safe conscience, that I had taken the same care in all my former writings, for it must be owned, that supposing verses are never so beautiful or pleasing, yet, if they contain anything which shocks religion or good manners, they are at best what Horace says of good numbers without good sense, Verus mopes rerum, nuageque canorae. Thus lar, I hope, I am right in court, without renouncing to my other right of self-delence, where I have been

wrongfully accused, and my sense wire-drawn into blasphemy or bawdry, as it has often been by a religious lawyer, in a late pleading against the stage; in which he mixes truth with falsehood, and has not forgotten the old rule of calumnating strongly, that something may remain.

something may remain. I resume the thrid of my discourse with the first of my translations, which was the first Iliad of Homer. If it shall please God to give me longer life, and moderate health, my intentions are to translate the whole Ilian; provided still that I meet with those encouragements from the public, which may enable me to proceed in my undertaking with some checifulness. And this I dare assure the world beforehand. that I have found, by trial, Homer a more pleasing task than Virgil, though I say not the translation will be less laborious; for the Grecian is more according to my genius than the Latin poet. In the works of the two authors we may read their manners, and natural inclinations, which are wholly different. Virgil was of a quiet, sedate temper; Homer was violent, impetuous, and full of fire. The chief talent of Virgil was propriety of thoughts, and ornament of words. Homer was rapid in his thoughts, and took all the liberties, both of numbers and of expressions, which his language, and the age in which he lived, allowed him. Homer's invention was more copious, Virgil's more contined; so that if Homer had not led the way, it was not in Virgil to have begun heroic poetry; for nothing

can be more evident, than that the Roman poem is

but the second part of the Rus; a continuation of the same story, and the persons already formed. The manners of Aeneas are those of Hector, super-added to those which Homer gave him. The adventures of Ulysses in the Odyssess are imitated in the first six books of Virgil's Aeness; and though the accidents are not the same (which would have argued him of a servile copying, and total barrenness of invention), yet the seas were the same in which both the heroes wandered; and Dido cannot be denied to be the poetical daughter of Calypso. The six latter books of Virgil's poem are the four-and-twenty Iliads contracted; a quarrel occasioned by a lady, a single combat, battles fought, and a town besieged. I say not this in delogation to Virgil, neither do I contradict anything which I have formerly said in his just praise; for his episodes are almost wholly of his own invention, and the form which he has given to the telling makes the tale his own, even though the original story had been the same But this proves, however, that Homer taught Virgil to design, and if invention be the first virtue of an enic poet, then the Latin poem can only be allowed the second place. Mr. Hubbes, in the preface to his own bald translation of the Bar Istudying poetry as he did mathematics, when it was too late), Mr. Hobbes, I say, begins the praise of Homer where he should have ended it. He tells us, that the first beauty of an epic poem consists in diction; that is, in the choice of words, and harmony of numbers. Now the words are the colouring of the work, which, in the order of nature, is last to be considered. The design, the disposition, the manners, and the thoughts, are all before it: where any of those are wanting or unperfeet, so much wants or is imperfect in the imitation of human life, which is in the very definition of a poem. Words, indeed, like glaring colours, are the first beauties that arise and strike the sight; but, if the draught be false or lame, the figures ill disposed, the manners obscure or inconsistent, or the thoughts unnatural, then the finest colours are but daubing, and the piece is a beautiful monter at the best. Norther Virgil nor Homer were deficient in any of the former beauties; but in this last, which is expression, the Roman poet is at least equal to the Greecian as I have said elsewhere; supplying the poverty of his language by his musical ear, and by his diagence.

But to return: our two great ports being so different in their tempers, one cholene and sanguine, the other phlegmatic and melanchohe; that which makes them excel in their several ways is, that each of them has followed his own natural inclination, as well in forming the design, as in the execution of it. The very heroes show their authors. Achilles is hot, impatient, revengeful:

Impiger, fractudus, ineverabiles, ocer, &c.,

Aeneas patient, considerate, careful of his people, and merciful to his enemics; ever submissive to the will of heaven:

. . . quo fata trahunt retrahuntque, sequamur

I could please myself with enlarging on this subject, but am forced to defer it to a fitter time. From all I have said. I will only draw this inference, that the action of Homer, being more full of vigour than that of Virgil, according to the temper of the writer, is of consequence more pleasing to the reader. One warms you by degrees; the other sets you on fire all at once, and never intermits his heat. "Its the same difference which Longinus makes betwixt the effects of clumence in Demosthenes and Tully; one persuades, the other commands. You never cool while you read Homer, even not in the Second Book (a graceful flattery to his countrymen); but he hastens from the ships, and concludes not that book till he has made you an amends by the violent playing of a new machine From thence he burries on his action with variety of events, and ends it in less compass than two months. This vehemence of his, I confess, is more suitable to my temper; and, therefore, I have translated his First Book with greater pleasure than any part of Virgil; but it was not a pleasure without pains. The continual agitations of the spirits must needs be a weakening of any constitution, especially in age; and many pauses are required for refreshment betwist the heats; the Iliad of itself being a third part longer than all Virgil's works together.

This is what I thought needful in this place to say of Homer. I proceed to Ovid and Chaucer; considering the former only in relation to the latter. With Ovid ended the golden age of the Roman tongue: from Chaucer the purity of the English tongue began, The manners of the poets were not unlike. Both of them were well-bred, well-natured, amorous, and libertine, at least in their writings; it may be, also in their lives. Their studies were the same, philosophy and philology. Both of them were knowing in astronomy, of which Ovid's hooks of the Roman Feasts, and Chaucer's Treatise of the Astrolabe, are sufficient witnesses. But Chaucer was likewise an astrologer, as were Vugil, Horace, Persius, and Manilius. Both writ with wonderful facility and clearness; neither were great inventors: for Ovid only copied the Greeian fables, and most of Chaucer's stories were taken from his Italian contemporanes, or their predecessors. Boccace his Decameron was first published, and from thence our Englishman has borrowed many of his Canterbury Tales: yet that of Palamon and Arcite was written, in all probability, by some Italian wit, in a former age, as I shall prove hercalter. The tale of Grigild was the invention of Petrarch; by him sent to Boccace, from whom it came to Chaucer Troilus and Cressida was also written by a Lombard author, but much amplified by our English translator, as well as heautified, the genus of our countrymen, in general, being rather to improve an invention than to invent themselves, as is evident not only in our poetry, but in many of our manufactures. I find I have anticipated already, and taken up from Boccare before I come to him but there is so much less behind, and I am of the temper of most kings, who love to be in debt. are all for present money, no matter how they pay it afterwards, besides, the nature of a preface is rainbling, never wholly out of the way, nor in it This I have learned from the practice of honest Montagne. and return at my pleasure to Ovid and Chaucer, of whom I have little more to say. Both of them built on the inventions of other men!

yet, since Chaucer had something of his own, as The Wife of Bath's Tale, The Cock and the I've, which I have translated, and some others, I may justly give our countivman the precedence in that part, since I can remember nothing of Ovid which was wholly his. Both of them understood the manners; under which name I comprehend the passions, and, in a larger sense, the descriptions of persons, and their very habits. For an example, I see Baucis and Philemon as perfectly before me as if some ancient painter had drawn them, and all the pilgings in the Canterbury Tales, their humours, their features, and the very dress, as distinctly as if I had supped with them at the Tabard in Southwark. Yet even there, too, the figures of Chaucer are much more lively, and set in a better light, which though I have not time to prove, yet I appeal to the reader, and am sure he will clear me from partiality. The thought, and words remain to be considered, in the communion of the two poets; and I have saved myself one-half of the labour, by owning that Oxid hved when the Roman tongue was in its mendian, (haucei, in the dawning of our language therefore that part of the comparison stands not on an equal foot, any more than the diction of I unius and Ovid, or of Chaucer and our present English. The words are given up, as a post not to be defended in our poet, because he wanted the modern art of fortifying. The thoughts remain to be considered, and they are to be measured my temper; and, therefore, I have translated his First Book with greater pleasure than any part of Virgil; but it was not a pleasure without pains. The continual agitations of the spirits must needs be a weakening of any constitution, especially in age; and many pauses are required for refieshment betwixt the heats; the Midd of itself being a third part longer than all Virgil's works together.

This is what I thought needful in this place to say of Homer. I proceed to Ovid and Chaucer, considering the former only in relation to the latter. With Ovid ended the golden age of the Roman tongue; from Chaucer the purity of the English tongue began, The manners of the poets were not unlike. Both of them were well-bred, well-natured, amorous, and libertine, at least in their writings; it may be, also in their lives. Their studies were the same, philosophy and philology. Both of them were knowing in astronomy, of which Ovid's books of the Roman Feasts, and Chaucer's Treatise of the Astrolabe, are sufficient witnesses. But Chancer was likewise an astrologer, as were Virgil, Horace, Persius, and Manilius. Both writ with wonderful facility and clearness; neither were great inventors, for Ovid only copied the Greeian fables, and most of Chaucer's stories were taken from his Italian contemporaries, or their predecessors. Boccace his Decameron was first published, and from thence our Englishman has borrowed many of his Canterbury Tales: yet that of Palamon and Arcite was written, in all probability, by some Italian wit, in a former age, as I shall prove hereafter. The tale of Grand was the invention of Petrarch; by him sent to Boccace, from whom it came to Chaucer. Troilus and Cresida was also written by a Lombard author, but much amplified by our English translator, as well as beautified; the genus of our countrymen, in general, being rather to improve an invention than to invent themselves, as is evulent not only in our poetry, but in many of our manufactures. I find I have anticipated already, and taken up from Boccace before I come to him but there is so much less behind; and I am of the temper of most kings, who love to be in debt, are all for piesent money, no matter how they pay it afterwards: besides, the nature of a preface sy rambling, never wholly out of the way, nor in this I have learned from the practice of honest Montaigne, and return at my pleasure to Ovid and Chaucer, of whom I have little more to say.

Both of them built on the inventions of other men; yet, since Chancer had something of his own, as The Wife of Bath's Tale, The Cock and the Fox, which I have translated, and some others, I may justly give our countryman the precedence in that part, since I can remember nothing of Ovid which was wholly his. Both of them understood the manners; under which name I comprehend the passions, and, in a larger sense, the descriptions of persons, and their very habits. For an example, I see Baucis and Plulemon as perfectly before me as if some ancient painter had drawn them, and all the pilgrims in the Canterbury Tales, their humours, their features, and the very dress, as distinctly as if I had supped with them at the Tabard in Southwark. Yet even there, too, the figures of Chaucer are much more lively. and set in a better light; which though I have not time to prove, yet I appeal to the reader, and am sure he will clear me from partiality. The thoughts and words remain to be considered, in the comparison of the two poets; and I have saved myself one-half of the labour, by owning that Oud lived when the Roman tongue was in its meridian; Chaucer, in the dawning of our language: therefore that part of the comparison stands not on an equal foot, any more than the diction of Ennius and Ovid, or of Chaucer and our present English. The words are given up, as a post not to be defended in our poet, because he wanted the modern art of fortifying. The thoughts remain to be considered, and they are to be measured

only by their propriety, that is, as they flow more or less naturally from the persons described, on such and such occasions. The vulgar judges, which are nine parts in ten of all nations, who call conceits and ingles wit, who see Ovid full of them, and Chaucer altogether without them, will think me little less than mad for preferring the Englishman to the Roman. Yet, with their leave, I must presume to say, that the things they admire are only glittering trifles, and so far from being witty, that in a serious poem they are nauseous, because they are unnatural. Would any man, who is ready to die for love, describe his passion like Narcissus? Would be think of motion me cobia tent, and a dozen more of such expressions, poured on the neck of one another, and signifying all the same thing? If this were wit, was this a time to be witty, when the poor wretch was in the agony of death? This is just John Littlewit, in Bartholomen Fair, who had a conceit (as he tells you) left him in his misery; a miserable concert. On these occasions the poet should endeavour to raise pity, but, instead of this, Ovid is tickling you to laugh Virgil never made use of such machines when he was moving you to commiserate the death of Dido; he would not destroy what he was building. Chaucer makes Arcite violent in his love, and unjust in the pursuit of it. yet, when he came to die, he made him think more reasonably, he repents not of his love, for that had altered his character; but acknowledges the injustice of his proceedings, and resigns Einilia to Palamon. What would Ovid have done on this occasion? He would certainly have made Arcite witty on his deathbed; he had complained he was farther off from possession by being so near, and a thousand such boyisms, which Chauger rejected as below the dignity of the subject. They who think otherwise, would, by the same reason, prefer Lucan and Ovid to Homer and Virgil, and Martial to all four of them. As for the turn of words, in which Ovid particularly excels all poets, they are sometimes a fault, and sometimes a beauty, as they are used properly or improperly, but in strong passions always to be shunned, because passions are serious, and will admit no playing The French have a high value for them, and, I confess, they are often what they call delicate, when they are introduced with judgement, but Chaucer writ with more simplicity, and followed Nature more closely than to use them. I have thus far, to the best of my knowledge, been an upright judge between the parties in competition, not meddling with the design nor the disposition of it, because the design was not their own; and in the disposing of it they were equal. It remains that I say somewhat of Chaucer in particular In the first place, as he is the father of Lnglish poetry, so I hold him in the same degree of veneration as the Grecians held Homer, or the Romans Virgil He is a perpetual fountain of good sense, learned in all sciences, and, therefore, speaks properly on all subjects. As he knew what to say, so he knows also when to leave off, a continence which is plactised by few writers, and scarcely by any of the ancients excepting Virgil and Horace One of our late great poets is sunk in his reputation, because he could never forgive any conceit which came in his way, but swept like a drag-net, great and small There was plenty enough, but the dishes were ill sorted, whole nyramids of sweetmeats for boys and women. but little of solid meat for men. All this proceeded not from any want of knowledge, but of judgement Neither did he want that in discerning the beauties and faults of other poets, but only indulged himself in the luxury of writing, and perhaps knew it was a fault, but hoped the reader would not find it. I or this reason, though he must always be thought a great poet, he is no longer esteemed a good writer, and for ten impressions, which his works have had in so many successive years, yet at present a hundred books are

scarcely purchased once a twelvemonth, for, as my

last Lord Rochester said, though somewhat profanely, Not being of God, he could not stand

Chaurer followed Nature everywhere, but was never so bold to go beyond her, and there is a great difference of being paeta and nimis poeta, if we may believe Catullus, as much as betweet a modest behaviour and affectation. The verse of Chaucer, I confess, is not harmonious to us, but 'tis like the eloquence of one whom Taeitus commends, it was auribus istius temboris accommodata: they who lived with him, and some time after him, thought it musical, and it continues so, even in our judgement, if compared with the numbers of Lydgate and Gower, his contemporaries there is the rude sweetness of a Scotch tune in it, which is natural and pleasing, though not perfect 'Tis true, I cannot go so far as he who published the last edition of him, for he would make us believe the fault is in our ears, and that there were really ten syllables in a verse where we find but nine but this opinion is not worth confuting, 'tis so gross and obvious an error, that common sense (which is a rule in everything but matters of Faith and Revelation) must comince the reader, that equality of numbers in every verse which we call heroic was either not known, or not always practised, in Chaucer's age It were an easy matter to produce some thousands of his verses which are lame for want of half a foot. and sometimes a whole one, and which no pronunciation can make otherwise. We can only say, that he hied in the infancy of our poetry, and that nothing 11 brought to perfection at the first. We must be children before we grow men There was an Ennius, and in process of time a Lucilius, and a Lucretius, before Virgil and Horace, even after Chaucer there was a Spenser, a Harmgton, a Fairfax, before Waller and Denham were in being, and our numbers were in their nonage till these last appeared. I need say little of his parentage, life, and fortunes; they are to be found at large in all the editions of his works. He

and was poet, as I suppose, to all three of them. In Richard's time, I doubt, he was a little dipped in the schellion of the Commons, and being brother-in-law to John of Gaunt, it was no wonder if he followed the fortunes of that family, and was well with Henry the Fourth when he had deposed his predecessor. Neither is it to be admired, that Henry, who was a wise as well as a valiant prince, who clasmed by succession, and was sensible that his title was not sound. but was rightfully in Mortimer who had married the heir of York; it was not to be admired, I say, if that great politician should be pleased to have the greatest wit of those times in his interests, and to be the trumpet of his praises. Augustus had given him the example, by the advice of Maecenas, who recommended Vingil and Horace to him; whose praises helped to make him popular while he was alive, and after his death have made him precious to posterity. As for the religion of our poet, he seems to have some little bias towards the opinions of Wicliffe, after John of Gaunt his patron; somewhat of which appears in the tale of Piers Plowman vet I cannot blame him for inveighing so sharply against the vices of the clergy in his age. their pride, their ambition, their pomp, their avarice. their worldly interest, deserved the lashes which he gave them, both in that, and in most of his Canterbury Tales. Neither has his contemporary Boccace spared them: vet both those poets lived in much estrem with good and holy men in orders; for the scandal which is given by particular priests reflects not on the sacred function. Chaucer's Monk, his Canon, and his I riar, took not from the character of his Good Parson A saturical poet is the check of the laymen on bad priests. We are only to take care, that we involve not the innocent with the guilty in the same condemnation. The good cannot be too much honoured, nor the bad too coarsely used; for the corruption of the best becomes the worst. When a clergyman is whipped, his gown is first taken off, by which the dignity of his order is secured. If he be wrongfully accused, he has his action of slander, and 'tis at the poet's nead if he transgress the law. But they will tell us, that all kind of sature, though never so well deserved by particular priests, yet brings the whole order into contempt. Is then the peerage of England anything dishonoured when a peer suffers for his treason? If he be libelled, or any way defamed, he has his sandalum magnatum to punish the offender. They who use this kind of argument seem to be conscious to themselves of somewhat which has deserved the moet's lash, and are less concerned for their public capacity than for their private; at least there is pride at the bottom of their reasoning. If the faults of men in orders are only to be judged among themselves. they are all in some sort parties; for, since they say the honour of their order is concerned in every member of it, how can we be sure that they will be impartual judges? How far I may be allowed to speak my opinion in this case, I know not; but I am sure a dispute of this nature caused muschief in abundance betweet a King of England and an Archbehop of t anterbury; one standing up for the laws of his land, and the other for the bonour (as he called at) of God's Church: which ended in the murder of the prelate, and in the whipping of his Majesty from post to rollar for his penance. The learned and ingenious Dr. Drake has saved me the labour of mounting into the esteem and reverence which the priests have had of old; and I would rather extend than diminish any part of it yet I must needs say, that when a priest provokes me without any occasion given him. I have no reason, unless it be the charity of a Christian, to formive him: brun lassit is justification sufficient in the cryd law. If I answer hum in his own language, self-defence I am sure must be allowed me:

and if I carry it faither, even to a sharp recumina-

Yet my resentment has not wrought so far but that I have followed Chaucer, in his character of a holy man, and have enlarged on that subject with some pleasure; reserving to myself the right, if I shall think fit hereafter, to describe another sort of priests, such as are more easily to be found than the Good Parson; such as have given the last blow to Christianity in

this age, by a practice so contrary to their doctrine But this will keep cold till another time. In the meanwhile, I take up Chaucer where I left him He must have been a man of a most wonderful comprehensive nature, because, as it has been truly observed of him, he has taken into the compass of his Canterbury Tales the various manners and humouis (as we now call them) of the whole English nation in his age. Not a single character has escaped him All his pilgrims are severally distinguished from each other, and not only in their inchnations, but in their very physiognomies and persons Baptista Porta could not have described their natures better than by the marks which the poet gives them. The matter and manner of their tales, and of their telling, are so suited to their different educations, humours, and callings, that each of them would be improper in any other mouth. Even the grave and schools characters are distinguished by their several sorts of gravity. their discourses are such as belong to their age, their calling, and their biecding, such as are becoming of them, and of them only Some of his persons are vicious, and some virtuous, some are unlearned, or (as Chaucer calls them) lewd, and some are learned. Even the ribaldry of the low characters is different. the Reeve, the Miller, and the Cook are several men, and distinguished from each other as much as the mincing Lady Prioress and the broad-speaking gantoothed Wife of Bath. But enough of this, there is such a variety of game springing up before me that I am distracted in my choice, and know not which to follow. 'Tis sufficient to say, according to the proverb. that here is God's plenty. We have our forefathers and great-grand-dames all belore us, as they were in Chaucer's days: their general characters are still remaining in mankind, and even in England, though they are called by other names than those of monks and fruits and canons and lady abbesses and nuns; for mankind is ever the same, and nothing lost out of Nature, though everything is altered. May I have leave to do myself the justice (since my enemies will do me none, and are so far from granting me to be a good poet, that they will not allow me so much as to be a Christian, or a moral man), may I have leave. I say, to inform my reader, that I have confined my chance to such tales of Chancer as sayour nothing of immodesty. If I had desired more to please than to instruct, the Reeve, the Miller, the Shipman, the Merchant, the Sumner, and, above all, the Wife of Bath, in the Prologue to her Tale, would have procured me as many friends and readers, as there are heaux and ladies of pleasure in the town. But I will no more offend against good manners: I am sensible as I ought to be of the scandal I have given by my loose writings; and make what reparation I am able, by this public acknowledgement. If anything of this nature, or of profaneness, be crept into these poems, I am so far from defending it that I disown it. Totum hoe indictum colo. Chaucer makes another manner of apology for his broad speaking, and Boccace makes the life; but I will follow neither of them. Our countryman, in the end of his characters before the Canterbury Tales, thus excuses the ribaldry, which is very gross in many of his novels:

But firste, I pray you, of your courtey,
I hat ye ne arrile it not my villany,
I hat ye ne arrile it not my villany,
I hough that I pleanly sheek in the mattere,
To titlen you her words, and ehe her chere:
Ne though I speke her words properly,
For this ye knowen as well as I.

Who shall tellen a tale after a man, the most rehearse as me as ever the can: Boench word of it ben in his charge, All speke he, never so rudely, ne large. Or slaw he will tellen his ide varine, Or fave things, or fand words new: the way not share, all he were his brather the wate as teal say a word as another Cent spake humself ful broad in hely Wrst, And well I wote no willing is not rele, The words most been cousten but select.

Yet if a man should have inquired of Boccace or of Chaucer, what need they had of introducing such characters, where obscene words were proper in their mouths, but very indecent to be heard; I know not what answer they could have made; for that reason such tales shall be left untold by me. You have have a specimen of Chaucer's language, which is so obsolete that his sense is scarce to be understood; and you have likewise more than one example of his unequal numbers, which were mentioned before. Yet many of his verses consist of ten syllables, and the words not much behind our present English, as for example, those two lines, in the description of the carpenter's young wife:

Wincing she was, as is a jolly colt, Long as a mast, and upright as a holt.

I have almost done with Chaucer, when I have answered some objections telating to my present work. I find some people are offended that I have turned these tales into modern Engish, because they think them unworthy of my pains, and look on Chaucer as a dry, old-fashioned wit, not worth reviving. I have often heard the late Earl of Leicoster say that Mr. Cowley himself was of that opinion; who, having read him over at my lord's request, die latel no taste of him. I date not advance my opinion against the judgement of so great an author, but I

think it fair, however, to leave the decision to the public. Mr. Cowley was too modest to set up for a dictator; and heing shocked perhaps with his old style, never examined into the depth of his good sense. Chaucer, I confess, is a rough diamond, and must first be polished ere he shines. I deny not likewise, that, living in our early days of poetry, he writes not always of a piece; but sometimes mingles trivial things with those of greater moment. Sometimes also, though not often, he runs not like Ovid, and knows not when he has said enough. But there are more great wits besides Chaucer whose fault is their excess of concerts, and those ill sorted. An author is not to write all he can, but only all he ought. Having observed this redundancy in Chaucer (as it is an easy matter for a man of ordinary parts to find a fault in one of greater), I have not tied myself to a literal translation; but have often omitted what I judged unnecessary, or not of dignity enough to appear in the company of better thoughts. I have presumed further, in some places, and added somewhat of my own where I thought my author was deficient, and had not given his thoughts their true lustre, for want of words in the beginning of our language. And to this I was the more emboldened, because (if I may be permitted to say it of myself) I found I had a soul congenial to his, and that I had been conversant in the same studies. Another poet, in another age, may take the same liberty with my writings, if at least they live long enough to deserve correction. It was also necessary sometimes to restore the sense of Chaucer. which was lost or manufed in the errors of the press Let this example suffice at present: in the story of Palamon and Arate, where the temple of Diana is described, you find these verses, in all the editions of our author.

> There saw I Dane traned into a tree, I mean not the goddess Diane, But V erms daughter, which that hight Dane.

Which, after a little consideration, I knew was to be reformed into this sense, that Daphae, the daughter of Pencus, was turned into a tree. I duris not make this bold with Ovid, lest some future Milbourne should arise, and say, I varied from my author because I understood him not.

But there are other judges, who think I ought not to have translated Chaucer into English, out of a quite contrary notion: they suppose there is a certain veneration due to his old language; and that it is little less than profanation and sacrilege to alter it. They are further of opinion, that somewhat of his good sense will suffer in this transfusion, and much of the beauty of his thoughts will infallibly be lost, which appear with more grace in their old habit. Of this opinion was that excellent person whom I mentioned, the late Earl of Leicester, who valued Chaucer as much as Mr. Cowley despised him. My lord dissuaded me from this attempt (for I was thinking of it some years before his death), and his authority prevailed so far with me, as to defer my undertaking while he lived, in deference to him: yet my reason was not convinced with what he urged against it. If the first end of a writer be to be understood, then, as his language grows obsolete, his thoughts must grow obscure:

> Multa renascentur, quae nunc cecutere; cadentque Quae nunc sunt in honore vocabula, si volet usus, Quem penes arbitrum est et ius et norma loquendi.

When an ancient word, for its sound and significancy, deserves to be revived, I have that reasonable veneration for antiquity to restore it. All beyond this is superstition. Words are not like landmarks, so sacred as never to be removed, customs are changed, and even statutes are sliently repealed, when the reason ceases for which they were enacted. As for the other part of the argument, that his thoughts will lose of their openal beauty by the innovation of

words; in the first place, not only their beauty but their being is lost where they are no longer understood, which is the present case. I grant that something must be lost in all transfusion, that is, in all translations; but the sense will remain, which would otherwise be lost, or at least be maimed, when it is scarce intelligible, and that but to a few. How few are there, who can read Chaucer so as to understand him perfectly? And if imperfectly, then with less profit, and no pleasure. It is not for the use of some old Saxon friends that I have taken these pains with him: let them neglect my version, because they have no need of it. I made it for their sakes who understand sense and poetry as well as they, when that poetry and sense is put into words which they understand. I will go farther, and date to add, that what beauties I lose in some places, I give to others which had them not originally but in this I may be partial to myself; let the reader judge, and I submit to his decision. Yet I think I have just occasion to complain of them, who, because they understand Chaucer, would deprive the greater part of their countrymen of the same advantage, and hoard him up, as misers do their grandam gold, only to look on it themselves, and hinder others from making use of it. In sum, I seriously protest that no man ever had, or can have, a greater veneration for Chaucer than myself. I have translated some part of his works, only that I might perpetuate his memory, or at least refresh it, amongst my countrymen. If I have altered him anywhere for the better, I must at the same time acknowledge that I could have done nothing without him. Facile est inventes addere is no great commendation; and I am not so vain to think I have deserved a greater. I will conclude what I have to say of him singly, with this one remark: a lady of my acquaintance, who keeps a kind of correspondence with some authors of the fair sex in France, has been informed by them, that Mademoiselle de Scudéry, who is as old

as Sibyl, and inspired like her by the same god of poetry, is at this time translating Chaurer into modern French. From which I gather, that he has been formerly translated into the old Provencal; for how she should come to understand old English, I know not. But the matter of fact being true, it makes me think that there is something in it like fatality; that, after certain periods of time, the fame and memory of great wits should be renewed, as Chaucei is both in France and England. If this be wholly chance, 'tis extraordinary; and I dare not call it more, for fear of being taxed with superstition.

Boccace comes last to be considered, who, living in the same age with Chaucer, had the same genius, and followed the same studies. Both writ novels, and each of them cultivated his mother tongue. But the greatest resemblance of our two modern authors being in their familiar style and pleasing way of relating comical adventures, I may pass it over, because I have translated nothing from Boccace of that nature. In the serious part of poetry, the advantage is wholly on Chaucer's side, for though the Englishman has borrowed many tales from the Italian, yet it appears that those of Boccace were not generally of his own making, but taken from authors of former ages, and by him only modelled; so that what there was of invention in either of them may be judged equal. But Chaucer has refined on Boccace, and has mended the stories, which he has borrowed, in his way of telling; though prose allows more liberty of thought, and the expression is more easy when unconfined by numbers. Our countryman carries weight, and yet wins the race at disadvantage. I desire not the reader should take my word, and, therefore, I will set two of their discourses, on the same subject, in the same light, for every man to judge betweet them. I translated Chaucer first, and, amongst the rest, pitched on The Wife of Bath's Tale; not daring, as I have said, to adventure on her Prologue, because 'tis too beentious. There Chaucer introduces an old woman. of mean parentage, whom a youthful knight of noble blood was forced to marry, and consequently loathed her. The crone being in bed with him on the weddingnight, and finding his aversion, endeavours to win his affection by reason, and speaks a good word for herself (as who could blame her?) in hope to mollify the sullen budgeroom. She takes her topics from the benefits of poverty, the advantages of old age and ugliness, the vanity of youth, and the silly pride of ancestry and titles without inherent virtue, which is the true nobility. When I had closed Chaucer, I returned to Ovid, and translated some more of his fables; and, by this time, had so far forgotten The Wife of Balk's Tale, that when I took up Boccace, unawares I fell on the same argument, of preferring vitue to nobility of blood and titles, in the story of Signsmonda; which I had certainly avoided for the resemblance of the two discourses, if my memory had not failed me. Let the reader weigh them both; and, if he thinks me partial to Chaucer, 'us in him to right Boccace.

I prefer in our countryman, far above all his other stories, the noble poem of Palamon and Arcite, which is of the epic kind, and perhaps not much inferior to the Ilias of the Aneis. The story is more pleasing than either of them, the manners as perfect, the diction as poetical, the learning as deep and various, and the disposition full as artful: only it includes a greater length of time, as taking up seven years at least; but Anstotle has left undecided the duration of the action; which yet is easily reduced into the compass of a year by a narration of what preceded the return of Palamen to Athens. I had thought, for the honour of our narration, and more particularly for his, whose lautel, though unworthy, I have worn after him, that this story was of English growth, and Chaucer's own: but I was undecrived by Boccace; for, casually looking on the end of his seventh Giarnata, I found Dioneo (under

197 which name he shadows himself), and Frametta (who represents his mistress, the natural daughter of Robert, King of Naples), of whom these words are spol en Dioneo e Frametta gran pezza cantarono insiema d'Anata, e di Palemone, by which it appears, that this story was written before the time of Borcace, but the name of its author being wholly lost, Chaucer is now become an original, and I question not but the poem has received many beauties by passing through his noble hands Besides this tale, there is another of his own invention after the manuer of the Provencials, called The Flower and the Leaf, with which I was so particularly pleased, both for the invention and the moral, that I cannot hinder myself from recommending it to the reader. As a corollary to this preface, in which I have done

justice to others. I owe somewhat to myself, not that I think it worth my time to enter the lists with one Milbourne and one Blackmore, but barely to take notice, that such men there are, who have written scurrilously against me, without any provocation Milbourne, who is in orders, pretends, amongst the rest, this quarrel to me, that I have fallen foul on priesthood if I have, I am only to ask paidon of good priests, and am afraid his part of the reparation will come to little Let him be satisfied, that he shall not be able to force himself upon me for an adversary. I contemn him too much to enter into competition with him. His own translations of Virgil have answered his criticisms on mine. If (as they vay he has declared in print) he prefers the version of Ogilby to mine, the world has made him the same compliment, for 'tis agreed on all hands that he writes even below Ocalby That, you will say, is not easily to be done, but what cannot Milbourne bring about? I am satisfied, however, that while he and I live together, I shall not be thought the worst met of the we. It looks as if I had desired him underhand to write so ill against me, but upon my honest word I have not bribed him to do me service, and am wholly guiltless of his pamphlet. "Tis true I should be glad if I could persuade him to continue his good offices, and write such another critique on anything of mine; for I find. by experience, he has a great stroke with the reader. when he condemns any of my poems, to make the world have a better opinion of them. He has taken some pains with my poetry; but nobody will be persunded to take the same with his. If I had taken to the Church, as he affirms, but which was never in my thoughts. I should have had more sense, if not more grace, than to have turned myself out of my benefice by writing libels on my parishtoners. But his account of my manners and my principles are of a piece with his cavils and his poetry; and so I have done with him for ever.

As for the City Bard, or Knight Physician, I hear his quartel to me is, that I was the author of Absalom and Achiophel, which, he thinks, is a little bard on his fanatic patrons in London.

But I will deal the more civilly with his two poems, because nothing ill is to be spoken of the dead, and therefore peace be to the manes of his Arthurs. I will only say, that it was not for this noble knight that I drew the plan of an epie poem on King Arthur, in my preface to the translation of Junenal. The guardian angels of kingdoms were machines too ponderous for him to manage; and therefore be rigieted them, as Dares did the whirl-bats of Eryx when they were thrown before him by Entellus: yet from that preface he plantly took his hint; for he began immediately upon the story, though he had the baseness not to acknowledge his benefactor, but instead of it, to traduce me in a libed.

I shall say the less of Mr. Collier, because in many things he has taxed me justly; and I have pleaded guilty to all thoughts and expressions of mine which can be truly argued of obscenity, profancess, or immorahty, and retract them. If he be my enemy,

let him triumph; if he be my friend, as I have given him no personal occasion to be otherwise, he will be glad of my repentance. It becomes me not to chair my pen in the defence of a bad cause, when I have so often drawn it for a good one. Yet it were not difficult to prove that in many places he has perverted my meaning by his glosses, and interpreted my word; into blasphemy and bawdry of which they were not guilty. Besides that, he is too much given to horseplay in his raillery, and comes to battle like a dictator from the plough. I will not say, the zeal of God's house has eaten him up: but I am sure it has devoured some part of his good manners and civility. It might also be doubted whether it were altogether zeal which prompted him to this rough manner of proceeding; perhaps it became not one of his function to rake into the rubbish of ancient and modern plays a divine might have employed his pains to better purpose than in the nastiness of Plantus and Aristophanes, whose examples, as they excuse not me, so it might be possibly supposed that he read them not without some pleasure. They who have written commentaries on those poets, or on Horace, Juvenal, and Martial, have explained some vices, which, without their interpretation, had been unknown to modern times. Neither has he judged impartially betwirt the former age and us. There is more bawdry in one play of Fletcher's, called The Custom of the Country, than in all ours together. Yet this has been often acted on the stage in my remembrance. Are the tunes so much more reformed now than they were five-andtwenty years ago? If they are, I congratulate the amendment of our motals. But I am not to prejudice the cause of my fellow poets, though I abandon my own defence: they have some of them answered for themselves; and neither they nor I can think Mr. Colher so formidable an enemy, that we should shun him. He has lost ground, at the latter end of the day, by pursuing his point too far, like the Prince of Conde at the battle of Senneph: from immoral plays to no plays, ab abuse ad usum, non valet consequentia. But, being a party, I am not to erect myself into a judge. As for the rest of those who have written against me, they are such scoundrels that they deserve not the least notice to be taken of them. Blackmore and Milbourne are only distinguished from the crowd by being remembered to their infamy;

. . . Demetrs, teque, Tigelli, Discipulorum unter jubeo plorare cathedras.

JOHN DENNIS

From THE ADVANCEMENT AND REFORMA-TION OF MODERN POETRY (Chapters IV-VI)

[1071]

That the ancient Poets derived their greatness from the nature of their subjects.

TF the ancient poets excelled the moderns in the I greatness of poetry, that is, in epic poetry, in tragedy, and in the greater ode, they must necessarily derive their pre-eminence from the subjects of which they treated, since it has been plainly made to appear that they could not derive it from any external or internal advantage. And it follows, that the subjects which were handled by the ancients must be different from those which have been treated of by the moderns. And if the poems which have been written by the aucients of the forementioned Linds were very much greater than those which have been produced by the moderns, why then it follows that the subjects were very different. But here the favourers of the moderns assert that the advantage which is to be drawn from the subject is purely on the side of the moderns. For who, for example, will compare the achievements of Achilles and Aeneas, the event of which was only the reducing two pitiful paltry bongs with the gluious actions of some of our modern captains. But then the partisans of the ancients reply that there is a difference between one subject and another, which their adversages seem not to have thought of, bor, say they, human subjects can never differ so much among themselves as sacred subje to differ from human, for the difference between the two last is as meat as that between God and Man, which we know is infinite. Now, say they, sacred sumpets are in mitely more susceptible of the greatness of poetry than profane ones can be And the subjects of the ancients in the forementioned poems were sacred. Now that we may engage the lovers of the ancients in their turns by supporting their just pretensions, let us endeavour to show in the following chapters that sacred poems must be greater than profane ones can be, supposing equality of genius and equal art in the writers, and that the poems of the ancients in the forementioned kinds were sacred. But in order to the doing that, we must declare what poetry is and what is its chief excellence.

That passion is the chief thing in poetry and that all passion is either ordinary passion or enthusiasm.

But, before we proceed, let us define poetry; which is the first time that a definition has been given of that noble art; for neither ancient nor modern critics have defined poetry in general.

Poetry, then, is an imitation of Nature by a pathetic and numerous speech. Let us explain it.

As poetry is an art, it must be an imitation of Nature. That the instrument with which it makes its imitation is speech need not be disputed. That that speech must be musical no one can doubt: for numbers distinguish the parts of poetic diction from the periods of prose. Now numbers are nothing but articulate sounds, and their pauses measured by their proper proportions of time. And the periods of prosaic diction are articulate sounds, and their pauses unmeasured by such proportions. That the speech by which poetry makes its imitation must be pathetic is evident, for passion is still more necessary to it than harmony. For harmony only distinguishes its instrument from that of prose, but passion distinguishes its very nature and character. For therefore poetry is poetry, because it is more passionate and sensual than prose. A discourse that is written in very good numbers, if it wants passion, can be but measured prose. But a discourse that is everywhere externelly pathetic, and consequently everywhere hold and figurative, is certainly poetry without numbers.

Passion, then, is the characteristical mark of poetry, and consequently must be everywhere. For wherever a discourse is not pathetie, there it is prosau. As passion in a poem must be everywhere, so harmony is usually diffused throughout it. But passion answers the two ends of poetry better than harmony can do, and upon that account is preferable to it: for first it pleases more, which is evident: for passion can please without paramony, but harmony ten without passion. And in tragedy and in epic poetry a man may instruct without harmony, but harmony is without passion. For the one instructs by admiration, and the other by compassion and terror. And as for the greater ode, if it wants passion, it hecomes hateful and intolerable, and its sentences grow contemptable.

Passion is the characteristical mark of poetry, and therefore it must be everywhere; for without passion there can be no poetry, no more than there can be painting. And though the Poet and the Painter describe action, they must describe it with passion, Let any one who beholds a piece of painting, where the figures are shown in action, conclude that if the figures are without passion the painting is contemptible. There must be passion everywhere in puetry and painting, and the more passion there is, the better the poetry and the painting, unless the passion is too much for the subject, and the Painter and the Post arrive at the height of their art when they describe a great deal of action with a great deal of passion It is plain, then, from what has been said, that passion in poetry must be everywhere, for where there is no passion there can be no poetry, but that which we commonly call passion cannot be everywhere in any poem. There must be passion, then, that must be distinct from ordinary passion, and that must be enthusiasm. I call that ordinary passion, whose cause is clearly comprehended by him who feels it. whether it be admiration, terror, or joy; and I call the very same passions enthusiasms, when their cause is not clearly comprehended by him who feels them. And those enthusiastic passions are sometimes simple. and sometimes complicated, of all which we shall show examples lower. And thus I have shown that the chief thing in poetry is passion; but here the reader is desired to observe, that by poetry we mean poetry in general, and the body of poetry; for as for the form or soul of particular poems, that is allowed by all to be a fable. But passion is the chief thing in the body of poetry, as spirit is in the human body, For without spirit the body languishes, and the soul is impotent: now everything that they call spirit or genius in poetry, in short, everything that pleases. and consequently moves in the poetic diction, is passion, whether it be ordinary or enthusiastic,

And thus we have shown what the chief excellence in the body of poetry is, which we have proved to be passion. Let us now proceed to the proofs of what we propounded, that sacred subjects are more susceptible of passion than profane ones, and that the subjects of the ancients were sacred in their greater poetry—I mean either sacred in their own natures, or by their manner of handling them.

That passion is more to be derived from a sacred subject than from a profune one.

We have proved that passion is the chief thing in poetry, and that spirit or genius, and in short everything that moves, is passion. Now if the chief thing in poetry be passion, why, then, the chief thing in great poetry must be great passion. We have shown, too, that passion in poetry is of two sorts, ordinary passion or enthusism. Let us now proceed to convince the reader that a sacred poem is more suscep-

tible of passion than a profane one can be, which to effect, let us show two things, that a sacred subject is as susceptible of ordinary passions as a profane one can be, and more susceptible of the enthusantic.

The first is evident from experience: for the poetry among the ancients which shall be hereafter proved to be sacred, had in it greater ordinary passions than their human poetry either had or could possibly have.

'Tis now our business to show that religious subjects are capable of supplying us with more frequent and stronger enthusiasins than the profane. And in order to the clearing this, let us inquire what noctical enthusiasm is. Pocucal enthusiasm is a passion guided by judgement, whose cause is not consprehended by us That it is a passion is plain, because it moves. That the cause is not comprehended is self-evident. That it ought to be guided by judgement is indubitable. For otherwise it would be madness, and not poetical passion. But now let us inquire what the cause of poetical enthusiasm is, that has been hitherto not comprehended by us. That enthusiasm moves, is plain to sense; why, then, it moved the writer but if it moved the writer, it moved him while he was thinking. Now what can move a man while he is thinking but the thoughts that are in his mind? In short, enthusiasm as well as ordinary passions must proceed from the thoughts, as the passions of all reasonable creatures must certainly do, but the reason why we know not the causes of enthusiastic as well as of ordinary passions, is because we are not so used to them, and because they proceed from thoughts, that latently and unobserved by us carry passion along with them. Here it would be no haid matter to prove that most of our thoughts are naturally attended with some sort and some degree of passion. And 'tis the expression of this passion which gives us so much pleasure, both in conversation and in human authors. For I appeal to any man who is not altogether a philosopher, whether he is not most pleased with conversation and books that are spirited. Now how can this spirit please him, but because it moves him. or what can move him but passion? We never speak for so much as a minute together without different inflexions of voice. Now any one will find upon reflection that these variations and those inflexions mark our different passions. But all this passes unregarded by us, by reason of long use, and the incredible celerity of our thoughts, whose motion is so swift that it is even to ourselves imperceptible; unless we come to reflect, and every one will not be at the trouble of that. Now these passions, when they grow strong. I call enthusiastic motions, and the stronger they are the greater the enthusiasm must be. If any one asks what sort of passions these are, that thus unknown to us flow from these thoughts, to him I answer, that the same sort of passions flow from the thoughts that would do from the things of which those thoughts are ideas As for example, if the thing that we think of is great, why, then, admiration attends the idea of it: and if it is very great, amazement. If the thing is pleasing and delightful, why then joy and gaiety flow from the idea of it; if it is sad, melancholy; if it is muchicyous and powerful, then the imagination of it is attended with terror; and if 'tis both great and likely to do hurt and powerful, why then the thought of it is at once accompanied with wonder, terror, and astonishment. Add to all this that the mind producing these thoughts conceives by reflection a certain pride and joy and admiration, as at the conscious view of its own excellence.

Now he who strictly examines the enthusiasm that is to be met with in the greater poetry will find that it is nothing but the fore-mentioned passions, either simple or complicated, proceeding from the thoughts from which they naturally flow, as being the thoughts or images of things that carry those passions along with them, as we shall show by examples in the following chapter.

But these passions that attend upon our thoughts are seldom so strong as they are in those kind of thoughts which we call images. For they, being the very lively pictures of the things which they represent. set them, as it were, before our very eyer. But images are never so admirably drawn as when they are drawn in motion; especially if the motion is violent. For the mind can never imagine violent motion without being in a violent agitation itself; and the miagination being fired with that agitation sets the very things before our eyes, and consequently makes us have the same passions that we should have from the things themselves. For the warmer the imagination is, the more present the things are to us of which we draw the images; and, therefore, when once the imagination is so inflamed as to get the better of the understanding, there is no difference between the images and the things themselves; as we see, for example, in fears and madmen.

Thus have we shown that enthusiasm flows from the thoughts, and consequently from the subject from which the thoughts proceed. For, as the spirit in poetry is to be proportioned to the thought—for otherwise it does not naturally flow from it, and consequently is not guided by judgement—so the thought is to be proportioned to the subject. Now no subject is so capable of supplying us with thoughts that necessarily produce these great and strong enthusiasms as a religious subject: for all which is great in religion is most exalted and amazing, all that is joyful is transporting, all that is sad is dismal, and all that is terrible is astonishing.

ALEXANDER POPE

AN ESSAY ON CRITICISM

[1711]

τ

TIS hard to say if greater want of skill Appear in writing or in judging ill; But of the two, less dang'rous is th' offence To tire our patience than muslead our sense. Some few in that, but numbers err in this, Ten censure wrong for one who writes amiss; A fool might once himself alone expose, Now one in verse makes many more in prose. 'Tis with our judgements as our watches, none Go just alike, yet each believes his own. In poets as true genius is but rare, True taste as seldom is the critic's share; Both must alike from heav'n derive their light. These born to judge, as well as those to write, Let such teach others who themselves excel. And censure freely who have written well. Authors are partial to their wit, 'tis true, but are not critics to their judgement too? Yet if we look more closely, we shall find Most have the seeds of judgement in their mind;

Sature affords at least a gimmn'ring light,
The lines, tho' touch'd but fauntly, are drawn right.
But as the slightest sketch, if justly trac'd,
Is by ill colouring but the more disgrac'd,
So by false learning is good sense defac'd;
Some are bewilder'd in the maze of schools,
And some made coxcombs Nature meant but fools.
In search of wit these lose their common sense,
and then turn cruics in their own defence.
The burns alike, who can or cannot write,
with a rival's or a cunuch's spite,

All fools have still an itching to detaile, And fain would be upon the laughing side. If Maevius scribble in Apollo's spite, There are who judge still worse than he can write. Some have at first for wits, then poets passed, Turn'd critics next, and prov'd plain look at last. Some neither can for with nor critics pass, As heavy inules are neither horse nor ass. Those hall-learn'd withings, num'rous in our isle. As half-form'd insects on the banks of Nile; Unfinish'd things, one knows not what to call, Their generation's so equivocal: To tell 'em would a hundred tongues require, Or one vain wit's, that might a hundred tire. But you who seek to give and merit fame, And justly bear a critic's noble name, Be sure yourself and your own reach to know. How far your genius, taste, and learning go; Launch not beyond your depth, but be discreet, And mark that point where sense and duffness meet, Nature to all things fix'd the limits fit, And wisely curb'd proud man's pretending wit, As on the land while here the ocean gains, In other parts it leaves wide sandy plains: Thus in the soul while memory prevails, The solid power of understanding fails: Where beams of warm imagination play. The memory's soft figures melt away. One science only will one genius fit, So vast is art, so narrow human wit:

Each might his sev'ral province well command, Would all but stoop to what they understand. First follow NATURE, and your judgement frame By her just standard, which is still the same: Unerring Nature, still divinely bright,

Not only bounded to pecuhar arts, But oft in those confin'd to single parts. Like kings we lose the conquests gain'd before, By vain ambition still to make them more: 210 POPE

One clear, unchang'd, and universal light, Life, force, and beauty, must to all impart, At once the source, and end, and test of art. Art from that fund each just supply provides, Works without show, and without pomp presides: In some fair body thus th' informing soul With spirits feeds, with vigour fills the whole, Each motion guides, and ev'ry nerve sustains: Itself unseen, but in th' effects, remains, Some, to whom heav'n in wit has been profuse. Want as much more, to turn it to its use, For wit and judgement often are at strife. Tho' meant each other's aid, like man and wife, 'Tis more to guide, than spur the Muse's steed; Restrain his fury, than provoke his speed; The winged courser, like a gen'rous horse, Shows most true mettle when you check his course. Those Ruirs of old discover'd, not devis'd, Are Nature still, but Nature methodiz'd, Nature, like liberty, is but restrain'd By the same laws which first herself ordain'd. Hear how learn'd Greece her useful rules indites. When to repress, and when indulge our flights: High on Parnassus' top her sons she show'd, And pointed out those arduous paths they trod; Held from afar, aloft, th' immortal prize, And urged the rest by equal steps to rise, Just precepts thus from great examples giv'n, She drew from them what they deriv'd from heav'n. The gen'rous critic fann'd the poet's fire, And taught the world with reason to admire. Then criticism the Muse's handmaid prov'd. To dress her charms, and make her more belov'd: But following wits from that intention stray'd: Who could not win the mistress, woo'd the maid; Against the poets their own arms they turn'd. Sure to hate most the men from whom they learn'd. So modern 'pothecaries, taught the art

By doctor's bills to play the doctor's part.

Bold in the practice of mistaken rules, Prescribe, apply, and call their masters fools. Some on the leaves of ancient authors prey; Nor time nor moths e'er spoul'd so much as they: Some drily plain, without invention's aid, Write dull receipts how poerus nay be made. These leave the sense, their learning to display, And those explain the meaning quite away.

You then whose judgement the right course would steen,

Know well each Angent's proper character;
His fable, subject, scope in ev'ry page;
Religion, country, genius of his age.
Without all these at once before your eyes,
Cavil you may, but never criticize.
Be Homer's works your study and delight,
Read them by day, and meditate by night;
Thence form your judgement, thence your maxims
bring.

And trace the Muses upward to their spring; Still with itself compar'd, his text peruse; And let your comment be the Mantuan Muse. When first young Maro in his boundless mind

A work t' outlast immortal Rome design'd,
Perhaps he seem'd above the critic's law,
And but from Nature's fountains securid to draw!
But when t' examine ev'ry part he came,
Nature and Homer were, he found, the same.
Convinc'd, amaz'd, he checks the bold design;
And rules as strict his labour'd work contine,
As if the Stagimte o'erlook'd each line.
Learn hence for ancient rules a just esteem;
To copy Nature is to copy them.

Some beauties yet no precepts can declare, For there's a happiness as well as care. Music resembles poetry; in each Are nameless graces which no methods teach, And which a master-hand alone can reach. If where the rules not far enough extend 212 POPE

(Since rules were made but to promote their end). Some lucky Licence answer to the full Th' intent propos'd, that licence is a rule. Thus Pegasus, a nearer way to take, May boldly deviate from the common track. Great wits sometimes may gloriously offend And rise to faults true critics dare not mend: From vulgar bounds with brave disorder part. And snatch a grace beyond the reach of art. Which, without passing thro' the judgement, gains The heart, and all its end at once attains, In prospects thus, some objects please our eyes, Which out of Nature's common order rise. The shapeless rock, or hanging precipice. But the the ancients thus their rules invade (As kings dispense with laws themselves have made), Moderns, beware! Or if you must offend Against the precept, ne'er transgress its end; Let it be seldom, and compell'd by need; And have, at least, their precedent to plead. The critic else proceeds without remorse, Seizes your fame, and puts his laws in force.

I know there are to whose presumptuous thoughts Those freer beauties, ev'n in them, seem faults. Some figures monstrous and misschap'd appear... Consider'd singly, or beheld too near. Which, but proportion'd to their light, or place, Due distance reconciles to form and grace. A prudent chief not always must display His pow'rs in equal ranks, and fair array, But with th' occasion and the place comply. Conceal his force, may seem sometimes to fly. Those oft are stratagems which errors seem, Nor is it Homer nods, but we that dream. Still green with bays each ancient altar stands. Above the reach of sacrilegious hands: Secure from flames, from envy's fiercer rage, Destructive war, and all-involving age. See, from each clime the learn'd their incense bring! Hear, in all tongues consenting paeans ring! In praise so just let ev'ry voice be jon'd, And fill the gen'ral chorus of mankmd! Hail, bards traumphant! born in happier days; Immortal heirs of universal praise! Whose honours with increase of ages grow, As streams roll down, enlarging as they flow; Nations unborn your mighty names shall sound, And woulds applaid that must not yet be found! Oh may some spark of your celestial fire, The last, the meanest of your sons inspire (That on weak wings, itom far, pursues your flights, Glows while he reads, but trenbles as he writes) To teach vain wits a science little known, T' admire superior sense, and doubt their own!

I

Of all the causes which conspire to blind Man's erring judgement, and misguide the mind, What the weak head with stronget bias rules, Is pride, the never-failing vice of fools. Whatever Nature has in worth denied, She gives in large recruits of needful pride; For as in bodies, thus in souls, we find What wants in blood and spirits, swell'd with wind: Pride, where wit fails, steps in to our defence, And fills up all the mighty void of sense. If once right reason drives that cloud away Truth breaks upon us with residiess day. Trust not your self; but your defects to know, Make use of ev'ry firend—and ev'ry foe.

A little learning is a danglous thurg:

A little learning is a dang'tous thing; Drink deep, or taste not the Pierian spring; There shallow draughts intoxicate the brian, And drinking largely sobers us again. Fir'd at first sight with what the Muse imparts, In lear less youth we tempt the heights of Aris, While from the bounded level of our mind Short views we take, nor see the lengths behind: But more advanc'd, behold with strange surprise New distant scenes of endless science rise! So pleas'd at first the tow'ring Alps we try, Mount o'er the vales, and seem to tread the sky, Th' eternal snows appear aheady past. And the first clouds and mountains seem the last: But those attain'd, we tremble to survey The growing labours of the lengthen'd way, Th' increasing prospect tires our wand'ring eyes, Hills peep o'er hills, and Alps on Alps arise! A perfect judge will read each work of wit With the same spirit that its author writ: Survey the whole, nor seek slight faults to find Where Nature moves, and rapture warms the mind: Nor lose, for that malignant dull delight, The gen'rous pleasure to be charm'd with wit. But in such lays as neither ebb, nor flow, Correctly cold, and regularly low, That shunning faults one quiet tenor keep; We cannot blame indeed—but we may sleep. In wit, as nature, what affects our hearts Is not th' exactness of peculiar parts: *Tis not a hp, or eye, we beauty call, But the joint force and full result of all. Thus when we view some well-proportion'd dome (The world's just wonder, and ev'n thine. O Rome!). No single parts unequally surprise. All comes united to th' admiring eyes; No monstrous height, or breadth, or length appear; The whole at once is bold, and regular. Whoever thinks a faultless piece to see, Thinks what ne'er was, nor is, nor e'er shall be.

Whoever thinks a faultless piece to see,
Thinks what ne'er was, nor is, nor e'er shall be.
In ev'ry work regard the writer's end,
Since none can compass more than they intend;
And if the means be just, the conduct true,
Applause, in spite of trival faults, is due;
As men of breeding, sometimes men of wit,
T' avoid great errors, must the less commit:

Neglect the rules each verbal critic lays, For not to know some trifles, is a praise Most critics, lond of some subservent art, Still make the whole depend upon a part: They talk of principles, but notions prize, And all to one loy'd folly satrifice.

Once on a time, La Mancha's kinght, they say, A certain hard encount'ring on the way. Discours'd in terms as just, with looks as sage, As e'er could Dennis, of the Gres un stage, Concluding all were desp'rate sots and fools, Who durst depart from Austrile's rules. Our author, happy in a judge so mee, Produc'd his play, and begg'd the knight's advice: Made him observe the subject, and the plot. The manners, passions, unities; what not? All which, exact to rule, were brought about, Were but a combat in the lists left out 'What' leave the combat out?' exclaims the knight. Yes, or we must renounce the Stagirite. 'Not so, by Heav'n' (he answers in a rage), 'Knights, squires, and steeds, must enter on the stage,' So vast a throng the stage can ne'er contain, 'Then build a new, or act it in a plain,'

Thus critics, of less judgement than caprile, Curious, not knowing, not exact but nice, Form short ideas; and offind in arts (As most in manners) hi a love to parts.

Some to concert alone their taste confine, And glitt'ring thoughts struck out at ev'ry line; Pleas'd with a work where nothing's just or fit, One glaring chaos and wild heap of wit. Poets, like painters, this unskill'd to trace. The naked nature and the living grace, With gold and jewels cover ev'ry part, And hide with ornaments their want of art. True wit is Nature to advantage dressed, What oft was thought, but ne'er so well expressed: Something, whose truth convince'd at sight we find,

216 POPE

That gives us back the image of our mind, As shades more sweetly recommend the light, So modest planness sets off sprightly wit For works may have more wit than does 'em good. As bodies perish through excess of blood Others for language all their care express, And value books, as women men, for dress: Their praise is still—the style is excellent, The sense, they humbly take upon content. Words are like leaves, and where they most abound. Much fruit of sense beneath is rarely found. Lalse eloquence, like the prismatic glass, Its gaudy colours spreads on ev'ry place: The face of Nature we no more survey, All glares ahke, without distinction gay But true expression, like th' unchanging sun. Clears and improves whate'er it shines upon. It gilds all objects but it alters none. Expression is the dress of thought, and still Appears more decent, as more suitable, A vile concert in pompous words expressed Is like a clown in regal purple dressed For diff'rent styles with diff'rent subjects sort, As several garbs with country, town, and court. Some by old words to fame have made pretence, Ancients in phrase, mere moderns in their sense, Such labour d nothings, in so strange a style, Amaze th' unicam'd, and make the learned smile, Unlucky, as I ungoso in the play. These parks with awkward vanity display What the fine gentleman wore vesterday! And but so mimic ancient with at best. As anys our grandsires, in their doublets dressed. In words, as tashions, the same rule will hold, Alike funtistic, if too new, or old Be not the first by whom the new are tried. Nor yet the last to lay the old aside

But most by numbers judge a poet's song, And smooth or rough, with them, is right or wrong In the bright Muse tho' thousand charms conspire, Her voice is all these tuneful fools admire: Who haunt Parnassus but to please their ear, Not mend their minds; as some to church repair. Not for the doctrine, but the misic there. These equal syllables alone require. The oft the ear the open vowels tire: While expletives their feeble aid do join. And ten low words oft creep in one dull line; While they ring round the same unvaried chimes. With sure returns of still expected thymes: Where'er you find 'the cooling western breeze'. In the next line, it 'whispers thro' the trees'. If 'crystal streams with pleasing murmurs creep', The reader's threaten'd (not in vain) with 'sleep'; Then, at the last and only couplet fraught With some unmeaning thing they call a thought. A needless Alexandrine ends the song, That, like a wounded snake, drags its slow length along

Leave such to tune their own dull rhymes, and know What's roundly smooth or languishingly slow; And praise the eager vigour of a line, Where Denham's strength, and Waller's awectness join.

True ease in writing comes from art, not chance, As those move easest who have learn'd to dance. 'The not enough no harshness gives uffence. The sound must seem an echo to the sense; Soft is the stiain when Zephyr gently blows, And the smooth stream in smoother numbers flows; But when loud surges lash the sounding shore, The hoarse, rough vene should like the torrent roar: When Ajax strives some rock's vast weight to throw, The line too labours, and the words move slow; Not so, when swift Camilla scours the plain, Flies o'er th' unbending corn, and shims along the main.

Hear how Timotheus' varied lays surprise,

218 POPE

And bid alternate passions fall and risel While, at each change, the son of Libyan Tove Now hurns with glory, and then melts with love; Now his fierce eyes with sparkling fury glow. Now sighs steal out, and tears begin to flow: Persians and Greeks like turns of nature found. And the world's victor stood subdu'd by Sound! The pow'r of music all our hearts allow. And what Timotheus was, is Dryden now. Avoid extremes; and shun the fault of such Who still are pleas'd too little or too much. At ev'ry trifle scorn to take offence. That always shows great pride, or little sense: Those heads, as stomachs, are not sure the best, Which nauseate all, and nothing can digest. Yet let not each gay turn thy rapture move: For fools admire, but men of sense approve: As things seem large which we thro' mists descry, Dullness is ever ant to magnify.

Some foreign writers, some our own despise: The ancients only, or the moderns prize, Thus wit, like faith, by each man is applied To one small sect, and all are damn'd beside. Meanly they seek the blessing to confine, And force that sun but on a part to shine, Which not alone the southern wit sublimes. But ripens spirits in cold northern climes; Which from the first has shone on ages past. Enlights the present, and shall warm the last: (Tho' each may feel increases and decays. And see now clearer and now darker days.) Regard not then if wit be old or new. But blame the false, and value still the true, Some ne'er advance a judgement of their own, But catch the spreading notion of the town; They reason and conclude by precedent, And own stale nonsense which they ne'er invent. Some judge of authors' names, not works, and then

Nor praise nor blame the writings, but the men.

Of all this service herd the worst is he That in proud dulines joins with quality. A constant critic at the great man's hoard, To fetch and carry nonsense for my lord. What woful stuff this madrigal would be, In some starv'd hackney someter or me! But let a lord once own the happy lines, How the wit brightens! How the style refines Before his sacred name flies ev'ry fault, And each exalted stanza teems with thought! The vulgar thus through unitation eir; As oft the learn'd by being singular; So much they soom the crowd, that if the throng By chance go right, they purposely go wrong;

So much they scorn the crowd, that if the throng By chance go right, they purposely go wrong; So schismatics the plain believers quit, And are but damn'd for having too much wit. Some praise at morning what they blame at night; But always think the last opinion right, A Muse by these is like a mistress us'd,

This hour she's idoliz'd, the next abus'd;
While their weak heads like towns unfortified,
'Twixt sense and nonsense daily change their side,
Ask them the cause; they're wiser sull, they say;
And still to-morrow's wiser than to-day,
We think our fathers fools, so wise we grow,

Our wiser sons, no doubt, will think us so. Once school-divines this zealous isle o'erspread; Who knew most 'sentences' was deepest read; Faith, gospel, all, seem'd made to be disputed. And none had sense enough to be confuted; Scotists and Thomists now in peace remain, Amidst their kindred cobwebs in Duck-Lane. If faith itself has diff'rent dresses worn, What wonder modes in wit should take their turn?

Oft, leaving what is natural and fit, The current folly proves the ready wit; And authors think their reputation safe, Which lives as long as fools are pleas'd to laugh. Some valuing those of their own side or mind, 220 POPE

Still make themselves the measure of mankind: Fondly we think we honour merit then, When we but praise ourselves in other men. Parties in wit attend on those of state, And public faction doubles private hate. Pride, malice, folly, against Dryden rose, In various shapes of parsons, critics, beaux; But sense surviv'd, when merry jests were past; For rising merit will buoy up at last. Might he return, and bless once more our eyes. New Blackmores and new Milbourns must arise: Nay should great Homer lift his awful head, Zoilus again would start up from the dead. Envy will merit, as its shade, pursue: But like a shadow, proves the substance true; For envied wit, like Sol eclips'd, makes known Th' opposing body's grossness, not its own, When first that sun too pow'rful beams displays, It draws up vapours which obscure its rays; But ev'n those clouds at last adorn its way. Reflect new glones and augment the day, Be thou the first true ment to befriend; His praise is lost, who stays till all commend, Short is the date, alas, of modern rhymes, And 'tis but just to let 'em live betimes, No longer now that golden age appears,

Short is the date, alas, of modern rhymes, And 'tis but just to let 'em' live 'betimes, No longer now that golden age appears, When patriarch-wis surwi'd a thousand years; Now kngth of fame (our second life) is lost, And bare threescore is all ev'n that can boast; Our sons their faibers' failing language see, And such as Chaucer is, shall Dryden be. So when the faithful pencil has design'd Some bright idea of the master's mind, Where a new world leaps out at his command, And ready nature waits upon his hand; When the ripe colours soften and unite, And sweetly mel into just shade and light; When meliowing years their full perfection give, And each bold figure just begins to live,

The treach'rous colours the fair art hetray,
And all the bright creation fades away!
Unhappy wit, like most mistaken things,
Atones not for that envy which it brings.
In youth alone its empty praise we loosst,
But soon the short-liv'd vanity is lost:
Like some fair flow'r the early spring supplies,
That gaily blooms, but ev'n in blooming dies.
What is this wit, which mist our cares employ?
The owner's wife, that other men enjoy;
Then most our trouble still when most admir'd,
And still the more we give, the more requir d;
Whose fame with pains we guard, but lose with

easc.

Sure some to vex, but never all to please: 'Tis what the vicious fear, the virtuous shun, By fools 'tis hated, and by knaves undone! If wit so much from ign'rance undergo, Ah let not learning too commence its foe! Of old, those met rewards who could excel, And such were prais'd who hut endeavour'd well: Tho' triumphs were to gen'rals only due, Crowns were reserv'd to grace the soldiers too. Now, they who reach Parnassus' lofty crown, Employ their pains to spurn some others down; And while self-love each jealous writer rules, Contending wits become the sport of fools, But still the worst with most regret commend, For each ill author is as had a friend. To what hase ends, and by what abject ways, Are mortals urg'd thro' sacred lust of praise! Ah ne'er so dire a thirst of glory boast, Nor in the critic let the man he lost! Good-nature and good-sense must ever join; To err is human, to forgive, divine,

But if in noble minds some dregs remain, Not yet purg'd off, of spleen and sour disdain; Discharge that rage on more provoking crimes, Nor fear a dearth in these flagitious times. No pardon vile obscenity should find,
Tho' wit and art conspire to move your mind;
But dulness with obscenity must prove
As shameful, sure, as impotence in love.
In the fat age of pleasure, wealth, and ease,
Sprung the rank weed, and thriv'd with large in-

When love was all an easy monarch's care, Seldom at council, never in a war, Julis rul'd the state, and statesmen farces writ; Nay, wits had pensions, and young lords had wit: The fair sat panting at a courter's play, And not a mask went unimprov'd away: The modest fan was lifted up no more, And virgins smil'd at what they blush'd before.—The following licence of a foreign reign Did all the dregs of bold Socinus drain; Then unbelieving priests reform'd the nation, And taught more pleasant methods of salvation; Where Heavin's free subjects might their rights dispute.

Lest God hinself should seem too absolute:
Pulpus their sacred satire learn'd to spare,
And Vice admur'd to find a flatt'rer there!
Encourag'd thus, Wit's Titans brav'd the skies,
And the press groam'd with licenc'd blasphemies.
These monsters, crutes! with your darts engage,
Here point your thunder, and exhaust your rage!
Yet shun their fault, who, scandalously nice,
Will needs mistake an author into vice;
All seems infected that th' infected spy,
As all looks yellow to the jauncie'd eye.

m

Learn then what morals critics ought to show, For 'is but half a judge's task, to know.
'I's not enough, taste, judgement, learning, join;
In all you speak, let truth and candour shine: That not alone what to your sense is due All may allow, but sock your friendship too Be silent always when you doubt your sense, And speak, tho' sure, with scenning dillidence. Some positive, persisting fop: we know, Who, if once wrong, will needs be always so; But you, with pleasure own your errors past, And make each day a critique on the last.

³I's not enough, your counted still be true, Blunt truths mure in the fth in nice (alsehoods do; Men must be taught as it you taught them not, And things unl nown propos'd as things forgot. Without good breeding, truth is disapprov'd; That or ly makes superior sense belov'd

Be niggards of advice on no pretence,

For the worst avarice is that of sense

With mean complacence ne'er betray your trust,

Nor be so civil as to prove unjust

Fear not the anger of the wise to raise.

Those best can bear reproof, who ment praise.

'Twere well might critics still this freedom take. But Applus reddens at each word you speak, And states, tremendous, with a threat'ning eye, I ike some herce tyrant in old typestry. houle olders corede are see at thome meets Whose right it is, uncensur'd, to be dull: Such without wit are poets when they please, As without learning they can take degrees Leave dang'rous truths to unsuccessful satires. And flattery to fulsume dedicators. Whom, when they praise, the world believes no more Than when they promise to give scribbling o'er. 'Its best sometimes your censure to restrain, And charitably let the dull be vain Your silence there is better than your spite, I or who can rail so long as they can write? Still humming on, then drowsy course they keep,

And lash'd so long, like tops, are lash'd askep I also steps but help them to renew the race,

224 POPE

As, after stumbling, jades will mend their pace. What crowds of these, impenitently bold, In sounds and jingling syllables grown old, Still run on poets, in a raging vein, Ev'n to the dregs and squeezings of the brain, Stram out the last dull droppings of their sense, And rhyine with all the rage of impotence!

Such shameless bards we have, and yet 'tis true, There are as mad, abandon'd entics too. The bookful blockhead, genorantly read, With loads of learned lumber in his head, With his own tongue still edifies his ears, And always list'ning to himself appears. All books he reads, and all he reads assails, From Dryden's fables down to Durfy's tales. With him, most authors steal their works, or buy; Garth did not write his own Dispensary. Name a new play, and he's the poet's friend, Nay, show'd his faults—but when would poets mend? No place so sacred from such fops is barr'd, Nor is Paul's Church more safe than Paul's church-vard.

Nay, fiy to altars; there they'll talk you dead: For fools rush in where angels fear to tread. Distrustful sense with modest caution speaks, It still looks home, and shirt excursions makes; But rattling nonsense in full volleys breaks, And never shock'd, and never tuni'd aside, Bursts out, residess, with a thund'ring tide!

But where's the man who counsel can bestow,

But where's the man who counsel can bestow, Still pleas'd to teach, and yet not proud to know? Unbasa'd or by favour, or by spate; Not dully preposses'd, nor blindly right; Tho' learn'd, well-bred; and tho' well-bred, sincere, Modestly bold, and lumanly severe: Who to a friend his faults can freely show, And gladly prate the ment of a foe; Blest with a taste exact, yet unconfin'd; A knowledge both of books and humankind;

Gen'rous converse, a soul exempt from pride, And love to praise, with reason on his side

Such once were critics, such the happy few, Athens and Rome in better agrs knew. Athens and Rome in better agrs knew. The mighty Stagrite first left the shore, Spread all his sails, and durst the deeps explore. He steer'd securely, and discover'd fai, Led by the light of the Maconian star. Poets, a race long unconfin'd, and free, Still fond and proud of savage litherty, Received his laws, and stood convinc'd 'twas fit, Who conquer'd Nature, should preside o'er Wit.

Who conquer'd Nature, should preside o'er Wit. Horace still charms with graceful negligence, And without method talks us into sense, Will, like a friend, familiarly convey The truest notions in the easiest way. He, who supreme in judgement, as in wit, Might boldly censure, as he boldly writ, Yet judg'd with coolness, tho' he sung with fire; His precepts teach but what his works inspire. Our critics take a contrary extreme, They judge with fury, but they write with phlegma. Nor suffers Horace more in wrong translations By wits, than critics in as wrong quotations.

See Dionysus Homer's thoughts refine,
And call new beauties forth from ev'ry line!
Fancy and art in gay Petronius please,
The scholar's learning, with the courtier's ease,
In grave Quintilian's copious work, we find

The justest rules, and clearest method join'd. Thus useful arms in magazines we pli e All rang'd in order, and dispos'd with grace; But less to please the eye, than arm the hand, Still fit for use, and ready at command

Thee, bold Longmus¹ all the Nine mapire, And bless their critic with a poet's fire An ardent judge, who zealous in his trust, With warmth gives sentence, yet is alway) just, Whose own example strengthens all his laws, 226 POPE

And is himself that great sublime he draws.
Thus long succeeding critics justly reign'd,
Licence repress'd, and useful have ordain'd,
Learning and Rome alike in empine grew;
And arts still follow'd where her eagles flew;
From the same foes, at last, both felt their doom,
And the same age saw learning fall, and Rome.
With tyrainty then supersution join'd,
As that the body, this enslav'd the mind;
Much was behev'd, but little understood,
And to be dull was constru'd to be good;
A second deluge learning thus o'er-run,
And the monks finish'd what the Goths begun.
At length Erraynic that great minin'd name.

At length Erasmus, that great, injur'd name (The glory of the priesthood, and the shamel), Stemm'd the wild torrent of a barb'rous age, And drove those holy vandals off the stage. But seel each Muse, in Leo's golden days.

But seel each Muse, in Leo's golden days.
Starts from her trance, and trums her wither'd bays.
Rome's ancient genus, o'er its ruins spread,
Shakes oft the dust, and rears his rev'rend head.
Then sculpture and her sister-arts revive;
Stones leap'd to form, and rocks began to live;
With sweeter notes each rising temple rung;
A Raphael painted, and a Vida sung!
Immortal Vida! on whose honour'd brow
The poet's bays and critic's ivy grow:
Cremona now shall ever boast thy name,
As next in place to Manitia, next in fame!

As next in place to Mantua, next in fame! But soon by impious arms from Laturn chas'd, Their ancient bounds the banish'd Muses pass'd; Thence arts o'er all the northern world advance, But critic-learning flourish'd most in France. The rules a nation, born to serve, obeys; And Boileau still in right of Horace sways. But we, brave Britons, foreign laws despis'd, And kept unconquer'd, and unciviliz'd; Fierce for the liberties of wit, and bold, We still defied the Romans, as of old.

Yet some there were, among the sounder few Of those who less presum'd, and better knew, Who durst assert the juster ancient cause. And here restor'd Wit's fundamental laws. Such was the Muse, whose rules and practice tell, 'Nature's chief masterpiece is writing well ' Such was Roscommon-not more learn'd than good, With manners gen'rous as his noble blood: To him the wit of Greece and Rome was known, And ey'ry author's merit, but his own Such late was Walsh-the Muse's prize and friend, Who justly knew to blame or to commend: To failings mild, but zealous for desert: The clearest head, and the sincerest heart, This humble praise, lamented shade! receive, This praise at least a grateful Muse may give: The Muse, whose early voice you taught to sing, Prescrib'd her heights, and prun'd her tender wing, (Her guide now lost) no more attempts to rise, But in low numbers short excursions tries! Content, if hence th' unlearn'd their wants may view, The learn'd reflect on what before they knew: Careless of censure, nor too fond of fame: Still pleas'd to praise, yet not afraid to blame, Averse alike to flatter, or offend: Not free from faults, nor yet too vain to mend.

JOSEPH ADDISON

CHEVY CHASE

[The Speciator, Nos. 70, 74: 1711]

1

Interdum unigus rectum videt. Hon, Epist ii. 1 63,

WHEN I travelled, I took a particular delight in hearing the songs and tables that are come from father to son, and are most in vogue among the common people of the countries through which I passed; for it is impossible that anything should be universally tasted and approved by a multitude, though they are only the rabble of a nation, which hath not in it some peculiar aptness to please and grausy the mind of man. Human nature is the same in all reasonable creatures, and whatever falls in with it will meet with admirers amongst readers of all qualities and conditions. Molière, as we are told by Monsieur Bodeau, used to read all his comedies to an old woman who was his housekeeper, as she sat with him at her work by the chunney-corner; and could foretell the success of his play in the theatre, from the reception it met at his fire-side for he tells us that the audience always followed the old woman. and never failed to laugh in the same place

I know nothing which more shows the essential and inhetent perfection of simplicity of thought, above that which I call the Gothe manner in writing, than thit, that the first pleases all kinds of palates, and the latter only such as have formed to themselves a wrong artificial taste upon little fancibil authors and writers of epigram. Homer, Vingil, or Milton, so far as the language of their poems is understood, will please a teader of plain common sense, who could neither

relish nor comprehend an epigram of Martial, or a poem of Cowley; so, on the contary, an ordinary song or balled that is the delight of the common people cannot fail to please all such readers as are not unqualified for the entertainment by their affectation or ignorance, and the reason is plant, because the same paintings of nature which recommend it to the most ordinary reader will appear beautiful to the most refined.

The old song of Chevy-Chare is the favourite ballad of the common people of I nehand, and Ben Jen on used to say he had rather have been the author of it than of all his works. Sit Philip Sidney in his fliscourse of Peetry speaks of it in the hollowing words: I never heard the old song of Piercy and Douglas, that I found not my heart more moved than with a trumpet, and yet it is sung but by some blind crowder with no rougher vioce than rude style; which being so evil apparelled in the dust and cobwebs of that univity age, what would it work trimined in the gorgeous eloquence of Piudar? For my own part, I am so professed an admirer of this anniquated song, that I shall give my reader a critique upon it without any further apology for so doing.

The greatest modein critics have laid it down as a rule, that an herote poem should be lounded upon some important precept of morality, and adapted to the constitution of the country in which the puet writes. Homer and Virgil have formed their plans in this view. As Greece was a cell cition of many governments, who stillered very much among themselves, and gave the Persian ruperior, who was their common enemy, many advantages over them by their mutual palousies and aumostitics. Homer, in order to establish among them a union which was so necessary for their safety, grounds his poem upon the discords of the several Greeian princes who were engaged in a confederacy against an Asiatic prince, and the several advantages which the enemy gained

by such their discords. At the time the poem we are now treating of was written, the dissensions of the barons, who were then so many petty princes, ran very high, whether they quarrelled among themselves or with their neighbours, and produced unspeakable calamities to the country: the poet, to determen from such unnatural contentions, describts a bloody battle and dreadful scene of death, occasioned by the mutual feuds which reigned in the families of an English and Sootch nobleman: that he designed this for the instruction of his poem, we may learn from his four last lines, in which, after the example of the modern tragedians, he draws from it a precept for the benefit of his readers:

God save the King, and bless the land In plenty, joy, and peace; And grant henceforth that foul debate "Twixt noblemen may cease.

The next point observed by the greatest heroic poets hath been, to celebrate persons and actions which do honour to their country: thus Virgil's hero was the founder of Rome, Homer's a prince of Greece; and for this reason Valenus Flaccus and Statius, who were both Romans, might be justly derided for having chosen the expedition of the Golden Fleece, and the Wars of Thebes, for the subject of their epic writings.

The poet before us has not only found out an hero in his own country, but raises the reputation of it by several beautiful incidents. The English are the first who take the field, and the last who quit it. The English bring only fifteen hundred to the battle, the Scotch two thousand. The English keep the field with fifty-three; the Scotch retire with fifty-five; all like rest on each side being slain in the battle. But the most remarkable circumstance of this kind is, the lifterent manner in which the Scotch and English kings receive the news of this fight, and of the great men's deaths who commanded in it.

This news was brought to Lalinburgh, Where Scotland's king did roop, That brave Earl Douglas suddenly Was with an arrow slain,

O heavy news! King James did say, Scotland can with a be, I have not any captain more Of such account as he.

Like tidings to King Henry came Within as short a space, That Pietry of Northuniterland Was slain in Chevy-Chase

Now God be with him, said our King, Sith 'twill no better be, I trust I have within my realin Five hundred as good as he.

Yet shall not Scot nor Scotland say But I will vengeance take, And be revenged on them all For brave Lord Piercy's sake,

This vow fell well the King perform'd After on Humble-down, In one day fitty knights were slain, With lords of great renown.

And of the rest of small account Did many thou and, die, &c.

At the same time that the poet shows a laudable partiality to his countrymen, he represents the Scots after a manner not unbecoming so hold and brave a people.

> Earl Douglas on a milk-white steed, Most like a bason hold, Rode foremost of the company, Whose armour show, like gold.

His sentiments and actions are every way suitable to an hero. One of us two, says he, must die: I am

an earl as well as yourself, so that you can have no pretence for refusing the combat however, says he, it is pity, and indeed would be a sin, that so many innocent men should puish for our sakes; rather let you and I end our quartel in single fight.

Ere thus I will outbraved be,
One of us two shall die,
I know thee well, an earl thou art,
Lord Piercy, so am I

But trust me, Piercy, pity it were, And great offence, to kill Any of these our harmless men, For they have done no ill-

Let thou and I the battle try,
And set our men aside,
Acturs d be be, Lord Pictory said,
By whom this is denied

When these brave men had distinguished themserves in the battle and in single combat with each other, in the midst of a generous parley, full of heroic sentiments, the Scotch carl falls, and with his dying words encourages his men to revenge his death, representing to them, as the most bitter circumstance of it, that his rival saw him fail.

With that there came an arrow keen
Out of an English bow,
Which struck I arl Douglas to the heart
A deep and deadly blow.

Who never spake more words than these, I light on, my metry men all, For why, my life is at an end, Lord Pictry sees my fall

sterry men, in the language of those times, is no sore than a cheerful word for companions and ellow-soldiers. A passage in the eleventh book of Yirgil's Annia is very much to be admired, white Jamilla in her last agoines, instead of weeping over

the wound she had received, as one raight have expected from a warner of her sea, core lett only (like the hero of whom we are now spealing) how the bettle should be continued after her death.

Tum sic expirans, etc.

A gathering must o'erclouds her cheer ful ever; And Irom her cheel, the may colour fit. Then future to her, whom of her turn to run. She trusted must, and thus he go ds, with pain. Acca, 'm part he swint before my sept. Incomable death, and claim, his right. Bear my last woul, to kuran, fil with speed, And bad how hurdy to my charge were.d: Repet the Trojans, and the town releve.

Farewell——

DRADEN.

Turnus did not die in so herote a manner, though our poet seems to have had his eye upon Turnus's speech in the last verse.

Lord Piercy sees my fall,

Vicisti, et victum tendere pilmas Ausonii videre.

Acn. 111 936.

Earl Piercy's lamentation over his enemy is generous, beautiful, and passion the I must only caution the reader not to let the simplicity of the style, which one may well pardon in so old a pact, prejudice him against the greatness of the thought.

Then leaving lift, Earl Pietey took.
The dead man by the fitted,
And surl, Lact Doc., is, but the life
Would I had lost my kind.

O Cluist my very heart doth bleed With corrow for thy adve; For sine a mine renoving I knight Mischance that never take.

That beautiful line, Taking the dead man by the hand,

will put the reader in mind of Aeneas's behaviour towards Lausus, whom he himself had slain as he came to the rescue of his aged father.

At vero ut vultum videt morientes, et ora,
Ora modes Anchevades pallentia mires,
Ingemuit, miserans graviter, dextranque tetendit, etc.
Alin. x. 822,

The pions prince beheld young Lausus dead; He griev'd, he wept, then grasp'd his hand, and said, Poor hapless youth! what praises can be paid To worth so great!

DRYDEN.

I shall take another opportunity to consider the other parts of this old song.

II

Pendent opera interrupta.-Virg. Aen. iv. 88.

In my last Monday's paper I gave some general instances of those beautiful strokes which please the reader in the old song of Chevy-Chase. I shall here, according to my promise, be more particular, and show that the sentiments in that ballad are extremely natural and poetical, and full of the majestic simplicity we admire in the greatest of the ancient poets; for which reason I shall quote several passages of it, which the thought is altogether the same with what we meet in several passages of the Aeneid, not that I would infer from thence, that the poet (whoever he was) proposed to himself any initiation of those passages, but that he was directed to them in general by the same kind of poetical genius, and by the same copyings after nature.

Had this old song been filled with epigrammatical turns and points of wit, it might perhaps have pleased the wrong taste of some readers; but it would never have become the delight of the common people, nor have warried the heart of Sir Philip Sidney like the sound of a trumper; it is only nature that can have this effect, and please those tastes which are the most unprejudiced or the most refined. I must, however, beg leave to discart from so great an authority as that of Sir Philip Sidney, in the judgement which he has passed as to the tude style and evil apparel of this antiquated song; for there are several parts in it where not only the thought but the language is majestic, and the numbers somoons; at least, the apparel is much more gorgous than many of the poets made use of in Queen Elizabeth's time, as the reader will see in several of the following quotations.

What can be greater than either the thought or the expression in that stanza;

> To drive the deer with hound and horn Earl Piercy took his way, The child may rue that is unborn The huming of that day.

This way of considering the misfortunes which this battle would bring upon posterity, not only on those who were born immediately after the battle, and lost their fathers in it, but on those also who putsiled in future battles, which took their rise hoar this quarrel of the two earls, is wonderfully beautiful, and conformable to the way of thinking among the ancient poets.

Audiet puznas vilio parentum Rara juventus.

Hon. Od. i. 2, 23.

What can be more sounding and poetical, or resemble more the majestic simplicity of the ancients, than the following stanzas:

> The stout Earl of Northumberland A yow to God did make, His pleasure in the Scottish woods Three summer's days to take.

With fifteen hundred bowmen bold,
All chosen men of might,
Who knew full well, in time of need,
To aim their shafts aright.

The hounds ran swiftly through the woods,
The numble deer to take.

And with their cries it e hills and dales An echo shrill did make.

Vocat ingenti elamore Cithneron
Taygetique canes, domitrivque Epidaurus oquorum;
Et vox assensu nomorum ingeminata remugit.
GEORG. iii. 43.

Lo, yonder doth Earl Douglas come, Fis men in armour bught; Full twenty hundred Scottish spears, All marching in our sight.

All men of pleasant Tividale, Fast by the river Tweed, &c.

The country of the Scotch warriors, described in these two last verses, has a fine romanue stuation, and affords a couple of smooth words for verse. If the reader compares the foregoing six lines of the song with the following Latin verses, he will see how much they are written in the sphit of Virgil:

Advers campo apparent hastasque reductis Protendunt longe devirts, et spuula mbrant; Quque altum Praeneste virt, quique arva Gabinae Junenus, gelidunque Antonem, et roscida rivis Henrica saxa colunt:

—qui rosca rura Velun, Qui Tetricae horrentis rubes, montemque Severum, Caspertaraque colunt, Fornlosque et flumen Himellae: Qui Tiberim Fabarinque bibunt.

Acr. xi. 605; vii. 682, 712,

But to proceed:

Earl Douglas on a milk-white steed, Most like a baron bold, Rode foremost of the company, Whose armour shone like gold. Turnus ut antevoluns tardum praecesserat act un, etc. Vulviv, quo Turnus equo, quibus ibat in armis Aureus.

Our English archers bent their bosss, Their hearts were good and true; At the hirst flight of arrow; sont, Full threescore Scots they slew.

They closed full first on ev'ty cide, No slackness there was found, And many a gallant gentleman Lay gasping un the ground.

With that there came an arrow keen
Out of an English bow,
Which struck Larl Douglas to the heart
A deep and deadly blow,

Aeneas was wounded after the same manner by an unknown hand in the midst of a parley

Has inter voces, media inter talia terbit, Ecce wro stridens alis allapsa sugita est, Incertum oua balsa mann

AEN. xii 318

But of all the descriptive parts of this song, there are none more beautiful than the four following stanzas, which have a great force and sputt in them, and are filled with very natural circumstances. The thought in the third stanza was never touched by any other poet, and is such a one as would have shined in Homer or Virgil.

So thus did both these nobles die, Whose courage none could stain; An English archer then perceived The noble Larl was stain.

He had a bow bent in his band, Made of a trusty tree, An arrow of a clode-yard long Unto the head drew he. 238

Against Sir Hugh Montgomery
So right his shaft he set,
The gray goose wing that was thereon
In his heart-blood was wet.

This sight did last from break of day Till setting of the sun; For when they rung the evining-bell, The battle scarce was done

One may observe likewise, that in the catalogue of the slain the author has followed the example of the greatest ancient poets, not only in giving a long list of the dead, but by diversifying it with little characters of particular persons:

> And with Earl Douglas there was slain Sur Hugh Montgomery, Sir Charles Carrel, that from the field One foot would never fly:

Sir Charles Murrel of Ratcliff too, His sister's son was he: Sir David Lamb, so well esteem'd, Yet saved could not be.

The familiar sound in these names destroys the majesty of the description; for this reason I do not mention this part of the poem but to show the natural east of thought which appears in it, as the two last verses look almost like a translation of Virgil;

Cadit et Ripheus, justissimus unus Qui fuit in Teucris et servantissimus aequi, Diis aliter visum est.

Aen. II. 426.

In the catalogue of the English who fell, Witherington's behaviour is in the same manner particularized very artfully, as the reader is prepared for it by that account which is given of him in the beginning of the battle; though I am satisfied that your little buftoon readers (who have seen that passage ridiculed in Hubbras) will not be able to take the beauty of it for which reason I dare not so much as quote it,

Then stept a gill int squite furth, Witherington wis his a time, Who and I void into his it told to Henry our Kime for shaire, That c'er my cript in lought an foot, And I stood looking on

We meet with the same heroic sentiments in Virgil.

Nan pudet, O Rutidi, cun its pro tslibu unim Objecture animam? numerine an itti ius as jui Non sumus?

AEN 211 220

What can be more natural or more moving, than the circumstances in which he describes the behaviour of those women who had lost their husbands on this fatal day

Next day did many widows come,
'Their husbands to bewail,
They wash'd their wounds in brinish tears,
But all would not piecal

Their bodies bathed in purple blood,
They bore with their away
They kiss'd them dead a thousand times,
When they were clad in clay.

Thus we see how the thoughts of this poem, which naturally arise from the subject, are always simple, and sometimes exquisitely noble, that the language is often very sounding, and that the whole is written with a true poetical spirit

If this song had been written in the Gothic manner, which is the delight of all our little with, which is the delight of all our little with, which is creaters, it would not have but the taste of so many ages, and have pleased the readers of all ranks and conditions. I shall only beg pardon for such a profusion of Latin quotations, which I should not have made use ol, but that I feated my own

judgement would have looked too singular on such a subject, had not I supported it by the practice and authority of Virgil.

CRITICISMS ON PARADISE LOST

[The Spectator, Nos. 267, 273, 279, 285: 1712]

The Fable.

Cedite Romani scriptores, cedite Gravi.-PROPERT.

THERE is nothing in Nature so irksome as general discourses, especially when they turn chiefly upon words. For this reason I shall waive the discussion of that point which was started some years since, whether Milton's Paradise Lost may be called an heroid poem. Those who will not give it that title may call it (if they please) a divine poem. It will be sufficient to its perfection, if it has in it all the beauties of the highest kind of poetry; and as for those who allege it is not an heroic poem, they advance no more to the diminution of it than if they should say Adam is not Aeneas, nor Eve Helen.

I shall therefore examine it by the rules of epie poetry, and see whether it falls short of the Iliad or Aeserd, in the beauties which are essential to that kind of writing. The first thing to be considered in an epic poem is the fable, which is perfect or imperfect, according as the action which it relates is more or less so. This action should have three qualifications in it. First, it should be but one action. Secondly, it should be an entire action; and thirdly, it should be a great action. To consider the action of the Iliad, Aeneid, and Paradise Lost, in these three several lights. Homer to preserve the unity of his action hastens into the midst of things, as Horace has observed. Had he gone up to Leda's Egg, or begun much later, even at the rape of Helen, or the investing of 'Croy, it is manifest that the story of the poem fore opens his poem with the discord of his princes, and with great art interweaves in the several succeeding parts of it an account of everything material which relates to them, and had proved before that fatal dissension. After the same manner Aene i, miles his first appearance in the Lyrile ne Scas, and within sight of Italy, because the action proposed to be celebrated was that of his settline himself in Latinin But because it was necessary for the reader to know what had happened to him in the taking of Iroy, and in the preceding parts of his voyage, Virgil makes his hero relate it by way of episode in the second and third books of the Aeneid The contents of both which books come before those of the first book in the thread of the story, though for preserving of this unity of action they follow them in the disposition of the poem. Milton, in imitation of these two great poets, opens his Paradise Lost with an infernal council plotting the fall of man, which is the action he proposed to celebrate, and as for those great actions which preceded, in point of time, the hattle of the angels and the creation of the world (which would have entirely destroyed the unity of his principal action, had he related them in the same order that they

Aristotle himself allows that Homer has nothing to boast of as to the unity of his fable, though at the same time that great critic and philosophic endeadours to palliate this imperfection in the Greek part, by imputing it in some measure to the very nature of an encipoem. Some have been of opinion that the dead labours also in this particular, and has episodes which may be looked upon as excressences tather than an aparts of the action. On the contrary, the poem which we have now under our consideration, hath no other episodes than such as naturally arise from the adject, and yet is filled with such a multitude of astonising

happened), he cast them into the fifth, sixth, and seventh books, by way of episode to this noble porm.

incidents that it gives us at the same time a pleasure of the greatest variety, and of the greatest simplicity.

I must observe also, that as Virgil in the poem which was designed to celebrate the original of the Roman Empire, has described the birth of its great rival, the Carthagunan Commonwealth: Milton, with the like art in his poem on the fall of man, has related the fall of those angels who are his professed enemies. Besides the many other beauties in such an episode, its running parallel with the great action of the poem hinders it from breaking the unity so much as another episode would have done, that had not so great an affirmly with the principal subject. In short, thus is the same kind of beauty which the critics admire in the Spanish Friar, on the Double Discovery, where the two different plots look like counterparts and copies of one another.

The second qualification required in the action of an epic poem is that it should be an entire action. An action is entire when it is complete in all its parts; or, as Aristotle describes 1t, when it consists of a beginning, a middle, and an end. Nothing should go before it, be intermixed with it, or follow after it, that is not related to it. As on the contrary, no single step should be omitted in that just and regular process which it must be supposed to take from its original to its consummation. Thus we see the anger of Achilles in its hirth, its continuance and effects; and Aeneas's settlement in Italy, carried on through all the oppositions in his way to it both by sea and land. The action in Milton excels (I think) both the former in this particular; we see it contrived in Hell, executed upon Earth, and punished by Heaven. The parts of it are told in the most distinct manner, and grow out of one another in the most natural method.

The third qualification of an epic poem is its greatness. The anger of Achilles was of such consequence that it embroiled the kings of Greece, destroyed the heroes of Troy, and engaged all the gods in factions. Aeneas's settlement in Italy produced the Caesars, and gave birth to the Roman Empire. Milton's subject was still greater than either of the former; it does not determine the fate of single persons or nations, but of a whole species. The united nowers of hell are joined together for the destruction of mankind, which they effected in part, and would have completed had not Ommpotence itself internosed. The principal actors are Man in his greatest perfection and Woman in her highest beauty. Their enemics are the fallen angels, the Memian their friend, and the Almighty their protector. In short, everything that is great in the whole circle of being,

a proper part assigned it in this noble poem. In poetry, as in architecture, not only the whole, but the principal members, and every part of them. should be great. I will not presume to say that the book of games in the Aeneid, or that in the Iliad, are not of this nature, nor to reprehend Virgil's 'simile of the top', and many other of the same nature in the Iliad, as liable to any censure in this particular: but I think we may say, without derogating from those wonderful performances, that there is an unquestion. able magnificence in every part of Paradys Lost, and indeed a much greater than could have been formed upon any pagan system.

whether within the verge of Nature or out of it, has

But Aristotle, by the greatness of the action, does not only mean that it should be great in its nature, but also in its duration, or, in other words, that it should have a due length in it, as well as what we properly call greatness. The just measure of the kind of magnitude he explains by the following similitude. An animal, no bigger than a mite, cannot appear perfect to the eye, because the sight takes it in at once, and has only a confused idea of the whole, and not a distinct idea of all its parts. If, on the contrary, you should suppose an animal of ten thousand furlongs in length, the eye would be so filled with a single part of it that it could not give the mind an idea of the whole. What these animals are to the eve, a very short or a very long action would be to the memory. The first would be, as it were, lost and swallowed up by it, and the other difficult to be contained in it. Homer and Virgil have shown their principal art in this particular; the action of the Iliad. and that of the Aeneid, were in themselves exceeding short, but are so beautifully extended and diversified by the invention of episodes and the machinery of gods with the like poetical ornaments, that they make un an agreeable story sufficient to employ the memory without overcharging it. Milton's action is enriched with such a variety of circumstances that I have taken as much pleasure in reading the Contents of his Books as in the best invented story I ever met with. It is possible that the traditions on which the Iliad and Aeneid were built had more circumstances in them than the history of the fall of man, as it is related in Scripture. Besides, it was easier for Homer and Virgil to dash the truth with fiction, as they were in no danger of offending the religion of their country by it. But as for Milton, he had not only a very few circumstances upon which to raise his poem, but was also obliged to proceed with the greatest caution in everything that he added out of his own invention, And, indeed, notwithstanding all the restraints he was under, he has filled his story with so many surprising incidents, which bear so close an analogy

offence to the most scupulous.

The modern critics have collected from several hints in the Iliad and Amnd the space of time which is taken up by the action of each of those poems; but as a great part of Milton's story was transacted in regions that he out of the reach of the sun and the sphere of day, it is impossible to gratify the reader

with what is delivered in Holy Writ, that it is capable of pleasing the most delicate reader without giving with such a calculation, which indeed would be more curious than instructive, none of the critics, either ancient or modern, having laid down rules to circumscribe the action of an opic poem with any determined number of years, days, or hours.

ii. The Characters.

Notandi sunt tibi mores — Hon.

Having examined the action of Paradise I sit, let us in the next place consider the actors. This is Aristotle's method of considering first the fable, and secondly the manners, or as we generally call them in English, the fable and the characters

Homer has excelled all the hetoic poets that ever wrote, in the multitude and variety of his characters. Every god that is admitted into his poem, acts a part which would have been suitable to no other duty His princes are as much distinguished by their manners as by their dominions, and even those among them whose characters seem wholly made up of courage, differ from one another as to the purucular kinds of courage in which they excel In short, there is scarce a speech or action in the Iliad which the reader may not ascribe to the person that speaks or acts, without seeing his name at the head of it

Homer does not only outshine all other poets in the variety, but also in the novelty of his characters, He has introduced among his Grecian princes a person who had lived thrice the age of man, and conversed with Theseus, Hercules, Polyphemus, and the first race of heroes. His principal actor is the son of a goddess, not to mention the off-pring of other derites, who have likewise a place in his poem, and the venerable Trojan prince who was the lather of so many kings and heroes. There is in these several characters of Homer a certain dignity as well as novelty, which adapts them in a more peculiar furlongs in length, the eye would be so filled with a single part of it that it could not give the mind an idea of the whole. What these animals are to the eye, a very short or a very long action would be to the memory The first would be, as it were, lost and swallowed up by it, and the other difficult to be contained in it. Homer and Virgil have shown their principal art in this particular, the action of the Iliad. and that of the Aenerd, were in themselves exceeding short, but are so beautifully extended and diversified by the invention of episodes and the machinery of gods with the like poetical ornaments, that they make up an agreeable story sufficient to employ the memory without overcharging it Milton's action is enriched with such a variety of circumstances that I have taken as much pleasure in reading the Contents of his Books as in the best invented story I ever met with It is possible that the traditions on which the Iliad and Aeneid were built had more circumstances in them than the history of the fall of man, as it is related in Scripture. Besides, it was easier for Homer and Virgil to dash the truth with fiction, as they were in no danger of offending the religion of their country by it But as for Milton, he had not only a very few circumstances upon which to raise his poem, but was also obliged to proceed with the greatest caution in everything that he added out of his own invention And, indeed, notwithstanding all the restraints he was under, he has filled his story with so many surprising incidents, which bear so close an analogy with what is delivered in Holy Writ, that it is capable of pleasing the most delicate reader without giving offence to the most scrupulous

The modern critics have collected from several hints in the *Iliad* and *Aenad* the space of time which is taken up by the action of each of those poems, but as a great part of Milton's story was transacted in regious that he out of the teach of the sun and the sphere of day, it is impossible to gratify the reader

with such a calculation, which indeed would be more curious than instructive, none of the critics, either ancient or modern, having laid down rules to circumscribe the action of an epic porm with any determined number of years, days, or hours.

ii. The Characters.

--- Notundi sunt tibi mores -- Har

Having examined the action of Paradice Lost, let us in the next place consider the actors. This is Aristotle's method of considering his the fable, and secondly the manners, or as we generally call them in Euglish, the lable and the characters.

Horner has excelled all the heroic poets that ever wrote, in the multitude and variety of his characters. Every god that is admitted into his poem, acrs a part which would have been suitable to no other duti. His princes are as much distinguished by their manners as by their dominors, and even their among them whose characters seem wholly made up of courage, differ from one another as to the latitudar linds of courage in which they excel In short, there is scarce a speech or action in the Rid visible the reader may not ascribe to the person that spirits or acts, without seeme his name at the lead of it.

Homer does not only outshine all other poets in the variety, but also in the notelty of his characters. He has introduced among his Greenan prince a person who had lived thiree the age of man, and conveised with Theseus, Hercules, Polyphenius, and the first race of heroes. His princip d a for is the son of a goddess, not to mention the ollipinor of other deties, who have likewise a place in his poem, and the venerable Trojan prince who was the latter of so many kings and heroes. There is in these several characters of Homer a certain thighly as well as movelty, which adapts them in a more predict

manner to the nature of an heroic poem. Though, at the same time, to give them the greater variety, he has described a Vulcan, that is a buffoon among his gods, and a Thersites among his moutals.

Virgit falls infinitely short of Homer in the characters of his poem, both as to their variety and novelty, Aeneas is indeed a perfect character, but as in Achates, though he is styled the hero's friend, he does nothing in the whole poem which may descrive that title. Gyas, Mucsteux, Sergestus, and Cloanthus, are all of them men of the same stamp and character;

Fortemque Gyan, fortemque Cloanthum.-VIRG.

There are indeed several very natural incidents in the part of Ascanius; as that of Dido cannot be sufficiently admired. I do not see anything new or particular in Turnus. Pallas and Evander are copies of Hector and Priam, as Lausus and Mezentius are almost parallels to Pallas and Evander. The characters of Nisus and Euryalus are beautiful, but common We must not forget the parts of Sinon, Camilla, and some few others, which are beautiful improvements on the Greek poet. In short, there is neither that variety nor noveley in the persons of the Annul, which we meet with in those of the Itad

If we look into the characters of Milton we shall find that he has introduced all the variety his poem was capable of receiving. The whole species of mankind was in two persons at the time to which the subject of his poem is confined. We have, however, four distinct characters in these two persons. We see Man and Woman in the highest innocence and perfection, and in the most abject state of guilt and infirmity. The two last characters are, indeed, very common and obvious, but the two first are not only more magnificent, but more new than any characters either in Virgil or Homer, or indeed in the whole circle of nature.

Milton was so sensible of this defect in the subject

of his poem, and of the few characters it would alford him, that he has brought into it two actions of a shadowy and flottous nature, in the persons of Sin and Death, by which means he has interviewen in the body of his fable a very beautiful and well-invented allegory. But notwithstanding the fineness of this allegory may atone for it in some measure, I cannot think that persons of such a chimicutal existence are proper actors in an eigen poem, because there is not that measure of probability annexed to them, which is requisite in writings of this kind, as I shall show more at large hereafter.

Virgil has, indeed, admitted Fame as an actress in the Aenead, but the part she acts is very short. and none of the most admired circumstances in that divine work. We find in mock-heroic poems, particularly in the Dispensary and the Lutrin, several allegorical persons of this nature, which are very beautiful in those compositions, and may, perhaps, be used as an arrument, that the authors of them were of opinion such characters might have a place in an epic work For my own part I should be glad the reader would think so, for the sake of the porm I am now examining, and must further add, that if such empty unsubstantial beings may be ever made use of on this occasion, there were never any more nucely imagined and employed in more proper actions, than those of which I am now speaking.

Another principal actor in this poem is the great enemy of mankind. The part of Uksses in Homer's Odyssey is very much admired by Aristofle, as perplexing that fable with very agreeable plots and intricacies, not only by the miny adventures in his voyage, and the subtility of his behaviour, but by the various concealments and discoveries of his person in several parts of that poem. But the crafty being I have now mentioned makes a much longer voyage than Ulysses, puts in practice many more wires and stratagems, and bides himself under a greater variety

of shapes and appearances, all of which are severally detected, to the great delight and surprise of the reader

We may likewise observe with how much art the poet has varied several characters of the peisons that speak in his infernal assembly. On the contrary, how has he represented the whole Godhead exerting itself towards man in its full benevolence under the three-fold distinction of a Creator, a Redeemer, and a Comforter!

Nor must we omit the person of Raphael, who amidst his tenderness and fivondship for man, shows such a dignity and condescension in all his speech and behaviour as are suitable to a superior nature. The angels are indeed as much diversified in Milton, and distinguished by their proper parts, as the gods are in Honer or Virgil. The reader will find nothing ascribed to Uriel, Gabriel, Michael, or Raphael, which is not in a particular manner suitable to their respective characters.

There is another circumstance in the principal actors of the Iliad and Aeneid, which gives a peculiar beauty to those two poems, and was therefore contrived with very great judgement. I mean the authors having chosen for their licroes persons who were so nearly related to the people for whom they wrote, Achilles was a Greek, and Aeneas the remote founder of Rome. By this means their countrymen (whom they principally proposed to themselves for their readers) were particularly attentive to all the parts of their story, and sympathized with their heroes in all their adventures. A Roman could not but rejoice in the escapes, successes, and victories of Aeneas, and be grieved at any defeats, misfortunes, or disappointments that beful him, as a Greek must have had the same regard for Achilles. And it is plant that each of those pornis have lost this great advantage, among those readers to whom their heroes are as strangers, or indiliterent persons.

Milton's poem is admirable in this respect, since it is impossible for any of its readers, whatever rition, country, or people he may be long to, not to be related to the persons who are the principal actors in it; but what is still infinitely more to its advantage, the principal actors in this poem are not only our progenitors but our representatives. We have an actual interest in everything they do, and no less than our utmost happiness is concerned, and lies at stake in all their behaviour.

I shall subjoin as a corollary to the foreguing remark, an admirable observation out of Aristote, which hath been very much instepresented in the quotations of some modern cities. If a man of perfect and consummate virtue falls into a mistoriume, it raises our pity, but not our terror, because we do not fear that it may be our own case, who do not resemble the suffering person. But, as that great plule-opher adds, 'If we see a man of virtues mixed with infirmaties fall into any misfortune, it does not only raise our pity but our terror; because we are affaird that the like misfortunes may happen to outselves, who resemble the character of the suffering person.'

I shall take another opportunity to observe that a person of an absolute and consummate urtue should never be introduced in tragedy, and shall only remark in this place that the foregoing observation of Aristotle, though it may be true in other occasions, does not hold in this; because in the present case, through the persons who fall into misfortune are of the most perfect and consummate virtue, it is not to be considered as what may possibly be, but what actually is our own case; since we are embarked with them on the same bottom, and must be partakers of their happiness or misery.

In this, and some other very few instances, Aristotle's rules for epic poetry (which he had drawn from his reflections upon Homer) cannot be supposed to quadlate exactly with the hence poems which have been

made since his time; as it is plain his rules would have been still more perfect, could he have perused the Aeneid which was made some hundred years after his death.

In my next I shall go through other parts of Milton's poem; and hope that what I shall there advance, as well as what I have already written, will not only serve as a comment upon Milton, but upon Aristotic,

iii. The Sentiment.

Reddere personne soit convenientia cuique.-Hon.

We have already taken a general survey of the fable and characters in Milton's Pandiss Lost. The parts which remain to be considered, according to Aristotle's method, are the sentiments and the language Before I enter upon the first of these, I must advertise my reader, that it is my design as soon as I have finished my general reflections on these four several heads, to give particular instances out of the poem which is now before us of beauties and imperfections which may be observed under each of them, as also of such other particulars as may not properly fall under any of them. This I thought fit to premise, that the reader may not judge too hastily of this piece of criticism, or look upon it as imperfect, before he has seen the whole extent of it.

The sentiments in all epic poems are the thoughts and behaviour which the author ascribes to the persons whom he introduces, and are just when they are conformable to the characters of the several persons. The sentiments have likewise a relation to things as well as persons, and are then perfect when they are such as are adapted to the subject. If in either of these cases the poet argues, or explains, magnifies or diminishes, raises love or hatred, pitv or terror, or any other passion, we ought to consider whether the sentiments he makes use of are proper

for their ends. Homer is consuled by the critics for his defect as to this particular in several parts of the Iliad and Odyssey, though at the same time those who have treated this great poet with candour, have attributed this defect to the times in which he lived. It was the fault of the age, and not of Homer, if there wants that delicacy in some of his sentiments, which appears in the works of men of a much interior genus. Besides, if there are blemishes in any particular thoughts, there is an infinite beauty in the greatest part of them. In short, if there are many poets who would not have fallen into the meanness of some of his sentiments, there are none who could have risen up to the greatness of others. Virgil has excelled all others in the propriety of his sentiments. Milton shines likewise very much in this particular, nor must we omit one consideration which adds to his honour and reputation. Homer and Virgil introduced persons whose characters are commonly known among men. and such as are to be met with either in history or in ordinary conversation. Milton's characters, most of them, lie out of Nature, and were to be formed purely by his own invention. It shows a greater genius in Shakespeare to have drawn his Caliban than his Hotspur or Julius Caesar: the one was to be supplied out of his own imagination, whereas the other might have been formed upon tradition, lastory, and observation It was much easier therefore for Homer to find proper sentiments for an assembly of Grecian generals, than for Milton to diversity his infernal council with proper characters, and inspire them with a variety of sentiments. The loves of Dulo and Aeneas are only copies of what has passed between other persons. Adam and Eve, before the fall, are a different species from that of mankind, who are descended from them; and none but a poet of the most unbounded invention, and the most exquisite judgement, could have filled their conversation and behaviour with such beautiful circumstances during their state of innocence. Nor is it sufficient for an epic poem to be filled with such thoughts as are natural, unless it abound also with such as are sublime. Virgil in this particular falls short of Homer. He has not indeed so many thoughts that are low and vulgar, but at the same time has not so many thoughts that are sublime and noble. The truth of it is, Virgil seldom rises into very astonishing sentiments, where he is not fired by the flidd. He everywhere charms and pleases us by the force of his own genius, but seldom clevates and transports us where he does not fetch his hints from Homet.

Milton's chief talent, and indeed his distinguishing e.:cellence, hes in the sublimity of his thoughts. There are others of the moderns who rival him in every other part of poetry; but in the greatness of his sentiments he triumphs over all the poets both modern and ancient, Homer only excepted. It is impossible for the imagination of man to distend itself with greater ideas than those which he has laid together in his first. second, and tenth books. The seventh, which describes the creation of the world, is likewise wonderfully sublime, though not so apt to stir up emotion in the mind of the reader, nor consequently so perfect in the epic way of writing, because it is filled with less action. Let the reader compare what Longinus has observed on several passages in Homer, and he will find parallels for most of them in the Paradise Lost.

From what has been said we may infer that as there are two kinds of sentiments, the natural and the sublime, which are always to be pursued in an heroic poem, there are also two kinds of thoughts which are carefully to be avoided. The first are such as are affected and unnatural; the second such as are mean and vulgar. As for the first kind of thoughts we meet with Intle or nothing that is like them in Vingil. He has none of those little points and puerfitties that are so often to be met with in Oyid, none of the reigrammatic turns of Lucan, none of those swelling

sentiments which are so frequently in Statius and Claudian, none of those mixed embelishments of Tasso Everything is nest and natural. His sentiments show that he had a perfect insight into human nature. and that he knew everything which was the most proper to affect it.

Mr. Dryden has in some places, which I may hereafter take notice of, misrepresented Virgil's way of thinking as to this particular, in the translation he has given us of the Ameid. I do not remetaber that Homer anywhere falls into the fault, at ove-menuoued. which were indeed the false relinement, of later ages, Milton, it must be confessed has sometimes erred in this respect, as I shall show more at large in another paper; though considering all the poets of the age in which he wrote were infected with this wrong way of thinking, he is rather to be admired that he did not give more into it, than that he did sometimes comply with the vicious taste which prevails so much among modern writers

But since several thoughts may be natural which are low and grovelling, an epic poet should not only avoid such sentiments as are unnatural or affected. but also such as are low and vulgar. Homer has opened a great field of raillery to men of more delicacy than greatness of genius by the homeliness of some of his sentiments. But, as I have before said, these are rather to be imputed to the simplicity of the age in which he lived, to which I may also add of that which he described, than to any imperfection in that divine poet. Zoilus, among the Ancients, and Monsieur Perrault among the Moderns, pushed their relicule very far upon him, on account of some such sentiments There is no blemish to be observed in Virgil, under this head, and but very few in Milton,

I shall give but one instance of this impropriety of sentiments in Homer, and at the same time companit with an instance of the same nature, both in Virgil and Milton. Sentiments which rate laughter can very seldom he admitted with any decency into an heroic poem, whose business it is to excite passions of a much nobler nature. Homer, however, in his characters of Vulcan and Theisites, in his story of Mars and Venus. in his behaviour of Irus, and in other passages, has heen observed to have lapsed into the burlesque character, and to have departed from that serious air which seems essential to the magnificence of an epic noem. I remember but one laugh in the whole Aeneid. which rises in the fifth book upon Monoetes, where he is represented as thrown overboard, and drying himself upon a rock. But this piece of mirth is so well timed that the severest critic can have nothing to say against it, for it is in the book of games and diversions where the reader's mind may be supposed to be sufficiently relaxed for such an entertainment. The only piece of pleasantry in Paradise Lost is where the evil spirits are described as rallying the angels upon the success of their new invented artillery. This passage I look upon to be the most exceptionable in the whole poem, as being nothing else but a string of puns, and those, too, very indifferent ones;

- Satan beheld their plight, And to his mates thus in derision call'd. O friends, why come not on these victors proud? Ere while they fierce were coming, and when we, To entertain them fair with open front, And breast (what could we more?), propounded terms Of composition, straight they chang'd their minds, Flew off, and into strange vagaries fell, As they would dance yet for a dance they seem'd Somewhat extravagant and wild, perhaps For joy of offer'd peace: but I suppose If our proposals once again were heard, We should compel them to a quick result. To whom thus Belial in like gamesome mood. Leader, the terms we sent were terms of weight. Of hard contents, and full of force urg'd home, Such as we might perceive amus'd them all, And stumbled many; who receives them right,

Had need, from head to foot, well understand, Not inderstood, this gift they have besides, They show is when our foer mall not aprophi. Thus they among themselves in pleasant vein Stood scoling——

.

iv. The Language

Ne, quicunque Deux, quicunque adiabebilus herox, Regult conspectus in auto myeste et ostro, Mignet in obseuras humili sermone tabernas Aut, dum oitat humum, nubes et ununa captet.—Hore.

Having aheady treated of the fable, the characters, and sentiments in the Paradiss Lost, we are in the last place to consider the language; and as the learned world is very much divided upon Milton as to this point, I hope they will excuse me if I appear particular in any of my opinions and incline to those who judge the most advantageously of the author.

It is requisite that the language of an heroic poem should be both perspictions and sublime. In proportion as either of these two qualities are wanting, the language is imperfect. Perspictity is the first and most necessary qualification; insomuch, that a good-natured reader sometimes overlooks a little slip even in the grammar or syntax, where it is impossible for him to mistake the poet's sense. Of this kind is that passage in Milton, wherein he speaks of Satan;

God and his Son except, Created thing nought valu'd he nor shunn'd.

And that in which he describes Adam and Eve:

Adam the goodliest man of men since born His sons, the fairest of her daughters Lve.

It is plain that in the former of these passages, according to the natural syntax, the divine persons mentioned in the first line are represented as created beings; and that in the other, Adam and Eve are confounded with their sons and daughters. Such little

blemishes as these, when the thought is great and natural, we should, with Horace, impute to a pardonable unadvertency, or to the weakness of human nature, which cannot attend to each munute particular, and give the last finishing to every circumstance in so long a work. The ancient critics, therefore, who were acted by a spirit of candour, rather than that of cavilling, invented certain figures of speech on purpose to palliate hitle errors of this nature in the writings of those guthors who had so many greater beauties to atone for them

If clearness and perspicuity were only to be consulted the poet would have nothing else to do but to clothe his thoughts in the most plain and natural expressions. But, since it often happens that the most obvious phrases, and those which are used in ordinary conversation, become too familiar to the ear, and contract a kind of meanness by passing through the mouths of the vulgar, a poet should take particular care to guard himself against idiomatic ways of speaking, Ovid and Lucan have many poornesses of expression upon this account, as taking up with the first phrases that offered, without putting themselves to the trouble of looking after such as would not only have been natural, but also elevated and sublime. Milton has but few failings in this kind, of which, however, you may meet with some instances, as in the following passages:

Embryos and kiots, cremites and friars White, black, and grgs, with all their tramper). Here pilgrins roam—
... A while discourse they hold,
Mo far list dimer cool; when thus began
Our author ...
Who of all ages to succeed, but feeling
The evil ou hum brought by me, will curse
My head, Ill fare our ancestor impure,
For this we mey thank Adam.

The great masters in composition know very well at many an elegant phrase becomes improper for a poet or an orator, when it has been debased by common use. For this reason the works of ancent authors, which are written in dead languages, have a great advantage over those which are written in languages that are now spoken. Were there any mean phrases or idioms in Virgil and Homer, they would not shock the ear of the most delicate modern reader so much as they would have done that of an old Greek or Roman, because we never hear them pronounced in our streets, or in ordinary conversation.

It is not therefore sufficient that the language of an epic poem be perspicious, unless it be also sublime. To this end it ought to deviate from the common forms and ordinary plinases of speech. The judgement of a poet very much discovers itself in shuming the common roads of expression without falling into such ways of speech as may seem stiff and unnatural; he must not swell into a false sublime by endeavouring to avoid the other extreme. Among the Greeks, Aschylus, and sometimes Sophocles, were guilty of this fault; among the Latins, Claudian and Status; and among our own countrymen, bladespeare and Lee. In these authors the affectation of greatness often huits the perspicuity of the style, as in many others the endeavour after perspicuity prejudices its greatness.

Aristotle has observed that the idiomatic style may be avoided, and the sublime formed, by the following methods. First, by the use of metaphors, like those in Milton:

Imparadised in one another's arms.
... And in his hand a reed
Stood waving tipt with her;
...
The grassy clods now calv'd ...

In these and innumerable other instances the metaphors are very bold but beautiful. I must, however, observe that the metaphors are not thick sown in Milton, which always sayours too much of wit; that they never clash with one auother, which, as Aristotle observes, turns a sentence into a kind of an enigma or

riddle; and that he seldom makes use of them where the proper and natural words will do as well.

Another way of rassing the language, and giving it a poetical turn, is to make use of the idioms of other tongues. Virgil is full of the Greek forms of speech, which the critics call Hellmisms, as Horace in his Odes abounds with them much more than Virgil. I need not mention the several dialects which Homer has made use of for this end. Milton, in conformity with the practice of the ancient poets, and with Aristotle's rule, has infused a great many Latinisms, as well as Graceisms, into the language of his poem, as towards the beginning of it:

Nor did they not perceive the evil plight
In which they were, or the fierce pains not feel,
Yet to their gent'all's voice they soon obey'd.

... Who shall tempt with wand'ring feet
The dark unbottom'd infinite abyss,
And through the patpable obscure find out his way,
His uncouth way, or spread his airy flight
Upborn with indefaligable wings
Over the vast abrupt'
... So both ascend
In the visions of God...

Under this head may be reckoned the placing the adjective after the substantive, the transposition of words, the turning the adjective into a substantive, with several other foreign modes of speech, which this poet has naturalized to give his verse the greater sound and throw it out of prose.

The third method mentioned by Aristotle is what agrees with the genus of the Greek language more than with that of any other tongue, and is therefore more used by Homer than by any other poet. I mean the lengthening of a phrase by the addition of words, which may either be inserted or omitted, as also by the extending or contracting of particular words by the insertion or omission of certain syllables. Milton has put in practice this method of ransing his language,

as far as the nature of our tongue will perinit, as in the passage above-mentioned, Eiremite, for what is hermit in common discourse. If you observe the measure of his verse, he has with great judgment suppressed a syllable in several words, and shortened three of two syllables into one, by which method, besides the above-mentioned advantage, he has given a greater variety to his numbers. But this push to eight more particularly remarkable in the name, of persons and of countries, as Beelzebub, Hessebon, and in many other particularly wherein he has either changed the name, or made use of that which is not the most commonly known, that he might the better deviate from the language of the vulcar.

The same reason recommended to him several old words, which also makes his poem appear the more venerable, and gives it a greater air of antiquity.

I must likewise take notice that there are in Milton several words of his own coming, as Cerberean, inserented, hell-dooined, embryon atoms, and many others. If the reader is offended at this libraty in our English poet I would recommend him to a discourse in Plutarch, which shows us how frequently Homer has made use of the same liberty.

Milton, by the above-mentioned helps, and by the choice of the noblest words and phrases which our tongue would alford him, has carried our language to a greater height than any of the English poets have ever done before or after him, and made the sublimity of his style equal to that of his sentiments.

Thave been the more particular in these observations of Milton's style, because it is that part of him in which he appears the most singular. The remarks I have here inade upon the practice of other poets, with my observations out of Aristotle, will perhaps alleviate the prejudice which some baye taken to his poem upon this account; though, after all, I must confess that I think his style, though adminable in general, is in some places too much stiffened and obscured by the

riddle; and that he seldom makes use of them where the proper and natural words will do as well.

Another way of raising the language, and giving it a poetical turn, is to make use of the idioms of other tongues. Virgil is full of the Greek forms of speech, which the critic call Hellensms, as Horace in his Odes abounds with them much more than Virgil. I need not mention the several dialects which Homer has made use of for this end. Milton, in conformity with the practice of the ancient poets, and with Aristotle's rule, has infused a great many Latinisms, as well as Graceisms, into the language of his poem, as towards the beginning of it:

Mor did they not perceive the evil plight
In which they were, or the fierce pains not feel,
Yet to their gen'ral's voice they soon obey'd.
... Who shall tempt with wand'ting feet
The dark unbottom'd infinite abyss,
And through the pulpable obscure find out his way,
His uncouch way, or spread his arry flight
Upborn with indefatigable wings
Over the past abrupt!
... So both ascend
In the wisions of God...

Under this head may be reckoned the placing the adjective after the substantive, the transposition of words, the turning the adjective into a substantive, with several other foreign modes of speech, which this poet has naturalized to give his verse the greater sound and throw it out of prose.

The third method mentioned by Aristotle is what agrees with the genius of the Greek language more than with that of any other tongue, and is therefore more used by Homer than by any other poet. I mean the lengthening of a phrase by the addition of words, which may either be inserted or omitted, as also by the extending or contracting of particular words by the insertion or omission of certain syllables. Milton has put in practice this method of raising his language,

as far as the nature of our tongue will permit, as in the passage above-mentioned, Leemite, for what is hermit in common discourse. If you observe the measure of his verse, he has with great judgement suppressed a syllable in several words, and shortened those of two syllables into one, by which method, besides the above-mentioned advantage, he has given a greater variety to his numbers. But this practice is

more particularly remarkable in the name, of persons and of countries, as Beelzebub, Hessebon, and in many other particulars, wherein he has either changed the name, or made use of that which is not the most commonly known, that he might the better deviate from the language of the vulgar.

The same reason recommended to him several old words, which also makes his poem appear the more venerable, and gives it a greater air of antiquity I must likewise take notice that there are in Milton

several words of his own coining, as Cerbergan, miscreated, hell-doomed, embryon atoms, and many others If the reader is offended at this liberty in our English poet I would recommend him to a discourse in Plutarch, which shows us how frequently Homer has made use of the same liberty.

Milton, by the above-mentioned helps, and by the choice of the noblest words and phrases which our tongue would afford him, has carried our language to a greater height than any of the Euglish poets have ever done before or after him, and made the sublimity of his style equal to that of his sentiments,

I have been the more particular in these observations of Milton's style, because it is that part of him in which he appears the most singular. The remarks I have here made upon the practice of other poets, with my observations out of Aristotle, will perhaps alleviate the prejudice which some have taken to his poem upon this account; though, after all, I must conless that I think his style, though admirable in general, it in

some places too much stiffened and obscured by the

frequent use of those methods which Aristotle has prescribed for the raising of it.

This redundancy of those several ways of speech which Aristotle calls foraga language, and with which Milton has so very much enriched, and in some places darkened the language of his poem, was the more proper for his use, because his poem is written in blank verse; rhyme, without any other assistance, throws the language off from prose, and very often makes an indifferent phrase pass unregarded; but where the verse is not built upon rhymes, there pomp of sound and energy of expression are indispensably necessary to support the style, and keep it from falling

into the flatness of prose.

Those who have not a taste for this elevation of style and are apt to ridicule a poet when he departs from the common forms of expression, would do well to see how Aristotle has treated an ancent author, called Euclid, for his insipid mirth upon this occasion. Mr. Dryden used to call this sort of men his prosecrities.

I should, under this head of the language, consider Milton's numbers, in which he has made use of several clisions that are not customary among other English poets, as may be particularly observed in his cutting off the letter y when it precedes a vowel. This, and some other innovations in the measure of his verse. has varied his numbers in such a manner as makes them incapable of satiating the ear and cloving the reader, which the same uniform measure would certainly have done, and which the perpetual returns of thyme never fail to do in long narrative poems. I shall close these reflections upon the language of Paradise Lost, with observing that Milton has copied after Homer, rather than Virgil, in the length of his periods, the comousness of his phrases, and the running of his verses into one another.

THE FAIRY WAY OF WRITING

[7 he Spectator, No. 419. 1712]

--- Mentis graticumus error --- HOR.

THERE is a kind of writing wherein the poet quite loses sight of Nature, and entertains his reader's imagination with the characters and actions of such persons as have many of them no existence, but what he bestows on them. Such are fairies, witches, magicians, demons, and departed spirits. This Mr. Dryden calls the Fairy Way of Writing, which is, indeed, more difficult than any other that depends on the poet's fancy, because he has no pattern to follow in it, and must work altogether out of his own invention.

There is a very odd turn of thought required for this sort of writing, and it is impossible for a poet to this sort of writing, and it is impossible for a poet to the sourced in it, who has not a particular cast of fancy, and an imagination naturally fruitful and superstituous. Besides this, he ought to be very well versed in legends and fables, antiquated romances, and the traditions of nurses and old women, that he may fall in with our natural prejudices, and humour those notions which we have imbibed in our infancy. For, otherwise, he will be apt to make his fairnes talk like people of his own species, and not like other sets of beings, who converse with different objects, and think in a different manner from that of mankind:

Sylvis deducti caveant, me tuduce, fauni Ne velut innats truns, ac pene forenses, Aut nimum tenerus invenentus vernbus————Hon.

I do not say with Mr. Bayes in the Rehearsal that spirits must not be confined to speak sense, but it is certain their sense ought to be a little discoloured, that it may seem particular and proper to the person and condition of the speaker.

These descriptions raise a pleasing kind of horror in the mind of the reader, and amuse his imagination with the strangeness and novelty of the persons who are represented in them. They bring up into our memory the stories we have heard in our childhood. and favour those secret terrors and apprehensions to which the mind of man is naturally subject. We are pleased with surveying the different habits and behaviours of foreign countries; how much more must we be delighted and surprised when we are led, as it were, into a new creation, and see the persons and manners of another species? Men of cold fancies and philosophical dispositions object to this kind of poetry. that it has not probability enough to affect the imagination. But to this it may be answered, that we are sure, in general, there are many intellectual beings in the world besides ourselves, and several species of spirits, who are subject to different laws and economies from those of mankind, when we see, therefore, any of these represented naturally, we cannot look upon the representation as altogether impossible; nay, many are propossessed with such false opinions as dispose them to believe these particular delusions; at least, we have all heard so many pleasing relations in favour of them, that we do not care for seeing through the falsehood, and willingly give ourselves up to so agreeable an imposture.

The ancients have not much of this poetry among them, for, indeed, almost the whole substance of it owes its original to the darkness and superstition of later ages, when pious frauds were made use of to amuse mankind and frighten them into a sense of their duty. Our forefathers looked upon Nature with more reverence and horror, before the world was enlightened by learning and philosophy, and loved to astomsh themselves with the apprehensions of witchreaft, produges, charms, and enchantments. There was not a village in England that had not a ghost in it, the churchyards were all haunted, every

large common had a curle of faure belon ung to it, and there was scarce a shepherd to be niet with who had not seen a spirit

Among all the poets of this kind our English are much the best, by what I have yet aren, whether it he that we abound with more stones of this nature or that the genius of our country is futer for this sort of por try. For the English are naturally lain (full, and very, often disposed by that gloomines, and melancholy of temper, which is so frequent in our nation, to many wild notions and visions, to which others are not so liable.

Among the English, Shakespeare has incomparably excelled all others. That noble extravagance of lance, which he had in so great perfection, thoroughly qualified him to touch this weak superstitious part of his reader's imagination, and made him capable of succeeding where he had nothing to support fambesides the strength of his own genius. There is something so wild and yet so solemn in the specicles of his ghosts, fairnes, witches, and the like imaginary persons, that we cannot forbear thinking them natural, though we have no rule by which to judge of them and must confess, if there are such beings in the world, it looks highly probable they should talk and act as he has represented them.

There is another sort of imagin, ry beings, that we sometimes meet with among the po to, when the author represents any pasion, appuice, virtue, or vice, under a visible shape, and makes it a person or an actor in his poem. Of this nature are the decuperions of Hunger and Envy in Ovid, of Lante in Virgl, and of Sin and Death in Milton. We hind a whole creation of the like shadowy persons in Spinser, who had an admirable talent in representations of the lind. I have discoursed of these emblemate diper on in former papers, and shall therefore only in uton them in this place. Thus we see how many wars Poetry addresses itself to the imagination, as it has

264 ADDISON

not only the whole circle of nature for its province, but makes new worlds of its own, shows us persons who are not to be found in being, and represents even the faculties of the soul, with her several virtues and vices, in a sensible shape and character.

THOMAS GRAY POETIC DICTION

[From a letter to Richard West, 1742]

I HAVE myself, upon your recommendation, been reading Joseph Andrews. The incudents are ill-laid and without invention; but the characters have a great deal of nature, which always pleases even in her lowest shapes. Parson Adams is perfectly well; so is Mrs. Slipslop, and the story of Wilson; and throughout he shows himself well read in stage-coaches, country squires, inns, and Inns of Court. His reflections upon high people and low people, and misses and masters, are very good. However the exaltedness of some minds (or rather as I shrewdly suspect their insipidity and want of feeling or observation) may make them insensible to these light things (I mean such as characterize and paint nature), yet surely they are as weighty and much more useful than your grave discourses upon the mind, the passions, and what not, Now as the paradisaical pleasures of the Mohammedans consist in playing upon the flute and lying with Houris, he mine to read eternal new romances of Mariyaux and Crébillon.

You are very good in giving yourself the trouble to read and find fault with my long harangues. You freedom (as you call it) has so little need of apologies, that I should scarce excuse your treating me any otherwise; which, whatever compliment it might be to my wanty, would be making a very ill one to my understanding. As to matter of style, I have this to say: the language of the age is never the language of poetry; except among the French, whose verse, where the thought or image does not support it, dilfers in nothing from prose. Our poetry, on the contrary, has

a language peculiar to itself, to which almost every one, that has written, has added something by enriching it with foreign idioms and derivatives nay sometimes words of their own composition or invention Shakespeare and Milton have been great creators this way, and no one more licentious than Pope or Dryden. who perpetually borrow expressions from the former, Let me give you some instances from Dryden, whom everybody reckons a great master of our poetical tongue. Full of museful mopings-unlike the trim of love-a pleasant beverage-a roundelay of love-stood allent in his mood-with knots and knares deformedhis reful mood-in proud array-his boon was granted -and disarray and shameful rout-wayward but wise -furbished for the field-the foiled doddered oaksdisherited-smouldering flames-retchless of laws-crones old and ugly—the beldam at his side—the grandam-hag -villanise his Father's fame But they are infinite. and our language not being a settled thing (like the French) has an undoubted right to words of an hundred years old, provided antiquity have not rendered them unintelligible. In truth, Shakespeare's language is one of his principal beauties, and he has no less advantage over your Addisons and Rowes in this, than in those other great excellencies you mention, Every word in him is a picture. Pray put me the following lines into the tongue of our modern dramatics:

But I, that am not shaped for sportive tricks,
Nor made to court an amorous looking-glass
I, that am indely stample, and want love's majesty
To strut before a wanton ambling nymph.
I, that am curtail'd of this lair proportion,
Cheated of feature by dissembling nature,
Deform'd, minimal'd, sent before my time
Into this berathing world, scarce half made up—

And what follows. To me they appear untranslatable; and if this be the case, our language is greatly degenerated. However, the affectation of imitating Shakespeare may doubtless be carried too far; and is no sort of excuse for sentuments ill-suited, or speeches ill-timed, which I believe is a little the case with me, I guess the most faulty expressions may be these silken son of dalliance-drowner pretension; wrinkled beldams-arched the hearer's brow and receted his eves in fearful ecstasy. These are easily altered or omitted; and indeed if the thoughts be wrong or superfluous, there is nothing easier than to leave out the whole, The first ten or twelve lines are, I believe, the best; and as for the rest, I was betrayed into a good deal of it by Tacitus; only what he has said in five words. I imagine I have said in fifty lines. Such is the misfortune of imitating the immitable. Now, if you are of my opinion, una litura may do the business better than a dozen; and you need not fear unravelling my web. I am a sort of spider; and have little else to do but spin it over again, or creep to some other place and soin there. Alas! for one who has nothing to do but amuse himself, I believe my amusements are as little amusing as most folks. . . .

DODSLEY'S MISCELLANY

[From a letter to Horace Walpole, 1748]

I am obliged to you for Mr. Dodsley's book, and having pretty well looked it over, will (as you desire) tell you my opinion of it. He might, methniks, have shared the Graces in his frontispiece, if he close to be economical, and dressed his authors in a little more decent rament—not in whited-brown paper, and distorted characters, like an old ballad. I am ashamed to see myself; but the company keeps me in countenance: so to begin with Mr. Tickell. This is not only a state-poem (my ancient aversion) but a state-poem on the peace of Utiecht. If Mr. Pope had wrote a panegyric on it, one could hardly have read him with patience: but this is only a poor short-winded

268 GRAY

imitator of Addison, who had himself not above three or four notes in poetry, sweet enough indeed, like those of a German flute, but such as soon tire and satiate the ear with their frequent return. Tickell has added to this a great poverty of sense, and a string of transitions that haidly become a school-boy. However, I forgive him for the sake of his ballad, which I always thought the prettiest in the world.

All there is of Mr. Green here, has been printed before; there is a profusion of wit everywhere, reading would have formed his judgement, and harmonized his verse, for even his wood-notes often break out into strains of real poetry and music. The School-Mistress is excellent in its kind and masterly; and (I am sorry to differ from you, but) London is to me one of those few imprations that have all the ease and all the spirit of an original. The same man's verses at the opening of Garrick's theatre are far from bad. Mr. Dyer (here you will despise me highly) has more of poetry in his imagination than almost any of our number; but rough and injudicious. I should range Mr. Bramston only a step or two above Dr. King, who is as low in my estimation as in yours. Dr. Evans is a furious madman; and Pre-existence is nonsense in all her altitudes. Mr. Lyttleton is a gentle elegiac person. Mr. Nugent sure did not write his own Ode. I like Mr. Whitehead's little poems, I mean the Ode on a Tent, the Verses to Garrick, and particularly those to Charles Townsend, better than anything I had seen before of him. I gladly pass over H. Browne and the rest, to come at you. You know I was of the publishing side, and thought your reasons against it none; for though, as Mr. Chute said extremely well, the still small voice of poetry was not made to be heard in a crowd; yet satire will be heard, for all the audience are by nature her friends; especially when she appears in the spirit of Dryden, with his strength, and often with his versification, such as you have caught in those lines on the royal unction, on the papal dominion, and convents of both seves; on Henry VIII and Charles II, for these are to me the shining parts of your Epistle. There are many lines I could wish corrected, and some blotted out, but brautter enough to atone for a thousand worse faults than these. The opinion of such as can at all judge, who saw it before in Dr. Middleton's hands, concurs nearly with mine. As to what any one says, since it came out; our people (you must know) are slow of judgement; they wait till some bold body saves them the trouble, and then follow his opinion; or stay till they hear what is said in town, that is at some listing's table, or some coffee-house about the Temple. When they are determined I will tell you faithfully their verdet.

I like Mr. Aston Hervey's Fable; and an Ode (I the last of all) by Mr. Mason, a new acquaintance of mine, whose Masseas too seems to carry with it the promise at least of something good to come. I was plad to see you desinguished who poor West was, before his chairning Ode, and called it anything rather than a Pindaric. The town is an owl if it don't like Lady Mary, and I am surprised at it: we here are owls enough to think her eclogues very bad; but that I did not wonder at. Our present taste is Str. T. Fitz-Osborne's Letters.

EDWARD YOUNG

CONJECTURES ON ORIGINAL COMPOSITION

IN A LETTER TO THE AUTHOR OF SIR CHARLES GRANDISON

[1759]

DEAR SIR,—We confess the follies of youth without a blush; not so those of age. However, keep me a little in countenance, by considering, that age wants amusements more, though it can justify them less, than the preceding periods of life. How you may relish the pastime here sent you, I know not. It is miscellaneous in its nature, somewhat licentious in its conduct, and, perhaps, not over important in its end. However, I have endeavoured to make some amends. by digressing into subjects more important, and more suitable to my season of life. A serious thought, standing single among many of a lighter nature, will sometimes strike the careless wanderer after amusement only, with useful awe: as monumental marbles scattered in a wide pleasure garden (and such there are) will call to recollection those who would never have sought it in a churchyard walk of mournful yews.

To one such monument I may conduct you, in which is a hidden lustre, like the sepulchial lamps of old; but not like those will this be extinguished, but shine the brighter for being produced, after so

long concealment, into open day

You remember that your worthy patron, and our common fuend, put some questions on the serious drama, at the same time when he desired our sentiments on original and on moral composition. Though 1 despair of breaking through the frozen obstructions of age, and eare's incumbent cloud, into that flow of

thought, and bughtness of expression, which subjects so polite require, yet will I hazard some conjectures on them

I begin with original composition; and the more willingly, as it seems an original subject to mit, who have seen nothing infinite to write n on it. But first, a few thoughts on composition in give it. Some are opinion that its growth, at pit ent, is too become and that the pites is overchinged. Overchinged, it thinks, it could never be, if now were admitted, but such as brought their imprimiting it in more distinct, and they also good. Wit indeed however be diliant, should not be permitted to gaze self-en undered on its useless chains, in that foundain of language will be press), if because is all that it his to boast, but, like the flust Brutus, it should sacrifice its most darling offspring to the sacred interests of virtue, and real service of markful.

This restriction allowed, the more composition the better To men of letters, and I have, it is not only a noble amusement, but a sweet reluge, it improves their parts, and promotes their peace it opens a back-door out of the bustle of this busy and it world into a delicious garden of moral and intellectual fruits and flowers, the key of which is denied to the rest of mankind. When stung with idle anxieties, or teased with fruitless impertinence, or yawning over insipid diversions, then we perceive the blussing of a lettered recess. With what a gust do we retire to our disinterested and immortal friends in our closet, and find our minds, when applied to some favourite theme, as naturally, and as easily quieted and refreshed, as a prevish child (and prevish children are we all till we fill asleep) when laid to the breast' Our happiness no longer lives on charity, nor bids fan for a fall, by leaning on that most precarious and thorny pill iw, another's pleasure, for our repose. How independent of the world is he who can daily find new acquaintance, that at once entertain, and improve him, in the little world, the minute but fruitful creation, of his own mind?

These advantages composition affords us, whether we write ourselves, or in more humble amusement peruse the works of others. While we hustle through the thronged walks of public life, it gives us a respite, at least, from care; a pleasing pause of refieshing recollection. If the country is our-choice, or fate, there it rescues us from sloth and sensuality, which, like obscene vermin, are apt gradually to creep unperceived into the delightful bowers of our returement, and to poison all its sweets. Conscious guilt robs the rose of its scent, the lily of its lustre; and makes an Eden a deflowered and dismal scene.

Moreover, if we consider life's endless evils, what can be more prudent than to provide for consolation under them? A consolation under them the wisest of men have found in the pleasures of the pen Witness, among many more, Thucydides, Xenophon, Tully, Ovid, Seneca, Pliny the Younger, who says, In wors symitate, et amicorum perualo, aut morte twobatts, ad studia, unicum doloris levamentum, confugio. And why not add to these their modern equals, Chaucer, Raleigh, Bacon, Milton, Clarendon, under the same shield, unwounded by misfortune, and nobly smiling in distress?

Composition was a cordial to these under the frowns of fortune; but evils there are which her smiles cannot prevent or cure. Among these are the languors of old age. If those are held honourable, who in a hand benumbed by time have grasped the just sword in defence of their country; shall they be less esteemed, whose unsteady pen vibrates to the last in the cause of religion, of virtue, of learning? Both these are happy in this, that hy fixing their attention on objects most important, they escape numberless little anxieties, and that tuethum vitus which often hangs so heavy on its evening bours. May not this insinuate some apology for my spilling ink, and spoiling paper, so late in hie?

But there are who write with vigour, and success, to the world's delight, and their own renown. These are the glorious fruits where genius prevails. The mind of a man of genius is a lettile and pleasant field. pleasant as Elysium, and fertile as Tempe; it enjoys a perpetual spring. Of that spring, originals are the fairest flowers: imitations are of quicker growth, but fainter bloom. Imitations are of two kinds; one of nature, one of authors. The first we call originals, and confine the term imitation to the second. I shall not enter into the curious inquiry of what is, or is not, strictly speaking, original, content with what all must allow, that some compositions are more so than others: and the more they are so, I say, the better. Originals are, and ought to be, great favourites, for they are great benefactors; they extend the republic of letters. and add a new province to its dominion. Imitators only give us a sort of duplicates of what we had, possibly much better, before; increasing the mere drug of books, while all that makes them valuable. knowledge and genius, are at a stand. The pen of an original writer, like Armida's wand, out of a barren waste ealls a blooming spring. Out of that blooming spring an imitator is a transplanter of laurels, which sometimes die on removal, always languish in a foreign soil

But suppose an imitator to be most excellent (and such there are), yet still he but nobly builds on another's foundation; his debt is, at least, equal to his glory; which, therefore, on the balance, cannot be very great. On the contrary, an original, though but indifferent (its originality being set aside), yet has something to beast; it is something to say with bin in Horace,

Meo sum Pauper in aere;

and to share ambition with no less than Caesar, who declared be had rather be the first in a village than the second at Rome.

Still further: an imitator shares his crown, if he has one, with the chosen object of his imitation; an original enjoys an undivided applause. An original may be said to be of a vegetable nature; it rises spontaneously from the vital root of genius; it grows, it is not made. Imitations are often a sort of manufacture wrought up by those mechanics, art and labour, out of pre-existent materials not their own.

Again; we read irritation with somewhat of his languor, who listens to a twice-told tale. Our spints rouse at an original; that is a perfect stranger, and all throng to learn what news from a foreign land; and though it comes, like an Indian prince, adorned with feathers only, having little of weight, yet of our attention it will rob the more solid, if not equally new, Thus every telescope is lifted at a new-discovered star: it makes a hundred astionomers in a moment, and denies equal notice to the sun. But if an original, by being as excellent as new, adds admiration to surprise, then are we at the writer's mercy; on the strong wing of his imagination, we are snatched from Britain to Italy, from climate to climate, from pleasure to pleasure; we have no home, no thought, of our own; till the magician drops his pen. And then falling down into ourselves, we awake to flat realities. lamenting the change, like the beggar who dreamt himself a prince.

It is with thoughts as it is with words; and with both as with men; they may grow old and die. Words tarnished, by passing through the mouths of the vulgar, are laid aside as inelegant and obsolete. So thoughts, when become too common, should lose their currency; and we should send new metal to the mint, that is, new meaning to the press. The division of tongues at Babel did not more effectually debar men from making themselves a name (as the Scripture speaks), than the too great concurrence, or union of tongues will do for ever. We may as well grow good by another's virtue, or fat by another's food, as famous

by another's thought. The world will pay its debt of praise but once, and instead of applauding, explode a second demand, as a cheat.

If it is said, that most of the Latin clis is, and all the Greek, except, perhaps, Homer, Pindir, and Anacreon, are in the number of unititors, yet receive our highest appliance, our answer is, That they, though not real, are accidental originals, the viorka they imitated, few excepted, are lost, they, on their father's decease, enter as lawful hous, on their claters facease, enter as lawful hous, on their claters in fame. The fathers of our copyists are still in possion, and secured in it, in spite of Goths, and limines, by the perpetuating power of the Press. Very late must a modern imitator's fame arrive, if it waits for their decease.

An original enters early on reputation Fame, fond of new glories, sounds her trumpet in triumph at its birth, and yet how few are awal ened by it into the noble ambition of like attempts! Ambition is sometimes no vice in life, it is always a virtue in composition. High in the towering Alps is the four tain of the Po, high in fame and in antiquity is the fountain of an imitator's undertaking, but the river, and the imitation, humbly creep along the vale. So few are our originals, that, if all other books were to be burnt, the lettered world would resemble some metionolis in flames, where a few incombustible buildings, a fortress, temple, or tower, lift their heads, in melancholy grandeur, amid the mighty ruin Compared with this conflagration, old Omar lighted up but a small bonfire, when he heated the baths of the barbarrans, for eight months together, with the farned Alexandrian library's mestimable spoils, that no profane book might obstruct the triumphant progress of his hely Alcoran round the globe

But why are originals so few not because the writer's harvest is over, the great reapers of antiquity having leitnothing to begleaned after them; not because the human numd's terming time is past, or because it is incapable of putting forth unprecedented births; but because illustrious examples engross, prejudic, and indimidate. They engross our attention, and so prevent a due inspection of ourselves; they prejudice our judgement in lavour of their abilities, and so lessen the sense of our own; and they intimidate us with the splendour of their renown, and thus under diffidence bury our strength. Nature's impossibilities, and those of diffidence he wide asunder.

Let it not be suspected, that I would weakly insinuate anything in Iavour of the moderns, as compared with ancient authors; no, I am lamenting their great inferiority. But I think it is no necessary inferiority; that it is not from divine destination, but from some cause far beneath the moon: I think that human souls, through all periods, are equal; that due care and exection would set us nearer our immortal predecessors than we are at present; and he who questions and conflutes this, will show abilities not a little tending toward a proof of that equality which he denies.

After all, the first ancients had no merit in being originals: they could not be imitators. Modern writers have a choice to make; and therefore have a merit in their power. They may soar in the regions of liberty, or move in the soft fetters of easy imitation; and imitation has as many plausible reasons to urge, as pleasure had to offer to Hercules. Hercules made the choice of an hero, and so became immortal.

Yet let not assertors of classic excellence imagine, that I deny the tribute it so well deserves. He that admires not ancient authors, betrays a secret he would conceal, and tells the world that he does not understand them. Let us be as far from neglecting, as from copying, their admirable compositions: sacred be their rights, and inviolable their fame. Let our understanding feed on theirs; they afford the noblest nourishment; but let them nourish, not annihilate, our own. When we read, let our imagination kindle

at their charms; when we write, let our judgement shut them out of our thoughts; treat even Homer himself as his royal admirer was treated by the cyme; bid him stand aside, nor shade our composition from the beams of our own genus; for nothing original can rise, nothing immostal can ripen, in any other sun.

Must we then, you say, not inntate an irritauthors? Imitate them by all means; but inntate aright. He that imitates the dvine Had does not unitate Homer; but he who takes the same method, which Homer took, for arriving at a capacity of accomplishing a work so great. Tread in his steps to the sole fountain of immortality; drink where he drank, at the true Helicon, that is, at the breast of Nature, innitate; but imitate not the composition, but the man. For may not this paradox pass into a maxim? viz. 'The less we copy the renowned ancients, we shall resemble them the more.'

But possibly you may reply, that you must either imitate Homer, or depart from Nature. Not so; for suppose you was to change place, in time, with Homer; then, if you write naturally, you might as well charge Homer with an imitation of you. Can you he said to imitate Homer for writing so, as you would have written, if Homer had never heen? As far as a regard to Nature, and sound sense, will permit a departure from your great predecessors; so far, ambitiously, depart from them; the farther from them in similatude, the nearer are you to them in excellence; you rise by it into an original; become a noble collateral, not an humble descendant from them. Let us build our compositions with the spirit, and in the taste, of the ancients; but not with their materials; thus will they resemble the structures of Pericles at Athens, which Plutarch commends for having had an air of antiquity as soon as they were built. All eminence, and distinction, lies out of the beaten road; excursion and deviation are necessary to find it; and the more remote your path from the highway, the more reputable; if, hhe poor Gulliver (of whom anon), you fall not into a ditch, in your way to glory.

What glory to come near, what glory to reach, what glory (presumptuous thought!) to surpass our predecessors! And is that then in Nature absolutely impossible? Or is it not, rather, contrary to Nature to fail in it? Nature herself sets the ladder, all wanting is our ambition to climb. For by the bounty of Nature we are as strong as our predecessors; and by the favour of time (which is but another round in Nature's scale) we stand on higher ground. As to the first, were they more than men? Or are we less? Are not our minds cast in the same mould with those before the flood? The flood affected matter: mind escaped. As to the second; though we are moderns, the world is an ancient, more ancient far, than when they, whom we most admire, filled it with their fame, Have we not their beauties, as stars, to guide; their defects, as rocks, to be shunned; the judgement of ages on both, as a chart to conduct, and a sure helm to steer us in our passage to greater perfection than theirs? And shall we be stopped in our rival pretensions to fame by this just reproof?

> Stat contra, dicitque tibi tua pagina, fur es. Marr.

It is by a sort of noble contagion, from a general familiarity with their writings, and not by any particular sordid theft, that we can be the better for those who went before us. Hope we, from plagiarism, any dominion in literature; as that of Rome arose from a nest of thieves?

Rome was a powerful ally to many states; ancient authors are our powerful allies; but we must take heed, that they do not succour till they enslave, after the manner of Rome. Too formidable an idea of their superiority, like a spectre, would fright us out of a proper use of our wits; and dwarf our understanding, by making a giant of theirs. Too great awe for them

lays genius under restraint, and demes it that five scope, that full elbox-room, which is requisite for straining its most masterly strokes. Genusis a mastri-workman, learning is but an instrument, and an instrument, though most valuable, yet not always indispensable. Heaven will not admit of a partner in the accomplishment of some favorine sparts, but rejecting all human means, assume a the whole glow to itself. Have not some, though not fained for rudition, so written, as almost to persuide us, that they should brighter, and soared higher, for escaping the hoasted and of that proud ally.

Nor is firstiange, for what, for the most part, mean we by gentus, but the power of accomplishing great things without the means generally reputed necessary to that end? A gentus differs from a good understanding, as a magician from a good architect that raises his structure by means invisible, this by the skilful use of common tools. Hence gentus has ever been supposed to partake of something divine. Nemo unquam tir magnus fut, sine aliquo alfilati divine.

Learning, destitute of this superior aid, is fond and proud of what has cost it much pains; is a great lover of rules, and boaster of famed examples, as beauties less perfect, who owe half their charms to cautious art, learning inveighs against natural unstudied graces, and small harmless maccuracies, an I sets rigid bounds to that liberty, to which genius often owes its supreme glory, but the no-genius its hequent rum. For unprescribed beauties, and unexampled excellence, which are characteristics of genus, he without the pale of learning's authorities, and laws, which pale, genius must leap to come at them but by that leap, if genius is wanting, we break our net k. we lose that little credit which possibly we might have emoyed before. For rules, like crutches, are a needful aid to the lame, though an impediment to the strote; A Homer casts them away; and, like his Achilles,

Jura negat sibi nata, mbut non airogat,

by native force of mind. There is something in poetry beyond prose-reason; there are mysteries in it not to be explained, but admired; which render mere prosemen infields to their divinity. And here pardon a second paradox; viz. 'Genius often then deserves most to be praised, when it is most sure to be condemned; that is, when its excellence, from mounting high, to weak eyes is quite out of sight.'

If I might speak further of learning, and genius, I would compare genius to virtue, and learning to riches. As riches are most wanted where there is least virtue; so learning where there is least genius. As virtue without much riches can give renown. As it is said in Terence, Peciniam negligere interdum marmum est lucrum; so to neglect of learning, genius sometimes owes its greater glory. Genius, therefore, leaves but the second place, among men of letters, to the learned. It is their ment, and ambition, to fling light on the works of genius, and point out its charms. We most justly reverence their informing radius for that favour, but we must much more admire the radiant stars pointed out by them.

A star of the first magnitude among the moderns was Shakespeare; among the ancients, Pindar, who (as Vossius tells us) boasted of his no-learning, calling himself the eagle, for his flight above it. And such genii as these may, indeed, have much reliance on their own native powers. For genius may be compared to the natural strength of the body; learning to the super-induced accoutrements of arms; if the first is equal to the proposed exploit, the latter rather encumbers than assists; rather retards than promotes the victory. Sacer nobes inest Deus, says Seneca With regard to the moral world, conscience, with regard to the intellectual, genius, is that god within. Genius can set us right in composition, without the rules of the icarned; as conscience sets us right in life, without the laws of the land: this, singly, can make us good, as

men: that, singly, as writers, can sometimes make us great.

I say, sometimes, because there is a genius, which stands in need of learning to make it shine. ()f genius there are two species, an earlier and a later; or call them infantine and adult. An adult genius comes out of Nature's hand, as Pallas out of Jove's head, at full growth and mature: Shakespeare's genius was of this kind, on the contrary, Swift stumbled at the threshold, and set out for distinction on feeble knees; his was an infantine genius, a genius, which, like other infants, must be nursed, and educated, or it will come to naught: learning is its nurse and tutor; but this nurse may overlay with an indigested load, which smothers common sense; and this tutor may mislead, with pedantic prejudice, which vitiates the best understanding: as too great admirers of the fathers of the Church have sometimes set up their authority against the true sense of Scripture: so too great admirers of the classical fathers have sometimes set up their authority. or example, against reason.

> Neve minor, neu sit quinto productior actu Fabula.

So says Horace, so says ancient example. But reason has not subscribed. I know but one book that can justify our amplicat acquiescence in it: and (by the way) on that book a noble disdain of undue deference to prior opinion has lately cast, and is still casting, a new and inestimable light.

But, superstinon for our predecessors set aside, the classes are for ever our rightful and revered masters in composition; and our understandings bow before them. but when? When a master is wanted; which, sometimes, as I have shown, is not the case. Some are pupils of nature only, nor go further to school: from such we reap often a double advantage; they not only rival the reputation of the great ancient authors, but also reduce the number of mean one

among the moderns. For when they enter on subjects which have been in former hands, such is their superiority, that, like a tenth wave, they overwhelm and bury in oblivion all that went before and thus not only enrich and adorn, but remove a load, and lessen the labour, of the lettered world.

'But, you say, since originals can arise from genius only, and since genius is so very rare, it is scarce worth while to labour a point so much, from which we can reasonably expect so little.' To show that genius is not so very rare as you imagine, I shall point out strong instances of it, in a far distant quarter from that mentioned above. The minds of the schoolmen were almost as much closstered as their bodies: they had but little learning, and few books; yet may the most learned be struck with some astonishment at their so singular natural sagacity, and most exquisite edge of thought. Who would expect to find Pindar and Scotus, Shakespeare and Aquinas, of the same party? Both equally show an original, unindebted energy; the vigor igneus, and caelestis origo, burns in both, and leaves us in doubt whether genius is more evident in the sublime flights and beauteous flowers of poetry, or in the profound penetrations, and manyllously wan and minute distinctions, called the thorns of the schools. There might have been more able consuls called from the plough than ever arrived at that honour: many a genius probably there has been which could neither write nor read. So that genius, that supreme lustre of literature, 13 less rare than you conceive.

By the praise of genius we detract not from learning; we detract not from the value of gold, by saying that diamond has greater still. He who disregards learning shows that he wants its aid; and he that overvalues it shows that its aid has done him harm. Overvalued indeed it cannot be, if genius, as to composition, is valued more. Learning we thank, genius we revere; that gives us pleasure, this gives us rapture;

that informs, this inspires, and is itself inspirel; for genius is from heaven, learning from man; this sets us above the low and alliterate; that, above the learned and polite. Learning is bourowed knowledge; genius is knowledge innate, and quite our own. Therefore, as Bacon observes, it may take a nobler name, and be called wisdom; in which sense of

Therefore, as Bacon observes, it may take a nobler name, and be called wasdom; in which sense of wisdom some are born wise. But here a caution is necessary against the most fatal of errors in those automaths, those self-aurelit philosophers of our age, who set up genus, and often mere fancied genius, not only above human learning,

but divine truth. I have called genus wirdom; but let it be remembered, that in the most renowned ages of the most refined heathen wisdom (and theirs is not Christian) 'the world by wisdom knew not God, and it pleased God by the foolishness of preaching to save those that believed.' In the fairyland of fancy, genius may wander wild; there it has a creative power, and may reign arbitrarily over its own empire of chimeras, The wide field of Nature also lies open before it, where it may range unconfined, make what discoveries it can. and sport with its infinite objects uncontrolled, as far as visible Nature extends, painting them as wantonly as it will. But what painter of the most unbounded and exalted genius can give us the true portrait of a scraph? He can give us only what, by his own or others' eyes, has been seen; though that indeed infinitely compounded, raised, burlesqued, dishonomed, or adorned: in like manner, who can give us divine truth unrevealed? Much less should any presume to set aside divine truth when revealed, as meongruous to their own sagacities-Is this too serious for my subject? I shall be more so before I close,

Having put in a caveat against the most fatal of errors, from the too great indulgence of genus, return we now to that too great suppression of it, which is detrimental to composition; and endeayour to rescue the writer, as well as the man. I have said that some

284

are born wise; but they, like those that are born rich. by neglecting the cultivation and produce of their own possessions, and by running in debt, may be beggared at last; and lose their reputations, as younger brothers estates, not by being born with less abilities than the rich heir, but at too late an hour.

YOUNG

Many a great man has been lost to himself, and the public, purely because great ones were born before him. Hermias, in his collections on Homer's blindness, says, that Homer, requesting the gods to grant him a sight of Achilles, that hero rose, but in armour so bright, that it struck Homer blind with the blaze. Let not the blaze of even Homer's muse darken us to the discernment of our own powers; which may possibly set us above the rank of imitators; who, though most excellent and even immortal (as some of them are) yet are still but Du minorum gentium, nor can expect the largest share of incense, the greatest profusion of praise, on their secondary altars,

But further still: a spirit of imitation hath many ill effects: I shall confine myself to three. First, it deprives the liberal and politer arts of an advantage which the mechanic enjoy in these, men are ever endeavouring to go beyond their predecessors; in the former, to follow them. And since copies surpass not their originals, as streams rise not higher than their spring, rarely so high; hence, while arts mechanic are in perpetual progress and increase, the liberal are in retrogradation and decay. These resemble pyramids, are broad at bottom, but lessen exceedingly as they rise, those resemble rivers which, from a small fountain-head, are spreading ever wider and wider as they run. Hence it is evident that different portions of understanding are not (as some unagine) allotted to different periods of time; for we see, in the same period, understanding rising in one set of artists and declining in another. Therefore Nature stands absolved and our inferiority in composition must be charged on ourselves.

Nay, so far are we from complying with a necessity. which Nature lays us under, that, secondly, by a spirit of imitation we counteract Nature, and thwart her design. She brings us into the world all originals: no two faces, no two minds, are just abke; but all bear Nature's evident mark of separation on them. Born originals, how comes it to pass that we die copies? That meddling ape Imitation, as soon as we come to years of indiscretion (so let me speak), snatches the pen and blots out Nature's mark of separation, cancels her kind intention, destroys all mental individuality: the lettered world no longer consists of singulars, it is a medley, a mass; and a hundred books, at bottom. are but one. Why are monkeys such masters of mimicry? Why receive they such a talent at imitation? Is it not as the Spartan slaves received a licence for ebricty; that their betters might be ashamed of it?

The third fault to be found with a spirit of militation is, that with great incongruity it makes us poor and proud makes us think hittle, and write much; gives us huge folios, which are hitle better than more reputable cushions to promote our repose. Have not some sevenfold volumes put us in mind of Ovid's sevenfold channels of the Nile at the conflagration?

Ostia septem

Pulverulenta vacant septem sine flumine valles.

Such leaden labours are like Lyeurgus's iron money, which was so much less to value than in bulk, that it required barns for strong-boxes, and a yoke of oxen to draw five hundred pounds.

But notwithstanding these disadvantages of imitation, imitation must be the lot (and often an honourable lot it is) of most writers. If there is a famme of invention in the land, like Joseph's brethren we must travel far for food; we must visit the remote and rich ancients; but an inventive genus may salely stay at home; that, like the widow's cruse, is divinely replenished from within; and affords us a muraculous delight. Whether our own genius he such or not, we diligently should inquire; that we may not go abegging with gold in our purse. For there is a mine in man, which must be deeply dug ere we can coniecture its contents. Another often sees that in us, which we see not ourselves, and may there not be that in us which is unseen by both? That there may, chance often discovers, either by a luckely chosen theme, or a mighty premium, or an absolute necessity of exertion, or a noble stroke of emulation from another's glory; as that on Thucydides from hearing Herodotus repeat part of hus history at the Olympic games; had there been no Herodotus, there might have been no Thucydides, and the world's admiration might bave begun at Livy for excellence in that province of the pen. Demosthenes had the same stimulation on hearing Callistratus, or Tully might have been the first of consummate renown at the bar.

Quite clear of the dispute concerning ancient and modern learning, we speak not of performance, but powers The modern powers are equal to those before them, modern performance in general is deplorably short. How great are the names just mentioned! Yet who will dare affirm, that as great may not rise up in some future, or even in the present age? Reasons there are why talents may not appear, none why they may not exist as much in one period as another. An evocation of vegetable finits depends on rain, air, and sun; an evocation of the fruits of genius no less depends on externals. What a marvellous crop bore it in Greece and Rome! And what a marvellous sunshine did it there enjoy! What encouragement from the nature of their governments, and the spirit of their people! Virgil and Horace owed their divine talents to Heaven, their immortal works to men; thank Maecenas and Augustus for them. Had it not been for these, the genus of those poets had lain buried in their ashes. Athens expended on her theatre, painting, sculpture, and architecture, a tax levied for the support of a war. Caesar dropped his papers when Tully spoke; and Philip trembled at the voice of Demosthenes; and has there arisen but none Tully, one Demosthenes, in so long a course of years? The powerful cloquence of them buth in one stream, should never bear me down into the melancholy persuasion, that several have not been born, though they have not emerged. The sun as much reits in a "loudy day, as in a clear; it is outward, accidental circumstances that with regard to genius either in nation or age

Collectus fugat nubes, solemque reducit.
Vince.

As great, perhaps greater than those mentioned (presumptuous as it may sound) may possibly auso: for who hath fathomed the mind of man? Its bounds are as unknown as those of the creation; since the birth of which, perhaps, not one has so far exerted, as not to leave his possibilities beyond his attainments, his powers beyond his exploits. Forming our judgements altogether by what has been done, without knowing, or at all inquiring, what possibly might have been done, we naturally enough fall into too mean an opinion of the human mind. If a sketch of the divine Iliad before Homer wrote, had been given to mankind, by some superior being, or otherwise, its execution would, probably, have appeared beyond the power of man. Now, to surpass it, we think impossible. As the first of these opinions would evidently have been a mistake, why may not the second be so too? Both are founded on the same bottom; on our ignorance of the possible dimensions of the mind of man

Nor are we only ignorant of the dimensions of the human mind in general, but even of our own. That a man may be scarce less ignorant of his own powers, than an oyster of its pearl, or a rock of its diamond, that he may possess dormant, unsuspected abilities, till awakened by loud calls, or stung up by striking emergencies, is evident from the sudden eruption of

some men, out of perfect obscurity, into public admiration, on the strong impulse of some animaling occasion; not more to the world's great surprise than their own. Few authors of distinction but have experienced something of this nature, at the first beamings of their yet unsuspected genius on their hithers dark composition: the writer starts at it, as at a luid meteor in the night; is much surprised; can scare believe it true. During has happy confusion it may be said to him, as to Eve at the lake.

> What there thou seest, fair creature, is thyself, Mur.

Genius, in this view, is like a dear friend in our company under disguise; who, while we are lamenting his absence, drops his mask, striking us, at once, with equal surprise and joy. This sensation, which I speak of in a writer, might favour, and so promote, the fable of poetic inspiration: a poet of a strong imagination, and stronger vanity, on feeling it, might naturally enough realize the world's mere compliment, and think himself truly inspired. Which is not improbable; for enthusiasts of all kinds do no less.

Since it is plain that men may be strangers to their own abilities; and by thinking meanly of them without just cause, may possibly lose a name, perhaps a name immortal; I would find some means to prevent these cwils. Whatever promotes wirtue, promotes something more, and carnes its good influence beyond the moral man: to prevent these cwils, I borrow they golden rules from ethics, which are no less golden in composition than in life. 1st. Know thyself; 2ndly, Russenee thyself: I design to repay ethics in a futue letter, by two rules from thetone for its service.

1st. Know thyself. Of ourselves it may be said, as Martial says of a bad neighbour,

Nil tam prope, proculque nobis.

Therefore dive deep into thy bosom; learn the depth.

extent, bias, and full fort of thy mind; contract full intimacy with the stranger within thee; excite and cherish every spark of intellectual light and heat, however smothered under former negligence, or scattered through the dull, dark mass of common thoughts; and collecting them into a body, let thy genus rise (if a genius thou hast) as the sun from chaos; and if I should then say, like an Indian, Worship it, (though too bold) yet should I say little more than my second rule enjoins, (viz.) Reverence thyself.

That is, let not great examples, or authorities, browbeat thy reason into too great a diffidence of thyself: thyself so reverence, as to prefer the native growth of thy own mind to the richest import from abroad; such borrowed riches make us poor. The man who thus reverences himself, will soon find the world's reverence to follow his own. His works will stand distinguished; his the sole property of them; which property alone can confer the noble title of an author; that is, of one who (to speak accurately) thinks and composes; while other invaders of the press, how voluminous and learned soever, (with due respect be it spoken) only read and write.

This is the difference between those two luminaries in literature, the well-accomplished scholar, and the divinely-inspired enthusiast; the first is, as the bright morning star; the second, as the rising sun. The writer who neglects those two rules above will never stand alone; he makes one of a group, and thinks in wretched unanimity with the throng: incumbered with the notions of others, and impoverished by their abundance, he conceives not the least embryo of new thought; opens not the least entrough the gloom of ordinary writers, into the bright walks of rare imagnation, and singular design; while the true genus is crossing all public roads into fresh unrodden ground; he, up to the knees in antiquity, is treadis—

"great footsteps of great examples, with

the blind veneration of a bigot saluting the papal toe; comfortably hoping full absolution for the sins of his own understanding, from the powerful charm of touching his idol's infallibility.

Such meanness of mind, such prostration of our own powers, proceeds from too great admiration of others Admiration has, generally, a degree of two very bad ingredients in it; of ignorance, and of lear, and does mischief in composition, and in life. Proud as the world is, there is more superiority in it given than assumed; and its grandees of all kinds owe more of their elevation to the littleness of others' minds. than to the greatness of their own. Were not prostrate spurts their voluntary pedestals, the figure they make among mankind would not stand so high, Imitators and translators are somewhat of the pedestal-kind, and sometimes rather raise their original's reputation, by showing him to be by them inimitable, than their own. Homer has been translated into most languages; Achan tells us that the Indians (hopeful tutors!) have taught him to speak their tongue. What expect we from them? Not Homer's Achilles, but something which, like Patroclus, assumes his name, and at its peril appears in his stead; nor expect we Homer's Ulysses, gloriously buisting out of his cloud into royal grandeur, but an Ulysses under disguise, and a beggar to the last. Such is that inimitable father of poetry, and oracle of all the wise, whom Lycurgus transcribed; and for an annual public recital of whose works Solon enacted a law: that it is much to be feared, that his so numerous translations are but as the published testimonials of so many nations and ages, that this author so divine is untranslated still.

But here,

Cynthus aurem

VIRO.

and demands justice for his favourite, and ours. Great

things he has done, but he might have done greater. What a fall is it from Homer's numbers, here as air, lotty and harmonious as the spheres, into childrih shackles, and tinking sounds! But, in his fall, he is still great.

Nor appears
Less than archangel rum'd, and the cares
Of glory obscur'd.—

Mu T.

Had Milton never wrote, Pope had been less to blame but when in Milton's genius, Homer, as it were, personally rose to forbid Butons doing him that ignoble wrong, it is less pardonable, by that effeninate decoration, to put Achilles in petticoata a second time. How much nobler had it been, if his numbers had rolled on in full flow, through the various modulations of masculine melody, into these gran leuis of solemn sound, which are indispensably demanded by the native dignity of heroic song! How much nobler. if he had resisted the temptation of that Gothic demon, which, modern poesy tasting, became mortal! Oh, how unlike the deathless, divine harmony of three great names (how justly joined!), of Milton, Greet s, and Rome! His verse, but for this little speck of mortality in its extreme parts, as his hero had in his heel, like him, had been invulnerable an i immortal. But, unfortunately, that was undopped in Helicon; as this, in Styx. Harmony as well as electronic is essential to poesy; and a murder of his unite is putting half Homer to death, Blank is a term of diminution; what we mean by blank verse, is, ver e untallen, uncurst; verse reclaimed, reintbround in the true language of the gods; who never theuriered nor suffered then Honer to thurder, in the me; and therefore, I beg you, my hand, to crown it with some nobler term; nor let the greatness of the thing he under the defamation of such a name.

But supposing Pope's Illud to have been perfect in its kind; yet it is a translation still, which differs 292

of the

as much from an original, as the moon from the sun:

> —Phoeben alieno susserat sene Imblen, solemque suo,

> > CLAUD.

But as nothing is more easy than to write originally wrong, originals are not here recommended, but under the strong guard of my first rule-Know thyself. Lucian, who was an original, neglected not this rule, if we may judge by his reply to one who took some freedom with him. He was, at first, an appientice to a statuary; and when he was reflected on as such, by being called Prometheus, he replied, 'I am indeed the inventor of new work, the model of which I owe to none; and, if I do not execute it well, I deserve to be torn by twelve vultures, instead of one, If so, O Gulliver! dost thou not shudder at thy brother Lucian's vultures hovering o'er thee? Shudder on! they cannot shock thee more than decency has been shocked by thee. How have thy Houyhnhams thrown thy judgement from its seat, and laid thy imagination in the mire! In what ordure hast thou

dipped thy pencil! What a Monster hast thou made Human face divine!

Міттон.

This writer has so satirized human nature, as to give a demonstration in himself, that it deserves to be satirized. But, say his wholesale admirers, few could so have written; true, and fewer would. If it required great abilities to commit the fault, greater still would have saved him from it. But whence arise such warm advocates for such a performance? From hence, viz. before a character is established, ment makes fame; afterwards fame makes merit. Swift is not commended for this piece, but this piece for Swift. He has given us some heauties which deserve all our praise; and our comfort is, that his faults will not become common; for none can he guilty of them, but who have wit as well as reputation to spare. His wit had been less wild, if his temper had not jouled his judgement. If his favourite houvinhams could write, and Swift had been one of them, every horse with him would have been an ass, and he would have written a panegyric on mankind, saddling with much reproach the present heroes of his pen. (In the contrary, being born amongst men, and, of consequence, piqued by many, and peevish at more, he has blasphemed a nature little lower than that of angels, and assumed by far higher than they; but surely the contempt of the world is not a greater virtue than the contempt of mankind is a vice. Therefore I wonder that, though forboine by others, the laughter-loving Swift was not reproved by the venerable Dean, who could sometimes be very grave. For I remember, as I and others were taking with

him an evening's walk, about a mile out of Dublin, he stopped short; we passed on; but percenting that he did not follow us, I went back; and found him fixed as a statue, and earnestly gazing upward at a noble elm, which in its uppermost branches was nuch withered, and decayed. Pointing at it, he said, 'f shall be like that tree, I shall die at top 'As in this he seemed to prophesy like the Sibviy; if, like one of them, he had burnt part of his works, especially this blasted branch of a noble genus, like her too, he might have risen in his demand for the rest.

Would not his fittend Pope have succeeded better in an original attempt? Talents untited are talents unknown. All that I know is, that, contrary to three sentuments, he was not only an avowed profesor of imitation, but a zealous recommender of it also. Nor could he recommend any thing better, except emulation, to those who write. One of three all writers must call to their aid; but aids they are of unequal repute. Imitation is infectionly confessed; emulation is superiority contested, or denired; imitation is service, emulation generous, that fetters, this

YOUNG

fires; that may give a name; this, a name immortal: this made Athens to succeeding ages the rule of taste, and the standard of perfection. Her men of genius atruck fire against each other; and kindled, by conflict, into glories, which no trace shall extinguish. We thank Acschylus for Sophocles; and Parrhasin for Zeuxis; emulation, for both. That bids us fly the general fault of imitators; hids us not he struck with the loud report of former fame, as with a knell, which damps the spirits; but as with a trumpet, which inspires ardour to rival the renowned. Emulation exhorts us, instead of learning our discipline for ever, like raw troops, under ancient leaders in composition, to put those laurelled veterans in some hazard of losing their superior posts in glory.

Such is emulation's high-spirited advice, such her immortalizing call. Pope would not hear, pre-engaged with Imitation, which blessed him with all her charms. He chose rather, with his namesake of Greece, to triumph in the old world, than to look out for a new. His taste partook the error of his religion; it denied not worship to saints and angels; that is, to writers, who, canonized for ages, have received their apotheosis from established and universal fame. True poesy, like true religion, abhors idolatry; and though it honours the memory of the exemplary, and takes them willingly (yet cautiously) as guides in the way to glory; real, though unexampled, excellence is its only

ann; nor looks it for any uspiration less than divine. Though Pope's noble Muse may boast her illustrious descent from Homer, Virgil, Horace, yet is an original author more nobly born. As Tactus says of Cut tus Rufus, an original author is houn of himself, is his own progenitor, and will probably propagate a numerous of byring of imitators, to eternize his glory; while mule-like mitators die without issue. Therefore, though we stand much obliged for his giving us an Homer, yet had he doubled our obligation by giving us—a Pope. Had he a strong imagination,

and the true sublime? That granted, we might have had two Homers unstead of one, if longer had been his life; for I heard the dying swan talk over an epic plan a few weeks before hi, decraw.

Bacon, under the shadow of whose great name I would shelter my present attempt in favour of originals, says, 'Men seek not to know their own stock, and abilities; but faucy their passessons to be greater, and their abilities less, than they really are.' Which is, in effect, saying, 'That we ought to exert more than we do; and that, on exertion, our probability of success is greater than we conceive'

Nor have I Bacon's opinion only, but his assistance too, on my side. His mighty mind travelled round the intellectual world; and, with a more than eagle's eye, saw, and has pointed out, blank spaces, or dark spots in it, on which the human mind never shore some of these have been enlightened since; some are benighted still.

Moreover, so boundless are the bold excursions of the human mind, that, in the vast void beyond real existence, it can call forth shadowy beings, and unknown worlds, as numerous, as bright, and, perhaps, as lasting, as the stars; such quite-original beauties we may call paradisalcal.

Natos sine semine flores.

When such an ample area for renowned adventure in original attempts lies before us, stall we be as mere leaden papes, conveying to the present age small streams of excellence from its grand reservoir in antiquity; and those too, perhaps, muddled in the pass? Originals shine, like comets; have no peer in their path; are rivalled by none, and the gaze of all. All other compositions (if they shine at all) shine in clusters; like the stars in the galaxy; where, like bad neighbours, all suffer from all; each particular being diminished, and almost lost in the throng.

If thoughts of this nature prevailed; if ancients and moderns were no longer considered as masters and pupils, but as hard-matched rivals for renown; then moderns, by the longevity of their labours, might, one day, become ancients themselves; and old Time, that best weigher of merits, to keep his balance even, might have the golden weight of an Augustan age in both his scales; or rather our scale might descend; and that of antiquity (as a modern match for it strongly speaks) might kielt the beam.

And why not? For consider, since an impartial

Providence scatters talents indifferently, as through all orders of persons, so through all periods of time: since, a marvellous light, unenjoyed of old, is poured on us by revelation, with larger prospects extending our understanding, with brighter objects enriching our imagination, with an inestimable prize setting our passions on fire, thus strengthening every power that enables composition to shine; since there has been no fall in man on this side Adam, who left no works, and the works of all other ancients are our auxiliars against themselves, as being perpetual spuri to our ambition, and shining lamps in our path to fame: since this world is a school, as well for intellectual, as moral, advance; and the longer human nature is at school, the better scholar it should be, since, as the moral world expects its glorious millennium, the world intellectual may hope, by the rules of analogy, for some superior degrees of excellence to crown her later scenes; nor may it only hope, but must enjoy them too; for Tully, Quantilian, and all true critics allow, that virtue assists genius, and that the writer will be more able, when better is the man-all these particulars, I say, considered, why should it seem altogether impossible, that heaven's latest editions of the human mind may be the most correct, and fair? that the day may come, when the moderns may proudly look back on the comparative darkness of former ages, on the children of antiquity; reputing Homer and Demosthenes as the dawn of divine genius, and Athens as the cradle of infant fame? What a glorious revolution would this make in the rolls of renown!

What a rant, say you, is here?—I partly grant it. Yet, consider, my friend! knowledge physical, mathematical, moral, and divine, increases; all arts and sciences are making considerable advance; with them, all the accommodations, ornaments, delights, and glories of human life; and these are new food to the genus of a polite writer; there are as the root, and composition as the flower; and as the root spreads and thrives, shall the flower fail? As well may a flower flourish, when the root is dead. It is prudence to read, genus to relish, glory to surpass, ancent authors; and wasdom to try our strength, in an attempt in which it would be no great dishonour to fail.

Why condemned Maro his admirable epic to the fiames? Was it not because his directrining eye saw some length of perfection beyond it? And what he saw, may not others reach? And who bid fairer than our countrymen for that glory? Something new may be expected from Britons particularly; who seem not to be more severed from the test of mankand by the surrounding sea, than by the current in their veins; and of whom httle more appears to be required, in order to give us originals, than a consistency of character, and making their compositions of a piece with their lives. May our genius shine; and proclaim us in that nobler view!

. . . minumă contențos nacte Britannes. VIRO.

And so it does; for in polite composition, in natural and mathematical knowledge, we have great originals already—Bacon, Boyle, Newton Shakespeare, Milton, have showed us, that all the winds cannot blow the British flag farther, than an original sputt can convey the British fame; their names go round the world;

298 YOUNG

and what foreign genius strikes not as they pass? Why should not their posterity embark in the same bold bottom of new enterprise, and hope the same success? Hope it they may; or you must assert, either that those originals which we already enjoy, were written by angels, or deny that we are men. As Simonides said to Pausanias, reason should say to the writer, 'Remember thou ait a man.' And for man not to grasp at all which is laudable within his reach, is a dishonour to human nature, and a disobedience to the divinc; for as beaven does nothing in vain, its guft of talents implies an injunction of their use.

A friend of mme has obeyed that mjunchon; he has relied on himself, and with a genius, as well moral as original (to speak in bold terms), has cast out evil spirits; has made a convert to virtue of a species of composition, once most its foe. As the first Christian emperors expelled demons, and dedicated their temples to the living God.

But you, I know, are sparing in your praise of this author; therefore I will speak of one, which is sure of your applause. Shakespeare mingled no water with his wine, lowered his genius by no vapid imitation. Shakespeare gave us a Shakespeare, nor could the first in ancient fame have given us more! Shakespeare is not their son, but brother; their equal; and that, in spite of all his faults. Think you this too bold? Consider, in those ancients what it is the world admires! Not the fewness of their faults, but the number and brightness of their beauties; and if Shakespeare is their equal (as he doubtless is) in that which in them is admired, then is Shakespeare as great as they; and not impotence, but some other cause, must be charged with his defects. When we are setting these great men in competition, what but the comparative size of their genius is the subject of our inquiry? And a giant loses nothing of his size, though he should chance to trip in his race. But it is a compliment to those heroes of antiquity to suppose Shakespeare their equal only in dramatic powers; therefore, though his faults had been gir iter, the scale would still turn in his favour. There is at least as much genius on the British as on the Grecian strice, though the former is not swept so clean - so than from violations not only of the dramane, but moral rule; for an honest heathen, on reading some of our celebrated scenes, unght be seriously concerned to see, that our obligations to the religion of Nature were cancelled by Christianity,

Jonson, in the serious drama, is as much an imitator as Shakespeare is an original. He was very learned, as Samson was very strong, to his own hurt blind to the nature of tragedy, he pulled down all antiquity on his head, and buried hunself under it; we see nothing of Jonson, nor indeed of his admired (but also murdered) ancients; for what shone in the historian is a cloud on the poet; and Caliling might have been a good play, if Sallust had never written.

Who knows whether Shakespeare might not have thought less, if he had read more? Who knows, if he might not have laboured under the load of Junion's learning, as Enceladus under Etna? His mighty genius, indeed, through the most mountainous oppression would have breathed out some of his inextinguishable fire; yet, possibly, he might not have risen up into that giant, that much more than common man, at which we now gaze with amazement, and delight. Perhans he was as learned as his dramatic province required; for whatever other learning he wanted, he was master of two books, unknown to many of the profoundly read, though books which the last conflagration alone can destroy; the book of Nature, and that of man. These he had by heart, and has transcribed many admirable pages of them, into his immortal works. These are the fountainhead, whence the Castalian streams of original composition flow; and these are often muddled by other waters, though waters in their distinct channel, most

wholesome and pure: as two chemical liquors, separately clear as crystal, grow foul by mixture, and offend the sight. So that he had not only as much learning as his dramatic province required, but, perhaps, as it could safely bear. If Milton had spared some of his learning, his muse would have gained more glory, than he would have lest by it.

Dryden, destitute of Shakespeare's genrus, had almost as much learning as Jonson, and, for the buskin, quite as little taste. He was a stranger to the pathos, and, by numbers, expression, sentiment, and every other dramatic cheat, strove to make amends for it; as if a saint could make amends for the want of conscience; a soldier, for the want of valour; or a vestal, of modesty. The noble nature of tragedy disclaims an equivalent; like virtue, it demands the heart; and Dryden had none to give. Let epic poets think, the tragedian's point is rather to feel; such distant things are a tragedian and a poet, that the latter indulged destroys the former. Look on Barnwell, and Essex, and see how as to these distant characters Dryden excels, and is excelled. But the strongest demonstration of his no-taste for the buskin are his tragedies fringed with rhyme; which, in epic poetry, is a sore disease, in the tragic, absolute death. To Dryden's enormity, Pope's was a light offence. As lacemen are foes to mourning, these two authors, rich in rhyme, were no great friends to those solemn ornaments, which the noble nature of their works required.

Must rhyme then, say you, be banished? I wish the nature of our language could bear its entire expulsion; but our lesser poetry stands in need of a toleration for it; it raises that, but sinks the great; as spangles adorn children, but expose men. Prince Henry bespangled all over in his eyelet-hole suit, with glittering pms; and an Achilles, or an Almanzor, in his Gothic array; are very much on a level, as to the majesty of the poet, and the prince. Dryden had a great, but a general capacity; and as for a general genius, there is no such thing in Nature. A germis implies the rays of the mind concentred, and determined to some particular point; when they are scattered widely, they act feehly, and strike not with sufficient force, to hre, or dissolve, the heart. As what comes from the writer's heart rearlies ours; so what comes from his head sets our brains at work, and our hearts at ease. It makes a circle of thoughtful critics, not of distressed patients; and a passive authence is what tragedy requires. Applause is not to be given, but extorted, and the silent lapse of a single tear dies the writer more honour than the rattling thunder of a thousand hands. Applauding hands and dry eyes (which during Dryden's theatrical reign often met) are a sattre on the writer's talent, and the spectator's taste. When by such judges the laurel is blindly given, and by such a poet proudly received, they resemble an into ucated host, and his tasteless guests, over some sparkling adulteration, commending their champagne.

But Dryden has his glory, though not on the stage. What an immitable original is his ode! A small one, indeed, but of the first lustre, and without a flaw; and, amid the brightest boasts of antiquity, it may find a trul,

Among the brightest of the moderns, Mr. Addison must take his place. Who does not approach his character with great respect? They who refuse to close with the public in his praise, refuse at their peril. But, if men will be fond of their own opinions, some hazard must be run. He had, what Dryden and Jonson wanted, a warm and feeling heart; but, being of a grave and bashlul nature, through a philosophic reserve and a sort of moral prudery, he concealed it, where he should have let loose all his fire, and have showed the most tender sensibilities of heart. At his celebrated Cato, few tears are shed, but Cato's own; which, indeed, are truly great, but unaffecting, except to the nable few, who love their country better than

themselves. The bulk of mankind want virtue enough to be touched by them. His strength of genius has reared up one glorious image, more lofty and truly golden than that in the plains of Dura, for cool admiration to gaze at, and watm patriotism (how rare!) to worship; while those two throbbing pulses of the drama, by which alone it is shown to live, terror and pity, neglected through the whole, leave our unmolested hearts at perfect peace. Thus the poet, like his hero, through mistaken excellence, and virtue overstrained, becomes a sort of suicide; and that which is most dramatic in the drama, dies. All his charms of poetiy are but as funeral flowers, which adorn; all his noble sentiments but as rich spices, which embalin, the tragedy deceased.

Of tragedy, pathos is not only the life and soul, but the soul inextinguishable; it charms us through a thousand faults. Decorations, which in this author abound, though they might immortalize other poesy, are the splendida peccala which damn the drama; while, on the contrary, the murder of all other beauties is a venial sin, nor plucks the laurel from the tragedian's brow. Was it otherwise, Shakespeare himself would run some hazard of losing his crown.

Socrates frequented the plays of Euripides; and what living Socrates would decline the theatre, at the representation of Cato? Tully's assassins found him in the litter, reading the Medea of the Greeian poet, to prepare himself for death. Part of Cato might be read to the same end. In the weight and dignity of moral reflection, Addison resembles that poet, who was called the dramatic philosopher; and is binnelf, as he says of Cato, 'ambitiously sententious'. But as to the singular talent so remarkable in Euripides, at neiting down hearts into the tender streams of grief and pity, there the resemblance fails. His beauties parkle, but do not warm; they sparkle as stars in a fostly night. There is, indeed, a constellation in his slay; there is the philosopher, patiot, orator, and

poet; but where is the tragedian? And, if that is wanting,

Cur in theatrum Cato severe venisti?

And, when I recollect what passed between him and Dryden in relation to this drama, I must add the next line.

An idea tentum veneras, ut exires?

For, when Addison was a student at Oxford, he sent up this play to his friend Dryden, as a proper person to recommend it to the theatre, if it deserved it, who returned it, with very great commendation, but with his opinion, that, on the stage, it could not meet with its deserved success. But though the performance was denied the theatre, it brought its author on the public stage of life. For persons in power inquiring soon after of the head of his college for a youth of parts, Addison was recommended, and readily received, by means of the great reputation which Dividen had just then spread of him above

There is this simulatude between the poet and the play; as this is more fit for the closet than the stage; so, that shope brighter in private conversation than on the public scene. They both had a sort of local excellency, as the heathen gods a local divinity; beyond such a bound they, unadmired; and these, unadored. This puts me in mind of Plato, who denied Homer to the public; that Homer, which, when in his closet, was rarely out of his hand. Thus, though Cato is not calculated to signalize himself in the warra emotions of the theatre, yet we find him a most amiable companion in our calmer delights of recess.

Notwithstanding what has been offered, this, in many views, is an exquisite piece. But there is so much more of art than nature in it, that I can scarce forbear calling it an exquisite piece of statuary,

Where the smooth chisel all its skill has shown, To soften into flesh the rugged stone. ADDEON.

That is, where art has taken great pains to lahour undramatic matter into dramatic life; which is impossible. However, as it is, like Pygmalion, we cannot but fall in love with it, and wish it was alive. How would a Shakespeare, or an Otway, have answered our wishes? They would have outdone Prometheus, and, with their heavenly fire, have given him not only life, but immortality. At their dramas (such is the force of nature) the poet is out of sight, quite hid behind his Venus, never thought of, till the curtain falls. Art brings our author forward, he stands before his piece; splendidly indeed, but unfortunately; for the writer must be forgotten by his audience during the representation, if for ages he would be remembered by posterity. In the theatre, as in life, delusion is the charm; and we are undelighted the first moment

that the theatre is not yet opened in which solid happiness can be found by man; because none are more than comparatively good; and folly has a corner in the heart of the wise.

A genius fond of ornament should not be wedded

we are undeceived. Such demonstration have we,

to the tragte muse, which is in mourning; we want not to be diverted at an entertainment, where our greatest pleasure arises from the depth of our concern. But whence (by the way) this odd generation of pleasure from pain? The movement of our melancholy passions is pleasant, when we ourselves are safe: we love to be at once miserable and unburt. So are we made; and so made, perhaps, to show us the divine goodness; to show that none of our passions were designed to give us pain, except when being pained is for our advantage on the whole; which is evident from this instance, in which we see that passions the most painful administer greatly. sometimes, to our delight. Since great names have accounted otherwise for this particular, I wish this solution, though to me probable, may not prove a mistake.

To close our thoughts on Cato: he who sees not much beauty in it, has no taste for poetry; he who sees nothing else, has no taste for the stage. Whilst justifies censure, it extorts applause. It is much to be admired, but little to be left. Had it not been a targedy, it had been immortal; as it is a traserly, it uncommon fate somewhat resembles his, who, for conquering gloriously, was condemned to die. Both shone, but shone fatally—because in breach of their respective laws, the laws of the drama, and the laws of arms. But how rich in reputation must that author be, who can spare a Cafe, without feeling the lost

That loss by our author would scarce be felt; it would be but dropping a single feather from a wing that mounts him above his contemporaries. He has a more refined, decent, judicious, and extensive genius, than Pope or Swift. To distinguish this triumyirate from each other, and, like Newton, to discover the different colours in these genuine and meridian rays of literary light, Swift is a singular wit, Pope a correct poet, Addison a great author. Swift looked on wit as the jur dwinum to dominion and sway in the world, and considered as usurpation all power that was lodged in persons of less sparkling understandings. This inclined him to tyranny in wit, Pope was somewhat of his opinion, but was for inference tyearny into lawful monarchy; yet were there some acts of severity in his reign. Addison's crown was elective, he reigned by the public voice:

... Valentes
Per populos dat una, viamque affectat Olympo,
Vina.

But as good books are the medicine of the mind, if we should dethrone these authors, and consider them, not in their royal, but their medicinal capacity, might it not then be said, that Addison pre-cribed a wholesome and pleasant regimen, which was universally relisted, and did much good; that Pope

preferred a purgative of sature, which, though wholesome, was too painful in its operation; and that Swaft insisted on a large dose of ipecacuanha, which, though readily swallowed from the fame of the physician, yet, if the patient had any delicacy of taste, he threw up the remedy, instead of the disease?

Addison wrote httle in verse, much in sweet, elegant, Virgilian prose; so let me call it, since Longinus calls Herodotus most Homeric, and Thucydides is said to have formed in style on Pindar. Addison's compositions are built with the finest materials, in the taste of the ancients, and (to speak his own language) on truly classic ground: and though they are the delight of the present age, yet am I persuaded that they will receive more justice from posterity. I never read him, but I am struck with such a disheartening idea of perfection that I drop my pen. And, indeed, far superior writers should forget his compositions, if they would be greatly pleased with their own.

And yet (perhaps you have not observed it) what is the continon language of the world, and even of his admires, concerning him? They call him an aligual writter: that elegance which shines on the surface of his compositions, seems to dazzle their understanding, and render it a little bland to the depth of sentiment which lies beneath; thus (hard fatel) he loses reputation with them, by doubling his title to it. On subjects the most interesting and important, no author of his age has written with greater, I had aimost said with equal, weight: and they who commend him for his elegance, pay him such a sort of compliment, by their abstemous praise, as they would pay to Lucretia, if they should commend her only for her beauty.

But you say that you know his value already. You know, indeed, the value of his writings, and close with the world in thinking them irramortal; but, I believe, you know not that his name would have deserved immortality, though he had never written;

and that, by a better title than the pen can give: you know too, that his life was annable; but, perhaps, you are still to learn that his death was transplant: that is a glory granted to very lew. And the paternal hand of Providence, which, sometimes, snatches home its beloved children in a moment, must convince us, that it is a glory of no great consequence to the dying individual; that, when it is granted, it is granted chiefly for the sake of the surviving world, which may profit by his pious example, to whom is included the strength and opportunity to make la, virtue shine out brightest at the point of death. And here, permit me to take nouce, that the world will, probably, profit more by a pious example of lay-extraction, thin by one born of the church; the latter being, usually, taxed with an abatement of influence by the bulk of mankind; therefore, to smother a bright example of this superior good influence, may be reputed a sort of murder injurious to the living, and unjust to the dead.

Such an example have we in Addison; which, though hitherto suppressed, yet, when once known, is insuppressible, of a nature too sare, too studing to be jorgotten. For, after a long and maste, but vara struggle with his distemper, he dismissed his pla icians, and with them all hopes of life, but with his hopes of life he dismissed not his concern for the living, but sent for a youth nearly related, and intely accomplished, yet not above being the better for good impressions from a dying friend. He came, but his now glummering in the socket, the dying iru til was silent; after a decent and proper pause, the youth said, 'Dear Su! you sent for me: I believe, and I hope, that you have some commands; I shall hold them most sacred.' May distant age, not only hear, but feel, the reply! Forcibly grasping the youth's hand, he softly said, 'See in what peace a Christian can die.' He spoke with difficulty, and soon expired. Through grace divine, how great is man! Through divine mercy, how stingless is death! Who would not

thus expire?

What an inestimable legacy were those few dying words to the youth beloved! What a glorious supplement to his own valuable fragment on the truth of Christianity! What a full demonstration, that his fancy could not feigh beyond what his virtue could reach! For when he would strike us most strongly with the grandeur of Roman magnanimity, his dying hero is ennobled with this sublime sentiment.

While yet I live, let me not live in vain.

But how much more sublime is that sentiment when realized in life; when dispelling the languors, and appeasing the pains of a last hour, and brightening with illustrious action the dark avenue, and all-awful confines of an eternity! When his soul scarce animated his body, strong faith and ardent charity animated his soul into divine ambition of saving more than sown. It is for our honour, and our advantage, to hold him high in our esteem: for the better men are, the more they will admire him, and the more they admire him, the better will they be.

By undrawing the long closed curtain of his deathbed, have I not showed you a stranger in him whom you knew so well? Is not this of your favourite author.

—Nota mater image?

His compositions are but a noble preface; the grand work is his death; that is a work which is read in heaven; how has it joined the final approbation of angels to the previous applause of men! How gloriously has he opened a splendid path, through fame immortal, into eternal peace! How has he given religion to triumph amidst the ruins of his nature! And, stronger than death, risen higher in virtue when breathing his last!

If all our men of genius had so breathed their last; if all our men of genius, like lina, had been men of genius for eternals; then had we never been pained by the report of a latter end-oh! how unlike to this! But a little to balance our pain, let us consider that such reports as make us, at once, adore and tremble, are of use, when too many there are who must trendic before they will adore, and who convence us, to our shame, that the surest refuge of our endangered virtue is in the fears and terrors of the drangemous human heart

But reports, you say, may be false; and you farther ask me, If all reports were true, how came an anecdote of so much honour to human nature, as mine, to lie so long unknown? What mauspicious planet interposed to lay its lustre under so fasting and so surprising an eclipse?"

The fact is indisputably true; nor are you to rely on me for the truth of it: my report is but a second edition: it was published before, though obscurely, and with a cloud before it. As clouds before the sun are often beautiful, so this of which I speak. How finely pathetic are those two lines, which this so solemn and affecting scene inspired!

He taught us how to live; and, oh! too high A price for knowledge, taught us how to die. TICKELL.

With truth wrapped in darkness, so sung our oracle to the public, but explained himself to me; he was present at his patron's death, and that account of it here given, he gave to me before his eves were dry. By what means Addison taught us how to die, the poet left to be made known by a late, and less able hand; but one more zealous for his patron's glory-zealous, and impotent, as the poor Egyptian, who gathered a few splinters of a broken boat, as a funeral pile for the great Pompey, studious of doing honour to so renowned a name: yet had not 310 this poor plank (permit me, here, so to call this imperfect page) been thrown out, the chief article of his patron's glory would probably have been sunk for ever, and late ages bave received but a fragment of bis fame: a fragment glorious indeed, for his genius how bright! But to commend him for composition, though immortal, is detraction now, if there our encomium ends: let us look farther to that concluding scene, which spoke buman nature not unrelated to the divine. To that let us pay the long and large arrear of our greatly posthumous applause. This you will think a long digression, and justly,

if that may be called a digression, which was my chief inducement for writing at all. I had long wished to deliver up to the public this sacred deposit, which by Providence was lodged in my hands; and I entered on the present undertaking partly as an introduction to that, which is more worthy to see the light; of which I gave an intimation in the beginning of my letter: for this is the monumental marble there mentioned, to which I promised to conduct you; this is the sepulchral lamp, the long-hidden lustre of our accomplished countryman, who now rises as from his tomb, to receive the regard so greatly due to the dignity of his death; a death to be distinguished by tears of joy: a death which angels beheld with delight, And shall that, which would have shone conspi-

cuous amid the resplendent lights of Christianity's glorious morn, by these dark days be dropped into oblivion? Dropped it is; and dropped by our sacred, august, and ample register of renown, which has entered in its marble memous the dim splendour of far inferior worth: though so layish of praise, and so talkative of the dead, yet is it silent on a subject, which (if any) might have taught its unlettered stones to speak. If powers were not wanting, a monument more durable than those of marble should proudly rise in this ambitious page, to the new and far nobler Addison, than that which you, and the public, have so long and so much admired; nor this nation only; for it is Europe's Addison, as well as ours; though Europe knows not half his title to her estrem; hering as yet unconscious that the dying Addison far our latter her Addison immortal. Would we rev mile hind? Let us not limit our ambition to the least illustrous part of his character; heads, indeed, are crowned on earth; but heats only are crowned in heater) a truth, which, in such an age of authors, should not be forgotten.

It is piously to be hoped, that this narrative may have some effect, since all listen, when a death-bed speals; and regard the person departing as an actor of a part, which the great master of the drama has appointed us to perform to-morrow. This was a Roscius on the stage of life, his exit how great? Ye lovers of virtue, plaudite! and let us, my friend! ever 'remember his end, as well as our own, that we may never do amiss.'—I am,

Dear Sir, Your most obliged, humble servant.

P.S.—How far Addison is an original, you will see in my next; where I descend from this consecrated ground into his sublunary praise; and great is the descent, though into noble heights of intellectual power.

RICHARD HURD

From LETTERS ON CHIVALRY, 1762

HEROIC AND GOTHIG MANNERS

(Letter VI)

LET it be no surprise to you that, in the close of my last letter, I presumed to bring the Gisrusalemme liberata into competition with the Iliad.

So far as the heroic and Gothic manners are the same, the pictures of each, if well taken, must be equally entertaining. But I go farther, and maintain that the circumstances, in which they differ, are clearly to the advantage of the Gothic designers.

You see my purpose is to lead you from this forgotten chivalry to a more amusing subject, I mean the poetry we still read, and which was founded

upon it.

Much has been said, and with great truth, of the felicity of Homer's age, for poetical manners. But as Homer was a citizen of the world, when he had seen in Greece, on the one hand, the manners he has described, could he, on the other hand, have seen in the west the manners of the feudal ages. I make no doubt but he would certainly have preferred the latter. And the grounds of this preference would, I suppose, have been 'the improved gallantry of the feudal times; and the superior solemnity of their superstitions',

If any great poet, like Homer, had lived amongst, and sung of, the Gothic knights (for after all Spenser and Tasso came too late, and it was impossible for them to paint truly and perfectly what was no longer wen or believed) this preference, I persuade myself, had been very sensible. But their fortune was not so happy.

--omnes illacrymabiles Urgentur, ignotique longli Nocte, carent quia vate sacro.

As it is, we may take a guess of what the subject was capable of affording to real genus from the indesketches we have of it, in the old romancers. And it is but looking into any of them to be considered that the gallantry, which inspirited the feudal times, was of a nature to furnish the poet with heer scenes and subjects of description in every view, than the simple and uncontrolled barbarity of the Gregan.

The principal entertainment arising from the delineation of likes consists in the exercise of the boustierous passions, which are provoked and kept alive from one end of the Illiad to the other, by every imaginable scene of rage, revenge, and slaughter. In the other, together with these, the gentler and more humane affections are awakened in us by the most interesting displays of love and friendship; of love, elevated to its noblest heights; and of friendship, operating on the purest motives. The mere variety of these paintings is a relief to the reader as well as writer. But their beauty, novelty, and pathos give them a vast advantage on the comparison.

Consider, withal, the surprises, accidents, adventures which probably and naturally attend on the life of wandering kinghts; the occasion there must be for describing the wonders of different countries, and of presenting to view the manners and policies of distant states: all which make so conspicuous a part of the materials of the greater poetry.

So that, on the whole, though the spirit, passions, rapine, and violence of the two sets of manners were equal, yet there was a dignity, a magnificence, a variety in the feudal, which the other wanted.

As to religious machinery, perhaps the popular system of each was equally remote from reason, yet the latter had something in it more amusing, as well as more awakening to the imagination. The current popular tales of cives and fairles were even fitter to take the credulous mund, and charm it into a willing admiration of the specious miracles which wayward fancy delights in, than those of the old traditionary rabble of pagan divinities. And then, for the more solemn fancies of witcheraft and incantation, the horrors of the Godine were above measure striking and terrible. The minimeries of the pagan priests were childish, but the Gothie enchanters shook and alarmed all nature.

We feel this difference very sensibly in reading the ancient and modern poets. You would not compare the Canidia of Hoiace with the witches in Macbelli. And what are Virgil's myrtles dropping blood, to Tasso's enchanted forest?

Ovid indeed, who had a fancy turned to romance, makes Medea, in a rant, talk wildly. But was this the common language of their other writers? The enchantress in Virgil says coolly of the very chiefest prodictes of her charms and possons.

> His ego saepè lupum fieri, et se condere sylvis Aloerin; saepè animas imis excire sepulchris, Aique salas alio vidi traducere messes.

The admirable poet has given an air of the marvellous to his subject, by the magic of his expression. Else, what do we find here, but the ordinary effects of melancholy, the vulgar superstition of evoking spirits, and the supposed influence of fascination on the hopes of rural inclustry?

> Non isthic obliquo oculo mila commoda quisquam Limat . . .

says the poet of his country-seat, as if this security from a fascinating eye were a singular privilege, and the mark of a more than common good fortune.

Shakespeare, on the other hand, with a terrible sublime (which not so much the energy of his genius, as the nature of his subject drew from him) gives us

The noon-tide sun, call'd forth the mutinous winds, And 'twist the green sea and the arise sunds. And 'twist the green sea and the arise sunds. Set roaning war, to the dre di rattling thunder Have I giv'n fire, and ritted four's some one of With his own bolt. The strong-last'd promountery Have I made stake, and by the spans plack'd up. The pine and cedar Gaves, at my continent, Have open'd, and let forth their skepers.

The last circumstance, you will say, is but the anims into earns sepaids is of the Latin poet. But a very significant word marks the difference. The pagan necromancers had a hundred little tricks by which they pretended to call up the ghosts, or shadows of the dead. but these, in the ideas of paganism, were quite another thing from Shakespeare's sleeper.

This may serve for a cast of Shakespeare's magic and I can't but think that when Milton wanted to paint the horrors of that might (one of the noblest parts in his Paradist Regaund), which the deal himself is feigned to conjure up in the wilderness, the Gothic language and ideas helped him to work up his tempest with such terror. You will judge from these lines:

Infernal ghosts and hellish funes round Environ'd thee, some howl'd, some yell'd, some shriek'd, Some bent at thee their hery data...

But above all from the following:

Thus pass'd the night so foul, all morning fair Came forth with pignm steps in arms e gray, Who with her radiant larger still d the roar Ot thunder, chas'd the clouds, and laid the winds And greaty states.

Where the radiant finger points at the potent wand of the Gothic magicians, which could reduce the calm of nature, upon occasion, as well as disturb it; and the girly spectres laid by the approach of morn, were apparently of their raising, as a sagacious critic perceived when he took notice 'how very injudicious it was to retail the popular superstition in this place'.

After all, the conclusion is not to be drawn so

much from particular passages, as from the general impression left on our minds in reading the ancient and modern poets. And this is so much in favour of the latter that Mr. Addison scruples not to say, 'The ancients have not much of this poetry among them; for, indeed (continues he) almost the whole substance of it owes its original to the darkness and superstition of later ages. Our forefathers looked upon nature with more reverence and horror, before the world was enlightened by learning and philosophy, and loved to astomsh themselves with the apprehensions of witchcraft, prodigies, charms, and enchantments. There was not a village in England, that had not a ghost in it, the churchyards were all haunted, every large common had a circle of fairies belonging to it, and there was scarce a shepherd to be met with

who had not seen a spirit.'

We are upon enchanted ground, my friend; and you are to think yourself well used that I detain you no longer in this fearful circle. The glimpse, you have had of it, will help your imagination to conceive the rest. And without more words you will readily apprehend that the fancies of our modern bards are not only more gallant, but, on a change of the scene, more sublime, more terrible, more alarming, than those of the classic fablers. In a word, you will find that the manners they paint, and the superstitions they adopt, are the more poeucal for being Gothic.

SPENSER AND MILTON

(Letter VII)

Bur nothing shows the difference of the two systems under consideration more plainly, than the effect they really had on the two greatest of our poets; at least the two which an English reader is most found to compare with Homer, I mean Spenser and Milton.

It is not to be doubted but that early of these bards had kindled his poetic fire from classic fables. So that, of course, their prejudices would be that way. Yet they both appear, when most inflamed, to have been more particularly wrapt with the Gothic fables of churary.

Spenser, though he had been long nourished with the spuit and substance of Honer and Virgit, chose the times of chivalry for his theme, and fury land for the scene of his fictions. He could have planned, no doubt, an heroic design on the exact classic model or, he might have trimmed between the Cothic and classic, as his contemporary Tasso did. But the charms of fairy prevailed. And if any think he was seduced by Ariosto into this choice, they should consider that it could be only for the sake of his subject; for the genius and character of these poets was judely different.

Under this idea then of a Gothic, not classical poem, the Facie Queene is to be read and criticized. And on these principles, it would not be difficult to unfold its ment in another way than has been hitherto attempted.

Milton, it is true, preferred the classic model to the Gothic. But it was after long hesitation; and his favourite subject was Arthur and his kinghts of the round table. On this he had fixed for the greater part of his life. What led him to change his mud was, partly, as I suppose, his growing fanaticism; partly, his ambition to take a different route from Spenser; but chiefly perhaps, the discredit into which the stories of chivalry had now fallen by the immortal satire of Cervantes. Yet we see through all his poetry, where his enthusiasm flames out most, a certain predilection for the legends of chivalry before the fables of Greece.

This cucumstance, you know, has given offence to the austerer and more mechanical critics. They are ready to censure his judgement, as juvenile and unformed, when they see him so delighted, on all occasions, with the Gothic iomances. But do these censors imagine that Milton did not perceive the defects of these works, as well as they? No: it was not the composition of books of chivalry, but the manners described in them, that took his fancy; as appears from his Allegor:

Towed cities please us then And the busy hum of men, Where throngs of kinghts and barons bold In weeds of peace high triumphs hold, With store of ladres, whose bright cyes Rain influence, and judge the prize Of wit, or arms, while both contend To win her grace, whom all commend.

And when in the Penserase he draws, by a fine contrivance, the same kind of image to soothe melancholy which he had before given to exeite mirth, he indeed extols an author of one of these romances, as he had before, in general, extolled the subject of them; but it is an author worthy of his praise; not the writer of Amadas or Sir Laumedot of the Lake, but Chaucer himself, who has left an unfinished story on the Gothic or feudal model.

Or call up him that left half-told The story of Cambuscan hold. Or Camball and of Algarsite, And who had Canate to wife That own'd the victious ring and glass And of the wondrous house of brass, On which the Tartar king did rida-And if aught else great bards beade In sage and solemn tunes have suag Of tourneys and of troplacs hung, Of forests and inchantments dre ir, Where more is meant than incers the ear,

The conduct then of these two poets may make us to think with more respect than is commonly done of the Gothic manners, I mean as adapted to the uses of the greater poetry

I say nothing of Shakespeare because the sublimity (the divinity, let it be, if nothing else will serve) of his genus kept no certain route, but rambled at hazard into all the tegions of human life and manoers. So that we can hardly say what he preferred or what he rejected on full deliberation. Yet one thing is clear, that even he is greater when he uses Gothic manners and machinery than when he employs classical which brings is again to the same point, that the former have, by their nature and genus, the advantage of the latter in producing the sublime.

THE FAERIE QUEENE

(Letter VIII)

I SPOKE 'of criticizing Spenser's poem, under the idea not of a classical but Gothic composition'.

It is certain much light might be thrown on that singular work, were an able critic to consider it in this view. For instance, he might go some way towards explaining, perhaps justifying, the general plan and conduct of the Tane Queens, which to classical readers has appeared indefensible.

I have taken the fancy, with your leave, to try my hand on this curious subject.

When an architect examines a Gothic structure by Greeian rules, he finds nothing but deformity. But the Gothic architecture has its own rules, by which when it comes to be examined, it is seen to have its merit, as well as the Greenan. The question is not, which of the two is conducted in the simplest or truest taste; but whether there be not sense and design in both, when scrutinized by the laws on which each is projected.

The same observation holds of the two sorts of poetry. Judge of the Faerie Queene by the classic models, and you are shocked with its disorder: consider it with an eye to its Gothic original, and you find it regular. The unity and simplicity of the former are more complete: but the latter has that sort of unity and simplicity which results from its nature.

The Faerie Queene then, as a Gothic poem, derives its method, as well as the other characters of its composition, from the established modes and ideas of chivalry.

It was usual, in the days of knight-errantry, at the holding of any great feast, for knights to appear before the prince who presided at it, and claim the privilege of being sent on any adventure, to which the solemnty might give occasion. For it was supposed that, when such a throng of knights and barons bold as Milton speaks of, were got together, the distressed would flock in from all quarters, as to a place where they knew they might find and claim redress for all their grievances.

This was the real practice, in the days of pure and ancient chivalry. And an image of this practice was afterwards kept up in the castles of the great, on any extraordinary festival or solemnity: of which, if you want an instance, I refer you to the description of a feast made at Lisle in 1453, in the court of Philip the Good, Duke of Burgundy, for a crusade against the Turks: as you may find it given at large in the memoirs of Matthieu de Conci, Obvier de la Marche, and Monstrelet.

That feast was held for twelve days: and each day

was distinguished by the claim and allowance of some adventure.

Now laying down this practice, as a foundation for the poet's design, you will see how properly the Faerie Queene is conducted.

I devise,' says the poet lumself in his Letter to Sir W. Raleigh, 'that the Facry Queen kept her annual feaste xn days' upon which vii several days, the occasions of the xii several adventures hapened; which being undertaken by xn several knights, are in these xn books severally handled.'

Here you have the poet delivering his own method, and the reason of it. It arose out of the order of his subject. And would you desire a better reason for his choice?

Yes, you will say, a poet's method is not that of his subject. I grant you, as to the order of time, in which the recutal is made; for here, as Spenser observes (and his own practice agrees to the rule), les the main difference between the poet historical and the historiographer. The reason of which is drawn from the nature of epic composition itself, and liable equally, let the subject be what it will, and whatever the system of mainers be on which it is conducted. Gothic or classic makes no difference in this respect.

But the case is not the same with regard to the general plan of a work, or what may be called the order of distribution, which is and must be governed by the subject-matter itself. It was as requisite for the Faerie Queene to consist of the adventures of twelve knights as for the Odyssey to be confined to the adventures of one hero, justice had otherwise not been done to his subject.

So that if you will say anything against the port's method, you must say that he should not have chosen this subject. But this objection arises from your classic ideas of unity, which have no place here; and are in every view foreign to the purpose, if the poet has found means to give his work, though consisting of many parts, the advantage of unity. For in some reasonable sense or other it is agreed every work of art must be one, the very idea of a work requiring it.

If you ask then what is this unity of Spenser's poem? I say it consists in the relation of its several adventures to one common original, the appointment of the Facrie Queen; and to one common end, the completion of the Faerie Queen's injunctions. The knights issued forth on their adventures on the breaking up of this annual feast; and the next annual feast, we are to suppose, is to bring them together again from the achievement of their several charges.

This, it is true, is not the classic unity, which consists in the representation of one entire actionbut it is a unity of another sort, a unity resulting from the respect which a number of related actions have to one common purpose. In other words, it is a unity of design and not of action.

This Gothie method of design in poetry may be in some sort, illustrated by what is called the Gothic method of design in gardening. A wood or grove cut out into many senarate avenues or glades was amongst the most favourite of the works of art, which our fathers attempted in this species of cultivation. These walks were distinct from each other, had each their several destination, and terminated on their own proper objects. Yet the whole was brought together and considered under one view by the relation which these various openings had, not to each other, but to their common and concurrent centre. You and I are, perhaps, agreed that this sort of gardening is not of so true a taste as that which Kent and Nature have brought us acquainted with; where the supreme art of the designer consists in disposing his ground and objects into an entire landscape; and grouping them, if I may use the term, in so easy a manner, that the careless observer, though he be taken with the symmetry of the whole, discovers no art in the combination:

In lieto aspetto il hel quardin s'aperse, Acque stagnanti, mobili citatili, Pior vari, e vaue piante, herbe diverse, Apriche collimette, ombione talli, selve, e speliinche in tina vitta offerse; E quel, chel bello, ell'acro accresse a l'opre, L'Arte, che tiuto la, nulla si supre L'Arte, che tiuto la, nulla si supre

LA of C. xvi. S. ix.

This, I say, may be the truest taste in guidening, because the simplest. Yet there is a matrix A is just to unity in the other method; which has had its admirers, as it may have again, and is certainly not without its design and beauty.

But to return to our poet. Thus far he drew from Gothic ideas, and these ideas, I think, would lead him no farther. But, as Spencer knew what helonged to classic composition, he was tempted to the his subject still closer together by one expedient of his own, and by another taken from his classic models,

His own was to interrupt the proper story of each book, by dispersing it into several; involving by this means, and as it were intertwiting the several actions together, in order to give something like the appearance of one action to his twelve adventures. And for this conduct, as absurd as it seems, he had some great examples in the Italian point, though I believe they were led into it by different monves.

The other expedient which he borrowed from the classics, was by adopting one superior character which should be seen throughout. Prince Arthur, who had a separate adventure of his own, was to have his partin each of the others; and thus several actions were to be embodied by the interest which one principal hero had in them all. It is even observable that Speener gives this adventure of Prince Arthur, in quest of thousan, as the proper subject of his poem. And upon this idea the late learned editor of the Faeru Queen has attempted, but think without success, to detend the unity and simplicity of its fable. The truth was, the violence of

324 HURD

classic prejudices forced the poet to affect this appearance of unity, though in contradiction to his Gothic system. And as far as we can judge of the tenor of the whole work from the finished hall of it, the adventure of Prince Arthur, whatever the author pretended, and his critic too easily believed, was but an after-thought; and at least with regard to the historical fable, which we are now considering, was only one of the expedients by which he would conceal the disorder of his Gothic plan.

And if this was his design, I will venture to say that both his expedients were injudicous. Their pursue was to ally two things in nature incompatible, the Gothic, and the classic unity; the effect of which mis-allionic was to discover and expose the nakedness of the Gothic.

I am of opinion then, considering the Farie Queene as an epic or narrative poem constructed on Gothic ideas, that the poet had done well to effect no other unity than that of design, by which his subject was connected. But his poem is not simply narrative; it is throughout allegorical: he calls it 'a perpetual allegory or dark concent: and this character, for reasons I may have occasion to observe hereafter, was even predomnant in the Faerie Queene. Fits narration is subservient to his moral, and but serves to colour it. This he tells us himself at setting out:

Fierce wars and farthful loves shall muralize my song,

that is, shall serve for a vehicle, or instrument to convey the moral.

Now under this idea the unity of the Faerie Queene is more apparent. His twelve knights are to exemplify as many virtues, out of which one illustrious character is to be composed. And in this view the part of Prince Arthur in each book becomes essential, and yet not principal; exactly as the poet has contrived it. They who rest in the hteral story, that is, who criticize it on the footing of a narrative poem, have

constantly objected to this management. They say it necessarily breaks the unity of design. Prince Arthur, they affirm, should either have had no part in the other adventures or he should have had the chief part. He should either have done nedneg or more. And the objection is management in the act I know of nothing that can be said to remove it but what I have supposed above might be the purpose of the poet, and which I myself have rejected as manbalent.

But how faulty soever this conduct he in the literal story, it is perfectly right in the motal, and that for an obvious leason, though his criticis seein not to have been aware of it. His chief hero was not to have the twelve virties in the degree in which the knights had, each of them, their own; (such a chierarter would be a monster) but he was to have so much of each as was requisite to form his superior character. Each virtue in its perfection is exemplified in its own kinght; they are all, in a due degree, concentred in Prince Atthur.

This was the poet's moral and what way of expressing this moral in the history but by nathing Prince Arthur appear in each adventure, and in a manner subordinate to its proper hero. Thus, though inferior to each in his own specific virtue, he is superior to all by uniting the whole circle of their virtues in hinself. And thus he arrive, at length, at the possession of that hight form of Gloty, while e ravishing beauty, as seen in a dream or vision, had led him out into these minaculous adventures in the land of factice.

The conclusion is that, as an alle-gonral poem, the method of the Faerte Queene is governed by the justness of the moral, as a manative peam it is conducted on the ideas and usages of chivalry. In either view, if taken by itself, the plan is detenable. But from the tunion of the two designs there are 5 a per plexity and confusion, which is the proper, and only considerable, defect at this extraordinary poem.

SAMUEL JOHNSON

DRYDEN AS CRITIC AND POET

[From Lives of the English Poets, 1779]

DRYDEN may be properly considered as the father of English criticism, as the writer who first taught us to determine upon principles the merit of composition. Of our former poets the greatest dramatist wrote without rules, conducted through life and nature by a genus that rarely misled and rarely deserted him. Of the rest, those who knew the laws of propriety had neglected to teach them.

Two Aris of English Poetry were written in the days of Ehrabeth by Webb and Puttenham, from which something might be learned, and a few hints had been given by Jonson and Cowley; but Dryden's Essay on Dramatic Poetry was the first regular and valuable

treatise on the art of writing.

He who, having formed his opinions in the present age of English literature, turns back to peruse this dialogue, will not perhaps find much increase of knowledge or much novelty of instruction; but he is to remember that critical principles were then in the hands of a few, who had gathered them partly from the ancients and partly from the Italians and French. The structure of dramatic poems was then not generally understood. Authences applicated by instinct, and poets perhaps often pleased by chance.

A writer who obtains his full purpose loses himself in his own lustre. Of an opinion which is no longer doubted, the evidence ceases to be examined. Of an art universally practised, the first teacher is forgotten. Learning once made popular is no longer learning; it has the appearance of something which we have bestowed upon ourselves, as the dew appears to rise from the field which it refreshes. /

To judge rightly of an author, we must transport

ourselves to his time, and examine what were the wants of his contemporaries, and what were his means of supplying them. That which is easy at one time was difficult at another. Dryden at least imported his science, and gave his country what it wanted hefore; or rather, he imported only the materials, and manufactured them by his own skill The dialogue on the Drama was one of his best

essays of criticism, written when he was yet a timorous candidate for reputation, and therefore laboured with that deligence which he neight allow himself somewhat to remit, when his name gave sanction to his positions, and his awe of the public was abated, partly by custom, and partly by success. It will not be easy to find, in all the opulence of our language, a treatise so artfully variegated with successive representations of opposite probabilities, to enlivened with imagery, so brightened with illustrations. His portraits of the English dramatists are wrought with great spirit and diligence. The account of Shakespeare may stand as a perpetual model of encomiastic criticism; exact without minuteness, and lofty without exaggeration. The praise lavished by Longmus, on the attestation of the heroes of Marathon, by Demosthenes, fades away before it. In a few lines is exhibited a character, so extensive in its comprehension, and so curious in its limitations, that nothing can be added, diminished, or reformed; nor can the editors and admirers of Shakesneare, in all their emulation of reverence, hoast of naith more than of having diffused and paraphrased too epitome of excellence, of having changed Dayden's gold for

baser metal, of lower value though of greater bulk. In this, and in all his other essays on the same subject, the criticism of Dryden is the criticism of a noet; not a dull collection of theorems, nor a rude detection of faults, which perhaps the censur was not able to have committed; but a gay and vigorous dissertation, where delight is mingled with notine tion, and where the author proves his right of judgement by his power of performance.

by his power of performance. The different manner and effect with which critical knowledge may be conveyed, was perhaps never more clearly exemplified than in the performances of Rymer and Dryden. It was said of a dispute between two mathematicians, 'malim cum Scaligero errare, quam cum Clavio recte sapere'; that 'it was more cligible to go wrong with one than right with the other'. A tendency of the same kind every mind must feel at the perusul of Dryden's prefaces and Rymer's discourses. With Dryden we are wandering in quest of Truth; whom we find, if we find her at all, dressed in the graces of elegance, and if we miss her, the labour of the pursuit rewards itself; we are led only through fragrance and flowers: Rymer, without tak-

through fragrance and flowers: Rymer, without taking a nearer, takes a rougher way; every step is to be made through thorns and brambles; and Truth, if we meet her, appears repulsive by her mien, and ungraceful by her habit. Dryden's criticism has the majesty of aqueen Rymer's has theferocity of a tyrant.

As he had studied with great diligence the art of poetry, and enlarged or rectified his notions, by experience perpetually increasing, he had his mind stored with principles and observations; he poured out his knowledge with little labour; for of labour, notwithstanding the multiplicity of his productions, there is sufficient reason to suspect that he was not a lover. To write con amore, with fondness for the employment, with perpetual touches and retouches, with unwillingness to take leave of his own idea, and an unwanted pursuit of unattainable perfection was, I think, no part of his character.

I think, no part of his character.

His criticism may be considered as general or occasional. In his general precepts, which depend upon the nature of things, and the structure of the human mind, he may doubtless be safely recommended to the confidence of the reader; but his occasional and particular positions were sometimes interested

sometimes negligent, and sometimes capticious. It is not without reason that Trapp, speaking of the praises which he bestows on Palamon and Arate, says, 'Novimus indicium Drydem de poemate quodam Chauceri, pulchro sane illo, et plaiimum huddando; immrum quod non modo vere epicium sit, sed Iliada etiam atque Aeneada acquei, ino superet. Sed novimus codem tempore viri illus maximi non semper accuratismas esse censuras, nee ad sevensimi un critices normam exactas: illo indice, optimium est plei umque, quod ille prae manibus laibet, et in quo nune occupatur.

He is therefore by no means constant to hinnelf. His defence and desertion of diamatic rhyme is generally known. Spence, in his remark; on Pope's Odissey, produces what he thinks an unconquerable quotation from Dryden's preface to the Aened, in favour of translating an epic poem into blank verse, but he forgets that when his author attempted the Iliad, some years afterwards, he departed from his own decision, and translated into thyme.

When he has any objection to obviate, or any licence to defend, he is not very scripulous about what he asserts, nor very cautions, if the present purpose be served, not to entangle hinself in his own sophistries. But when all arts are exhausted, like other hunted animals, he sometimes stands at bay; when he cannot disown the grosness of one of his plays, he declares that he knows not any law that prescribes norality to a come poor.

His remarks on ancient or modern writers are not always to be trusted. His panallel of the versification of Ovid with that of Claudian has been very justly censured by Sewel. His comparison of the first line of Virgil with the first of Statust is not happeer. Virgil, he says, is soft and gentle, and would have thought Status mad if he had heard him thundering out.

Quae superimposito moles geminata colorso.

Statius perhaps heats himself, as he proceeds, to exaggerations somewhat hyperbolical; but undoubtedly Virgil would have been too histy, if he had condemned him to straw for one sounding line. Dryden wanted an instance, and the first that occurred was impressed into the service.

What he wishes to say, he says at hazard; he cited Gorbodus, which he had never seen; gives a false account of Chapman's versification; and discovers, in the preface to his Fubles, that he translated the first book of the Iliad, without knowing what was in the second.

It will be difficult to prove that Dryden ever made any great advances in literature. As, having distinguished himself at Westunisster under the tutuon of Busby, who advanced his scholars to a height of knowledge very rarely attained in gramman-schools, he resided afterwards at Cambridge, it is not to be supposed, that his skill in the ancient languages was deficient, compared with that of common students; but his scholastic acquisitions seem not proportionate to his opportunities and abilities. He could not, like Milton or Cowley, have made his name illustrious merely by his learning. He mentions but few books, and those such as lie in the beaten track of regular study; from which if ever ne departs, he is in danger of losing himself in unknown regions.

In his dialogue on the Drama, he pronounces with great confidence that the Latin tragedy of Medea is not Ovid's, because it is not sufficiently interesting and pathetic. He might have determined the question upon surce evidence; for it is quoted by Quintilian as the work of Seneca; and the only line which remains of Ovid's play, for one line is left us, is not there to be found. There was therefore no need of the gravity of conjecture, or the discussion of plot or sentiment, to find what was already known upon higher authority than such discussions can ever reach.

His literature, though not always free from ostentain, will be commonly found either obvious, and made his own by the art of dressing it; or superficial, which, by what he gives, shows what he wanted; or erroneous, hastily collected, and negligently scattered.

Yet it cannot be said that his genius is ever unprovided of matter, or that his famey languishes in penury of ideas. His works abound with knowledge, and sparkle with illustrations. There is scarcely any science or faculty that does not supply him with occasional images and lucky similatudes; every page discovers a mind very widely accurainted both with art and nature, and in full possession of great stores of intellectual wealth. Of him that know, much, it is natural to suppose that he has read with diligence; yet I rather believe that the knowledge of Dryden was gleaned from accidental intelligence and various conversation, by a quick apprehension, a judicious selection and a happy memory, a keen appeate of knowledge and a powerful digestion; by vigilance that permitted nothing to pass without notice, and a habit of reflection that suffered nothing useful to be lost. A mind like Dryden's, always curious, always active, to which every understanding was proud to be associated, and of which every one solicited the regard, by an ambinous display of himself, had a more pleasant, perhaps a nearer way, to knowledge than by the silent progress of solitary reading. I do not suppose that he despised books, or intentionally neglected them; but that he was carried out, by the impetuosity of his genius, to more vivid and spredy instructors; and that his studies were rather desultory and fortuitous than constant and systematical.

It must be confessed that he scarcely ever appears to want book-learning but when he mentions books; and to him may be transferred the praise which he gives his master Charles.

> His conversation, wit, and parts, His knowledge in the noblest weful arts, Were such, dead authors could not give, But habitudes of those that hive;

Who, lighting him, did greater lights receive; He dram'd from all, and all they knew, His apprehension quick, his judgement true: That the most learn'd with shame confess His knowledge more, his reading only less.

Of all this, however, if the proof be demanded, I will not undertake to give it; the atoms of probability, of which my opmon has been formed, lie scattered over all his works; and by him who thinks the question worth his notice, his works must be persued with very close attention.

Criticism, either didactic or defensive, occupies almost all his prose, except those pages which he has devoted to his patrons; but none of his prefaces were ever thought tedious. They have not the formality of a settled style, in which the first half of the sentence betrays the other. The clauses are never balanced, nor the periods modelled; every word seems to drop by chance, though it falls into its proper place. Nothing is cold or languid; the whole is airy, animated, and vigorous; what is little, is gay; what is great, is splendid. He may be thought to mention himself too frequently; but while he forces himself upon our esteem, we cannot refuse him to stand high in his own. Everything is excused by the play of images and the spriteliness of expression. Though all is easy, nothing is feeble; though all seems careless, there is nothing harsh; and though, since his earlier works, more than a century has passed, they have nothing yet uncouth or obsolete.

He who writes much will not easily escape a manner, such a recurrence of particular modes as may be easily noted. Dryden is always another and the same, he does not exhibit a second time the same elegances in the same form, nor appears to have any art other than that of expressing with clearness what he thinks with vigour. His style could not easily be imitated, either seriously or ludicrously; for, being always equable and always varied, it has no promi

nent or discriminative characters. The beauty who is totally free from disproportion of parts and le dures, cannot be ridiculed by an overcharged resemblance From his prose, however, Dryden derives only his

accidental and secondary praise, the veneration with which his name is pronounced by every cultivator of English literature, is paid to bin as he returned the language, improved the sentinents, and turned the numbers of English poetry.

After about half a century of forced thoughts. and rugged metre, some advances towards nature and harmony had been already made by Waller and Denham; they had shown that long di, our es in rhyme grew more pleasing when they were broken into couplets, and that yease consisted not only in the number but the arrangement of syllables.

But though they did much, who can deny that they left much to do? Their works were not many, nor were their minds of very ample comprehension, More examples of more modes of composition were necessary for the establishment of regularity, and the introduction of propriety in word and thought,

Every language of a learned nation necessarily divides itself into diction scholastic and popular, grave and familiar, elegant and gross, and from a nucdistinction of these different parts arises a great part of the beauty of style. But if we except a few n in h, the favorates of nature, to whom their own original rectitude was in the place of rules, this delicate of selection was little known to our authors; our speech lay before them in a heap of confusion, and every man took for every purpose what chance might other him.

There was therefore before the time of Dryden in poetical diction, no system of words at one refine! from the grossness of domestic ose, and free from the harshness of terms appropriated to particular arts. Words too familiar, or too remote, defeat the purpose of a poet. From those sounds which we hear on small or on coarse occasions, we do not easily receive strong impressions or delightful images; and words to which we are nearly strangers, whenever they occur, draw that attention on themselves which they should transmit to things.

Those happy combinations of words which distinguish poetry from prose, had been rarely attempted; we had few elegances or flowers of speech, the mose had not yet been plucked from the bramble, or different colours had not been joined to enliven one another.

It may be doubted whether Waller and Denham could have overborne the prejudices which had long prevailed, and which even then were sheltered by the protection of Cowley. The new versification, as it was called, may be considered as owing its establishment to Dryden; from whose time it is apparent that English poetry has had no tendency to relapse to its former savageness.

The affluence and comprehension of our language is very illustriously displayed in our poetical translations of ancient writers, a work which the French seem to relinquish in despair, and which we were long unable to perform with dexterity Ben Jonson thought it necessary to copy Horace almost word by word; Feltham, his contemporary and adversary, considers it as indispensably requisite in a translation to give line for line. It is said that Sandys, whom Dryden calls the best versifier of the last age, has struggled hard to comprise every book of his English Metamorphoses in the same number of verses with the original. Holyday had nothing in view but to show that he understood his author, with so little regard to the grandeur of his diction, or the volubility of his numbers, that his metres can hardly be called verses; they cannot be read without reluctance, nor will the labour always be rewarded by understanding them. Cowley saw that such copyers were a servile race; he asserted his liberty and spread his wings so holdly

that he left his authors. It was issuited for Dryden to fix the himis of poetical liberty, and give us just rules and examples of translation.

rules and examples of translation. When languages are bounced upon different principles, it is impossible that the same mode of expression should always be elegant in both. While they run on together, the closest trail frion the becommended as the best, but when they divide the probleme cannot be obtained, it is neces by to be contract with something equivalent. Translation therefore, any Dryden, is not so loose as paraphase, nor so close as inctaphrase.

as interpurase. All polished languages have different styles, the concase, the diffuse, the lofty, and the hundre. In the proper canoce of style consists the riss mbl ince which Dryden principally exacts from the translator. He is to exhibit his author's thoughts in such a dress of diction as the author would have given them, had his language been English rugged magnificence is not to be softened hyperbolical ostentation is not to be repressed, nor sententious affectation to have its points blunted. A translator is to be like his author:

It is not his business to excel him.

The reasonableness of these rules seems sufficient for their vinducation, and the effects produced by observing them were so happy, that I know not whether they were ever opposed but by Sri Ldward Sherburne, a man whose learning was greater than his powers of poetry, and who, being better qualified to give the meaning than the spirit of beneca, has intraduced his version of their tragidates by a defence of close translation. The authority of Horace, which the new translators cited in defence of their practice, he has, by a judicious explanation, taken fairly from them, but reason wants not Horace to support it.

It seldom happens that all the necessary causes concur to any great effect will is wanting to power, or power to will, or both are impuded by external

obstructions. The exigences in which Dryden was condemned to pass his life, are reasonably supposed to have blasted his genius, to have driven out his works in a state of immaturity, and to have intercepted the full-blown elegance which longer growth would have supplied.

Poverty, like other rigid powers, is sometimes too hastly accused. If the excellence of Dryden's works was lessened by his indigence, their number was increased; and I know not how it will be proved, that if he had written less he would have written better; or that indeed he would have undergone the toil of an author, if he had not been solicited by something more pressing than the love of praise.

But as is said by his Schastian.

What had been, is unknown; what is, appears.

We know that Dryden's several productions were so many successive expedients for his support; his plays were therefore often borrowed, and his poems were almost all occasional

In an occasional performance no height of excellence can be expected from any mind, however fet tile in itself, and however stored with acquisitions. He whose work is general and arbitrary, has the choice of his matter, and takes that which his inclination and his studies have best qualified him to display and decorate. He is at liberty to delay his publication, till he has satisfied his friends and humself; till he has reformed his first thoughts by subsequent examination; and polished away those faults which the precipitance of ardent composition is likely to leave behind it. Virgil is related to have poured out a great number of lines in the morning, and to have passed the day in reducing them to fewer.

The occasional poet is circumseribed by the narrowness of his subject. Whatever can happen to man has happened so often, that little remains for fancy or invention. We have been all born; we have

most of us been married; and so many have died before us, that our deaths can supply but few materials for a poet. In the fate of princes the public has an interest, and what happens to them of good or end. the poets have always considered as business for the Muse. But after so many manguratory gratulations, puptial hymns, and funcial dirges, he must be highly favoured by nature, or by fortune, who says anything not said before. Even war and conquest, however splendid, suggest no new images; the triumphal chariot of a victorious monarch can be decked only with those ornaments that have graced his predecessors.

Not only matter but time is wanting. The poem must not be delayed till the occasion is forgotten. The lucky moments of animated imagination cannot he attended; elegances and illustrations cannot be multiplied by gradual accumulation; the composition must be dispatched while conversation is yet busy, and admiration fresh; and haste is to be made, lest some other event should lay hold upon mankind,

Occasional compositions may however secure to a writer the praise both of learning and facility; for they cannot be the effect of long study, and must be furnished immediately from the treasures of the mind.

The death of Chomwell was the first public event which called forth Dryden's poetical powers. His heroic stanzas have beauties and defects, the thoughts are vigorous, and though not always proper, show a mind replete with ideas; the numbers are smooth, and the diction, if not altogether correct, is elegant and casy.

Davenant was perhaps at this time his favourite author, though Gondibert never appears to have been popular; and from Davenant he learned to please his car with the stanza of four lines alternately rhymed.

Dryden very early formed his versification: there are in this early production no traces of Donne's or Jonson's ruggedness, but he did not so soon free his mind from the ambition of forced conceits. In his veises on the Restoration, he says of the king's exile:

> He, toss'd by Fate, Could taste no sweets of youth's desued age, But found his life too true a pilgumage.

And afterwards, to show how virtue and wisdom are increased by adversity, he makes this remark.

Well might the ancient poets then confer On Night the honour'd name of consellor, Since, struck with rays of prosperous fortune blind, We hight alone in dark afflictions find

His praise of Monk's dexterity comprises such a cluster of thoughts unallied to one another, as will not elsewhere be easily found:

'Twas Monk, whom Providence design'd to loose Those real bonds false freedom did impose The blessed saints that watch'd this turning scene. Did from their stars with joyful wonder lean, To see small clues draw vastest weights along, Not in their bulk, but in their order strong Thus pencils can by one slight touch restore Smiles to that changed face that wept before. With case such fond chimaeras we pursue, As fancy frames for fancy to subdue But, when ourselves to action we betake It shows the most like gold that chemists make: How hard was then his task, at once to be What in the body natural we see! Man's Architect distinctly did ordain The charge of muscles, nerves, and of the brain, Through viewless conduits spirits to dispense The springs of motion from the seat of sense. "I'was not the hasty product of a day, But the well-ripen'd fruit of wise delay, He, like a patient angler, ere he strook. Would let them play a-while upon the hook. Our healthful food the stomach labours thus. At first embracing what it straight doth crush.

Wise leeches will not vain receipts ohirtide,
While growing pains pronounce the humours crude;
Deaf to complaints, they wait upon the sil,
I'll some safe cross authorize their skill.

He had not yet learned, indeed he never learned well, to forbear the improper use of mythology. After having rewarded the heathen denies for the arcare.

With Alga who the saided altar strows? To all the sea-gods Charles an offering owes; A bell to thee, Pottmus, shall be slan; A ram to who we have

A ram to you, ye Tempests of the Main: he tells us, in the language of religion,

Prayer storm'd the skies, and ravish'd Charles from thence, As heaven itself is took by violence.

And afterwards mentions one of the most awful passages of sacred history,

Other concerts there are, too curious to be quite omitted, as,

For by example most we sinn'd before, And, glass-like, clearness mix'd with fruity bore,

How far he was yet from thinking it necessary to found his sentiments on Nature, appears from the extravagance of his fictions and hyperboles:

The winds, that never moderation knew, Afraid to blow too much, too faindy blew; Or, out of breath with joy, could not enlarge Their straiten'd lungs.—

It is no longer motion cheats your view; As you meet it, the land approacheth you; The land returns, and in the white it wears. The marks of pentence and sorrow bears,

I know not whether this fancy, however little be its value, was not borrowed. A French poet read to Malherbe some yerses, in which he represents France as moving out of its place to receive the king. 'Though this,' said Malberbe, 'was in my time, I do not remember it.'

His poem on the Coronation has a more even tenor of thought. Some lines deserve to be quoted:

You have already quench'd sedition's brand, And zeal, that burnt it, only warms the land; The pealous seets that dust not trust their cause So far from their own will as to the laws, Him for their umpire and their synod take, And their appeal alone to Caesar make.

Here may be found one particle of that old versification, of which, I believe, in all his works, there is not another.

> Nor is it duty, or our hope alone, Creates that joy, but full fruition.

In the verses to the Lord Chancellor Clarendon, two years afterwards, is a conceit so hopeless at the first view, that few would have attempted it, and so successfully laboured, that though at last it gives the reader more perplexity than pleasure, and seems hardly worth the study that it costs, yet it must be valued as a proof of a mind at once subtle and comprehensive:

In open prospect nothing bounds our eye,
Until the earth seems join'd unto the sky:
So in this hemsphere our unmost view
Is only bounded by our lang and you:
Our sight is limited where you are join'd,
And beyond that no farther heaven can find,
So well your vit use do with his agree,
That, though your orbs of different greatness be,
Yet both are for each other's use dispos'd,
His to enclose, and yours to be enclos'd.
Nor could another in your room have been,
Except an empuness had come between.

The companion of the Chancellor to the Indies leaves all resemblance too far behind it:

And as the Indes were not found before Those rich perfumes which from the happy shore The wirds upon their bainty wings conceyd, Whose guilty sweetness first their world betray'd So by your coursed we are brought to view A new and undiscover'd world in you.

There is another companson, for there is little eise in the poem, of which, though perhap, it cannot be explained into plain procase meaning, the mind perceives enough to be delighted, and readily forgives its obscurity for its magnificence.

How strangely active are the arts of peace, Whose restless motions less than war; do cease; Peace is not freed from lakour, but from noise; And war more force, but not more pains employer. Such is the mighty switness of your mind, That, like the earth's, it leaves our sense hehind, While you so smoothly turn and roll our sphere, That rapid motion does but rest appeur. For as in nature's swifness, with the throng Of flying orbs while ours is borne along. All seems at rest to the dethed eye, Mov'd by the soul of the same harmony: So carned on by your unweared care, We rest in peace, and yet in motion share.

To this succeed four lines, which perhaps afford Dryden's first attempt at those penetrating remarks on human nature, for which he seems to have been peculiarly formed:

Let envy then those crimes within you see, From which the happy never must be free; Envy that does with misery reside, The joy and the revenge of run'd pride.

Into this poem he seems to have collected all his powers, and after this he did not often bring upon his anvil such stubborn and omnalicable thoughts; but, as a specimen of his abilities to unite the most unsociable matter, he has concluded with

Fiercer than cannon, and than rocks more hard, The English undertake th' unequal war Seven ships alone, by which the port is barr'd, Besiege the Indies, and all Denmark dare.

These fight like husbands, but like lovers those:
These kin would keep, and those more fam enjoy;
And to such height their france passion grows,
That what both love, both hazard to destroy:

Amidst whole heaps of spices lights a ball, And now their odours arm'd against them fly: Some preciously by shatter'd porcelain fall, And some by aromatic splinters die.

And though by tempests of the prize bereft, In heaven's inclemency some case we find: Our foes we vanquish'd by our valour left, And only yielded to the seas and wind.

In this manner is the sublime too often mingled with the ridiculous. The Dutch seek a shelter for a wealthy flect: this surely needed no illustration; yet they must fly, not like all the rest of manland on the same occasion, but like hunted castors; and they might with struct propriety be hunted; for we winded them by our noses—their perfumes betrayed them. The husband and the lover, though of more dignity than the castor, are images too domestic to mingle properly with the horrors of war. The two quatrains that follow are worthy of the author.

The account of the different sensations with which the two fleets retired, when the night parted them, is one of the fairest flowers of English poetry:

The night comes on, we eager to pursue
The combat still, and they askend to leave:
"Fill the List streaks of dying day withdrew,
And doubtful moon-light did our rage deceive

In th' English flert each ship resounds with joy, And loud appliance of their great leader's fame: In fiery divants the Dutch they still destroy, And, slumbering, made at the imagin'd flame. Not so the Holland fleet, who, tir'd and done, Stretch'd on their decks like weary oven he; Faint sweats all down this mighty members run (Vast buiks, which little souls but ill suroly).

In dreams they fearful precipiess treaf, Or, shipwreck'd, labour to some distant slore; Or, in dark churches, walk among the deaft; They wake with horror, and dare sleep no more.

It is a general rule in poetry, that all appropriated terms of art should be sunk in general expressions, because poetry is to speak a universal language. This rule is still stronger with regard to acts not liberal, or confined to few, and therefore far removed from common knowledge; and of this land, certainly, is technical navigation. Yet Dryden was of opinion that a sea-fight ought to be described in the mutual language; 'and certainly,' says he, 'as those who ma logical disputation keep to general terms would hide a fallacy, so those who do it in any poetent description would veit their ignorance.'

Let us then appeal to experience; for by experience at last we learn as well what will please as what will profit. In the hattle, his terms seem to have been blown away, but he deals them liberally in the dock:

So here, some pick out bullets from the side, Some drive old okum thro' each som and rift; Their left-hand does the calling-tron quide, The ratching mallet with the right they lift.

With boding pitch another near at hand (From friendly Sweden brought) the pana integer Which, well laid o'er, the salt-sea wates with-tand, And shake them from the rising beak in drops.

Some the gall'd ropes with dauby marting bind, Or sear-cloth masts with strong targenin coats: To try new stronds one mounts into the wind, And one below, their ease or stiffness notes.

I suppose here is not one term which every reader does not wish away. Fiercer than cannon, and than rocks more hard, The English undertake th' unequal war: Seven ship, alone, by which the port is barr'd,

Besiege the Indies, and all Denmark dare.

These fight like husbands, but like lovers those: These tain would keep, and those more fain enjoy; And to such herefut their frantic passion grows, That what both love, both hazard to destroy;

Attudet whole heaps of spices lights a ball, And now their odours arm'd against them fly: Some preciously by shatter'd porcelain fall, And some by aromatic splitters die.

And though by tempests of the prize hereft, In heaven's inclemency some case we find: Our foes we vanquish'd by our valour left, And only yielded to the seas and wind.

In this manner is the sublime too often mingled with the richeculous. The Dutch seek a shelter for a wealthy fleet; this surely needed no illustration; yet they must fly, not like all the rest of mankind on the same occasion, but like hunted castors; and they might with strict propriety be hunted, for we winded them by our noses—their perfumes betrayed them. The husband and the lover, though of more dignity than the castor, are images too domestic to mingle properly with the horrors of war. The two quatrains that follow are worthy of the author.

The account of the different sensations with which the two fleets retired, when the night parted them, is one of the fairest flowers of English poetry;

The might comes on, we eager to pursue. The combat still, and they asham'd to leave: "Till the last streaks of dying day withdrew, And doubtful moon-light did our rage deceive in th' English fleet each slup resounds with joy, And loud applause of their great leader's fame: In fiery dreams the Dutch they still destroy, And, slumberous, smile at the innamin'd flame.

Not so the Holland fleet, who, tir'd and done, Stretch'd on their decks like weary oven lie, 'aunt sweats all down their mighty incinhers tin (Vast bulks, which hitle souls but ill supply)

In dreams they fearful precipies trend,
Or, shippwreekd, labour to some distant hore:
Or, and dark churches, walk among the de id,
They wake with horror, and dare steep up more,

It is a general rule in poetry, that all appropriated terms of art should be sink in general expersions, because poetry is to speak a universal language. This rule is still stronger with regard to arts not liberal, or confined to low, and therefore fair removed from common knowledge; and of this land, cirtuities, is technical navigation. Yet Dryden was of opinion that a sea-fight ought to be described in the numeral language, 'and certainly,' says he, 'as those who in a logical disputation keep to general terms would hide a fallacy, so those who do it in any poetical description would veil their ignorance.'

Let us then appeal to experience; for by experience at last we learn as well what will please as what will profit. In the battle, his terms seem to have been blown away, but he deals them liberally in the dock:

So here, some pick out bullets from the side, Some drive old alim thro' each seem and rift; Their left-hand does the ralling-row quide, The raiding mallet with the right they lift.

With boiling pitch another near at hand (From friendly Sweden brought) the raws unityle; Which, well laid o'er, the sale-sea waves withstand, And shake them from the rising beak in drops.

Some the gall'd ropes with dauby marting bind, Or sear-cloth masts with strong tarpadin coats: To try new shrouds one mounts into the wind, And one below, their ease or stiffness notes.

I suppose here is not one term which every reader does not wish away.

His digression to the original and progress of navigation, with his prospect of the advancement which it shall receive from the Royal Society, then newly instituted, may be considered as an example seldom equalled of seasonable excursion and artful return.

One line, however, leaves me discontented; he says, that by the help of the philosophers,

Instructed ships shall sail to quick commerce, By which remotest regions are allied.—

which he is constrained to explain in a note, 'By a more exact measure of longitude'. It had better become Dryclen's learning and genius to have laboured science into poetry, and have shown, by explaining longitude, that verse did not refuse the ideas of inhilosophy.

His description of the Fire is painted by resolute meditation, out of a mind botter formed to reason than to feel. The conflagration of a city, with all its tunults of concomitant distress, is one of the most dreadful spectacles which this world can offer to buman eyes; yet it seems to raise little emotion in the breast of the poet; he watches the fame coolly from wreet to street, with now a reflection, and now a simile, till at last he meets the king, for whom he makes a speech, rather tedious in a time so busy, and then follows again the progress of the fire.

There are, however, in this part some passages that deserve attention; as in the beginning:

The diligence of trades and noiseful gain, And havery, more late, askep were laid; All was the night's, and in her silent reign No sound the rest of Nature did invade In this deep quiet—

The expression 'All was the night's' is taken from Seneca, who remarks on Virgil's line,

Omnia noctis erant placeda composta queste,

that he might have concluded better,

Omnia noctis erant.

The following quatrain is vigorous and animated;

The ghosts of traitors from the bridge descend With bold fanatic spectres to request, About the fire into a dance they bend, And sing their Sabbath notes with feeble voice,

His prediction of the improvements which shall be made in the new city's elegant and poetical, and, with an event which poets cannot always boast, has been happily verified. The poem concludes with a sindthat might have better been omitted.

Dryden, when he wrote this poein, seems not yet fully to have formed his versification, or settled his system of propriety.

From this time, he addicted himself almost wholly to the stage, 'to which', says he, 'my genius never much inclined me', merely as the most profitable market for poetry. By writing tragedies in rhwine, he continued to improve his diction and his mumbers. According to the opinion of Harte, who had studied his works with great attention, he settled his principles of versification in 1676, when he produced the play of Aureng Zebe; and according to his own account of the short time in which he wrote Tyrannie Love and the State of Innocense, he soon obtained the full effect of chiligence, and added facility to exactness.

Rhyme has been so long banished from the theatre, that we know not its effect upon the passons of an audience; but it has this convenience, that sentences stand more independent on each other, and striking passages are therefore easily selected and retained. Thus the description of night in the Indian Empter, and the rise and fall of empire in the Conquest of Granada, are more frequently repeated than any lines in All for Love, or Don Sebastian.

To search his plays for vigorous sallies, and sententious elegances, or to fix the dates of any little pieces which he wrote by chance, or by solicitation, were labour too tedious and minute.

His dramatic labours did not so wholly absorb his thoughts, but that he promulgated the laws of translation in a preface to the English Epistles of Ovid, one of which he translated himself, and another in conjunction with the Earl of Mulgrave.

Absalom and Achitophel is a work so well known, that particular criticism is superfluous. If it be considered as a poem political and controversial, it will be found to comprise all the excellences of which the subject is susceptible, acrimony of censure, elegance of praise, artiful delineation of characters, variety and vigour of sentiment, happy turns of language, and pleasing harmony of numbers; and all these raised to such a height as can scarcely be found in any other English composition.

It is not, however, without faults; some lines are inelegant or improper, and too many are irreligiously hecutious. The original structure of the poem was defective; allegones drawn to great length will always break; Charles could not run continually parallel with David

The subject had likewise another meonvenience: it admitted little imagery or description, and a long poem of mere sentiments easily becomes tedious; though all the parts are forcible, and every line kindles new rapture, the reader, if not relieved by the interposition of something that soothes the fancy, grows weary of admiration, and defers the rest.

As an approach to historical truth was necessary, the action and catastrophe were not in the poet's power; there is therefore an unpleasing disproportion between the beginning and the end. We are alarmed by a faction formed out of many sects various in their punciples, but agreeing in their purpose of mischief, formulable for their numbers, and strong by their supports, while the king's frends are few and weak. The chiefs on either part are set forth to view; but

when expectation is at the height, the king makes a speech, and

Henceforth a series of new times began.

Who can forbear to think of an enchanted eastle, with a wide most and lofty battlements, walls of marble and gates of brass, which varishes at once into air, when the destined kinght blows bis horr before it?

In the second part, written by Tate, there is a long insertion, which, for purposancy of sattre, exceeds any part of the former. Personal resentiatest, though no laudable motive to sattre, can add great force to general principles. Self-love is a busy prompter.

The Medal, written upon the same principles with Absolom and Achitophel, but inpon a narrower plan, gives less pleasure, though it discovers equal abilities in the writer. The superstructure cannot extend beyond the foundation; a single character or in identication furnish as many ideas as a series of events or multiplicity of agents. This poem, therefore, since time has left it to itself, is not much read, nor perhaps generally understood, yet it abounds with touches both of humorous and serious sattre. The picture of a man whose propensions to mischief are such, that his best actions are but inability of wickedness, is very shiffully defineated and strongly coloured.

Power was his aim: but, thrown from that pretence, The wretch turn'd loval in his own defence, And matice reconcil'd him to his Prince Him, in the anguish of his soul, he serv'd; Rewarded faster still than he deserv'd. Behold him now exalted into trust, His counsels oft convenient, seldom just. By'n in the most sincere advice he gave, He had a grudging still to be a hawe. The frauds he learnt in his fanatic years, Made him uneasy in his lawful gears. At least as little honest as he could And, like white witches, mischievously goud. To this first bias, longingly, he leans, and rather would be great by wicked means.

The Thuenodia, which, by a term I am afraid neither authorized nor analogical, be calls Augustelu, is not among his happiest productions. He first and obvious defect is the irregularity of its metre, to which the cars of that age, however, were accustomed. What is worse, it has neither tenderness nor dignity, it is neither magnificent nor pathetic. He seems to look round him for mages which he cannot find, and what he has he distorts by endeavouring to enlarge them. He is, he says, petrified with grief; but the marble sometimes telents, and truckles in a joke:

The som of art all med'eines try'd, And every noble remedy apply'd, With emulation each essay'd His utnost skill, nay, more, they pray'd: Never was losing game with better conduct play'd.

He had been a little inchned to merrament before upon the prayers of a nation for their dying sovereign, nor was he serious enough to keep heathen fables out of his religion.

With him th' innumerable crowd of armed prayers Knock'd at the gates of heaven, and knock'd aloud; The first well-meaning rude petitioners All for his life assail'd the throne,

All would have brib'd the skies by offering up their own. So great a throng not heaven itself could bar; "Twas almost borne by force as in the guants" war.

The prayers, at least, for his reprieve were heard; His death, like Hezekiah's, was deferr'd.

There is throughout the composition a desire of splendour without wealth. In the conclusion he seems too much pleased with the prospect of the new reign to have lamented his old master with much succerity.

He did not miscarry in this attempt for want of skill either in lyric or elegiac poetry. His poem On the Duath of Mrs. Killigraw is undoubtedly the noblest ode that our language has ever produced. The first part flows with a torrent of enthusiasm. Feruei immensusque rust. All the stanzas indeed are not equal. An imperial crown cannot be one continued diamond; the gems must be held together by some less valuable matter.

In his first ode for Ceculia's day, which is lost in the splendour of the second, there are passages which would have dignified any office poet. The first stanza is vigorous and elegant, though the word dapason is too technical, and the thymes are too remote from one another.

From harmony, from beavenly harmony,

This universal fiame began:
When nature underneath a heap of jarring atoms lay,
And could not beave her head
The tuneful voice was heard from high,
Arise, ye more than dead
Then coki and hot, and moist and dry,
In order to their stations leap,
And music's power obey.
From harmony, from heavenly harmony,
This tunversal frame began.
From harmony to harmony
Through all the compass of the notes it ran,
The dianeson closure full in unan.

The conclusion is likewise striking, but it includes an image so awful in itself, that it can owe little to poetry; and I could wish the antithesis of music untuning had found some other place.

As from the power of sacred lays
The spheres began to move,
And sung the great Greator's praise
To all the bless'd above.
So, when the last and dreadful hour
This crumbling pageant shall devour,
The trumpet shall be heard on high,
The dead shall live, the living die,
And music shall untune the sky.

Of his skill in elegy he has given a specimen in his

Eleonora, of which the following lines discover their author:

Though all these rare endowments of the mind Were in a narrow space of life confin'd, The figure was with full perfection crown'd: Though not so large an orb, as truly round. As when in glory, through the public place, The spoils of conquer'd nations were to pass, And but one day for tummph was allow'd. The consul was constrain'd his pomp to crowd: And so the swift procession hurised on, That all, though not distinctly, might be shown: So in the straiten'd bounds of life confin'd, She gave but glumpses of her glorious mind: And multitudes of virtues pass'd along: Each pressing foremost in the mighty throng, Ambitious to be seen, and then make room For greater multitudes that were to come. Yet unemploy'd no minute slipp'd away, Moments were procious in so short a stay The haste of heaven to have her was so great. That some were single acts, though each complete: And every act stood ready to repeat.

This piece, however, is not without its faults; there is so much likeness in the initial comparison, that there is no ullustration. As a king would be lamented, Eleonora was lamented.

As when some great and gracious monarch dies, Soft whispers list, and mountful murmurs rise Among the sad attendants; then the sound Soon gathers voice, and apreads the news around, Through town and country, till the dreadful blast Is blown to distant colonies as last; Who, then, perhaps, were offering yows in vain, For his long iffe, and for his happy reign. So slowly by degrees, unwilling fame. Did matchless Eleonora's fate proclaim, Till public as the loss the news became

This is little better than to say in praise of a shrub, that it is as green as a tree, or of a brook, that it waters a garden, as a river waters a country. Dryden confesses that he did not know the lady whom he celebrates; the prace being therefore inevitably general, fixes no umpresson upon the reader, nor excites any tendency to love, nor much desire of initiation. Knowledge of the subject is to the poet what durable materials are to the architect.

The Religio Last, which borrows its tule from the Religio Medici of Browne, is almost the only work of Dryden which can be considered as a voluntary effusion, in this, therefore, it nuglit be hoped, that the full effulgence of his genus would be found. But unhapply the subject is rather argumentative than poetical: he intended only a specimen of metrical disputation:

And this unpolish'd rugged verse I chose, As fittest for discourse, and nearest prose.

This, however, is a composition of great excellence in its kind, in which the familiar is very properly diversified with the solemn, and the grave with the humorous; in which metre has neither weakened the force, nor clouded the perspicuity of argument; nor will it be easy to find another example equally happy of this middle kind of writing, which, though prossic in some parts, rises to high poetry in others, and neither towers to the skies, nor creeps along the ground.

Of the same kind, or not far distant from it, is the Hind and Panther, the longest of all Dryden's original poecus; an allegory intended to comprise and to decide the controversy between the Romanists and Protestants. The sobeme of the work is injudicious and incommodious; for what can be more absurd than that one heast should counsel another to rest her faith upon a pope and council? He seems well enough skilled in the usual topics of argument, endeavours to show the necessity of an infallible judge, and reproaches the Reformers with want of unity, but is weak enough to ask, why, since we see

without knowing how, we may not have an infallible judge without knowing where.

The Hind at one time is afraid to drink at the common brook, because she may be worried; but walking home with the Panther, talks by the way of the Nicene Fathers, and at last declares herself to be the Carholic Church

This absurdity was very properly rediculed in the Gity Mouse and Country Mouse of Montague and Prior; and in the detection and censure of the incongruity of the fiction chiefly consists the value of their performance, which, whatever reputation it might obtain by the help of temporary passions, seems to readers almost a century distant, not very forcible or animated.

Pope, whose judgement was perhaps a little bribed by the subject, used to mention this poem as the most correct specimen of Dryden's versification. It was, indeed, written when he had completely formed his manner, and may be supposed to exhibit, negligence excented, his deliberate and ulumate scheme of metre.

We may therefore reasonably infer that he did not approve the perpetual uniformity which confines the sense to couplets, since he has broken his lines in the initial paragraph.

A milk-white Hind, immortal and unchang'd, Fed on the lawns, and in the forest rang'd, Without unspotted, innocent within, She fear'd no danger, for she knew no sin. Yet had she off been charg'd with horns and hounds And Scythian shafts, and many winged wounds Am'd at her heart, was often fored to fly, And down'd to death, though fated not to die.

These lines are lofty, elegant, and musical, notwithstanding the interruption of the pause, of which the effect is rather increase of pleasure by variety, han oftence by ruggedness

To the first part it was his intention, he says, 'to rive the majestic turn of heroic poesy'; and perhaps

he might have executed his design not unsuccessfully, had not an opportunity of sature, which he cannot forbear, fallen sometimes in his way. The character of a Preshyterian, whose emblem is the wolf, is not very heroically majestic:

More haughty than the rest, the wallish race Appear with helly gaunt and famish'd face; Never was so defound a beast of grace. His ragged tail betweat his legs he wear., Close chapi'd for shame; but his mugh creat he rears, And pricks up his predestinating cas.

His general character of the other sorts of hearts that never go to church, though spritchy and keen, has, however, not much of heroic poesy:

These are the chief, to number o'er the rest. And stand like Adam naming every beast, Were weary work, nor will the Muse describe A slimy-born and sun-begotten tribe. Who, far from steeples and their sacred sound, In fields their sullen conventicles found. These gross, half-animated, lumps I leave: Nor can I think what thoughts they can conceive: But if they think at all, 'is sure no higher Than matter, put in mouon, may aspire, Souls that can scarce ferment their mass of clay: So drossy, so divisible are they, As would but serve pure bodies for allay: Such souls as shards produce, such beetle things As only buzz to heaven with evening wings; Strike in the dark, offending but by chance; Such are the blindfold blows of ignorance. They know not beings, and but hate a name; To them the Hand and Panther are the same,

One more instance, and that taken from the narrative part, where style was more in his choice, will show how steadily he kept his resolution of heroic dignity?

For when the herd, suffic'd, did late repair To ferny heaths, and to their forest lar, She made a mannerly excuse to stay, Proflering the Find to wait her hall the ways That, since the sky was clear, an hour of talk Might help her to beguite the tedious walk With much good-will the motion was embrac'd, To chat awhile on their adventures past; Nor had the grateful Hind so soon forgot Her friend and fellow-sufferer in the plot. Yet wondering how of late the grew estrang'd, Her incheal cloudy and her count'onanc chang'd, She thought this hour th' occasion would present To learn her severe cause of discontent, Whirh we'll she hop'd, might be with ease redross'd, Convidency her a well-bred cavid beast, And more a gentlewoman than the rest. Alter some common talk what rumours ran, The lathy of the spotted mid begin

The second and third parts he professes to have reduced to diction more familiar and more suitable to dispute and conversation; the difference is not, however, very easily perceived; the first has familiar, and the two others have sonorous, lines. The original incongruity runs through the whole; the king is now Caesar, and now the Luon; and the name Pan is given to the Supreme Being.

But when this constitutional absurdity is forgiven.

the poem must be confessed to be written with great smoothness of metre, a wide extent of knowledge, and an abundant multiplicity of images; the controversy is embellished with pointed sentences, diversified by illustrations, and enlivened by sailies of invective. Some of the facts to which allusions are made, are now become obscure, and perhaps there may be many saturical passages little understood.

As it was by its nature a work of defiance, a composition which would naturally be examined with the utmost acrimony of criticism, it was probably laboured with uncommon attention; and there are, indeed, few negligences in the subordinate parts. The original impropriety, and the subsequent unpopularity of the subject, added to the ridiculousness of its first elements, has sunk it into neglect, but it

may be usefully studied, as an example of poetical ratiocination, in which the argument suffer, little from the metre.

In the poem On the Birth of the Prince of Wales, nothing is very remarkable but the exorbitant adulation, and that insensibility of the precipie on which the king was then standing, which the Laurente apparently shared with the rest of the controls. A few months cured him of controversy, dismissed him from court, and made him again a playweight and translator.

Of Juvenal there had been a translation by Stapylton, and another by Holylday, nithter at them is very portical. Stapylton is more smooth, and Holyday, is more esteemed for the learning of his note: A new version was proposed to the poets of that time, and undertaken by them in conjunction. The main design was conducted by Dryden, whose reputation was such that no man was unwilling to serve the Muses under him.

The general character of this translation will be given, when it is said to preserve the wit, but to want the dignity of the original. The peculiarity of Juvenal is a mixture of gaiety and stateliness, of pointed sentences and declamatory grandeur. His points have not been neglected; but his grandeur none of the band seemed to consider as nece sary to be imitated, except Greech, who undertook the thriteenth sature. It is therefore perhaps possible to give a better representation of that great satirist, even in those paits which Dryden himself has translated, some passages excepted, which will never be excelled.

With Juvenal was published Persus, translated wholly by Dryden, This work, though like all the other productions of Dryden it may have sluming parts, seems to have been written merely for wages, in a uniform mediocrity, without any eager endeavour after excellence, or laborious effort of the mind.

There wanders an opinion among the readers of

poetry, that one of these satures is an exercise of the school. Dryden says that he once translated it at school; but not that he preserved or published the juvenile performance.

Not long afterwards be undertook perhaps the most arduous work of its kind, a translation of Vurgil, for which he had shown how well he was qualified by his version of the Pollio, and two episodes, one of Nisus and Euryalus, the other of Mezzentus and Laussus.

In the companson of Homer and Virgil, the discriminative excellence of Homer is elevation and comprehension of thought, and that of Virgil is grace and splendour of diction. The beauties of Homer are therefore difficult to be lost, and those of Virgil difficult to be retained. The massy trunk of sentiment is safe by its solidity, but the blossoms of elecution easily drop away. The author, having the choice of his own images, selects those which he can best adorn: the translator must, at all hazards, follow his original, and express thoughts which perhaps he would not have chosen. When to this primary difficulty is added the inconvenience of a language so much inferior in harmony to the Latin, it cannot be expected that they who read the Georgies and the Aeneid should be much delighted with any version

All these obstacles Dryden saw, and all these he determined to encounter. The expectation of his work was undoubtedly great; the nation considered its honour as interested in the event. One gave him the different editions of his author, and another helped him in the subordinate parts. The arguments of the several books were given him by Addison.

The hopes of the public were not disappointed, He produced, says Pope, 'the most noble and spirited translation that I know in any language'. It certainly excelled whatever had appeared in English, and appears to have satisfied his friends, and, for the most part, to have silenced his enemies. Milbourne, indeed, a clergyman, attacked it, but his outrages seem to be the ebullitions of a mind agitated by stronger resentment than bad poetry can excite, and previously resolved not to be pleased.

Flis criticism extends only to the preface, pastorals, and Georgies; and, as he professes, to give his antagonist an opportunity of reprisal, he has added his own version of the first and fourth pastorals, and the first Georgie. The world has forgotten his book; but since his attempt has given him a place in hterary hidory. I will preserve a specimen of his criticism, by inserting his remarks on the invocation before the first Georgie, and of his poetry, by annexing his own version.

'Ver, t.

What makes a plenteous harvest, when to turn The fruitful soil, and when to sow the corn-

It's unlucky, they say, to stumble at the threshold, but what has a plentous harvest to do here? Virgil would not pretend to presenbe rules for that which depends not on the husbandman's care, but the disposition of Heaven altogether. Indeed, the plentous crop depends somewhat on the good method of tillace, and where the land's ill matured, the corn, without a minacle, can be but indifferent; but the harvest may be good, which is its properest epithet, though the husbandman's skill were never so indifferent. The next sentence is too literal, and when to plough had been Virgil's meaning, and intelliquble to every body; and when to sow the corn, is a needless addition.

'Ver. 3.

The care of sheep, of oven, and of line, And when to geld the lands, and sheer the swine,

would as well have fallen under the evra bown, qui cultus habendo sit pecori, as Mr. D.'s deduction of particulars.

'Ver. 5.

The birth and genius of the frugal bee, I sing, Maccenas, and I sing to thee.

But where did experienta ever signify birth and genius? or what ground was there for such a figure in this place? How much more manly is Mr. Ogilby's versio?

What makes nich grounds, in what celestial signs, 'I is good to plough, and marry clius with vines. What best fits rattle, what with sheep agrees, And several arts improving frugal bees, I sing, Maccenas.

'Which four lines, though faulty enough, are yet much more to the purpose than Mr. D.'s six. 'Ver. 22.

From fields and mountains to my song repair.

For patrum linguens nemus, saltusque Lycaci—Very well explained!

Ver. 23, 24.

Inventor, Pallas, of the lattening oil,
Then lounder of the plough, and ploughman's toil!
Written as if these had been both Pallas's invention.
The ploughman's toil's unpertinent.

'Ver. 25.

—The shroud-like cypress—

Why shroub-like? Is a cypress pulled up by the roots, which the sculpture in the last ecloque fills Salvanus's hand with, so very like a shroud? Or did not Mr. D. think of that hind of cypress used often for scarves and hathands at funerals formetly, or for widows' veils, &c.? If so, 'twas a deep good thought.

'Ver. 26.

—That wear The rural honours, and increase the year—

What's meant by increasing the year? Did the gods or goddesses add more months, or days, or hours to it? Or how can area tueri signify to wear rural honours? Is this to translate, or abuse an author? The next couplets are borrowed from Ogilby, I suppose, because less to the purpose than ordinary.

'Ver. 33.

The patron of the world, and Rome's peculiar guard.

Idle, and none of Vugil's, no more than the sen e of the precedent couplet; so again, he unerpolates Vugil with that and "the round circle of the year to quide powerful of blessings, which thou strew'st around?". A riduculous Latinism, and an importanent addition, indeed the whole period is but one piece of absurdity and nonsense, as those who lay it with the original must find.

'Ver. 42, 43.

And Neptune shall resign the fasces of the sea.

Was he consul or dictator there?

And watry virgins for thy bed shall strive.

Both absurd interpolations.

'Vcr. 47, 48.

Where in the void of heaven a place is five, Ah happy, D—n, were that place for thee!

But where is that void? Or what does our translator mean by it? He knows what Ovid says God did, to prevent such a void in heaven; perhaps, this was then for gotten, but Vingil talks more sensibly.

Ver. 49.

The scorpion ready to receive the laws.

No, he would not then have gotten out of his way so fast.

'Vei. 55.

Though Proseipine affects her silent seat-

What made her then so angry with Astalaphus, for preventing her return? She was now must to patiente under the determinations of fate, rather than fond of her residence.

'Ver. 61, 62, 63.

Pity the poer's, and the ploughman's cares, Interest thy greatness in our mean allairs. And use thyself betimes to bear our prayers. Which is such a wretched perversion of Virgil's noble thought as Vicars would have blushed at; but Mr. Ogilby makes us some amends, by his better lines:

O wheresoe'er thou art, from thence incline, And grant assistance to my bold design! Pity with me, poor husbandmen's allaus, And now, as if translated, hear our prayers,

This is sense, and to the purpose: the other, poor

Such were the strutures of Milbourne, who found few abettors; and of whom it may be reasonably imagined, that many who favoured his design were assumed of his involence.

When admiration had subsided, the translation was more coolly examined, and found, like all others, to be sometimes erroneous, and sometimes licentieus. Those who could find faults, thought they could avoid them; and Dr Brady attempted in blank verse a translation of the Anned, which, when dragged into the world, did not live long enough to cry. I have never seen it; but that such a version there is, or has been, perhaps some old catalogue miormed me.

With not much better success, Trapp, when his tragedy and his prefections had given him reputation, attempted another blank version of the Anniel, to which, notwithstanding the slight regard with which it was treated, he bad afterwards perseverance enough to add the Eclopus and Congust. His book may continue its existence as long as it is the clandestine refuge of schoolboys.

Since the Enghsh ear has been accustomed to the mellifluence of Pope's numbers, and the diction of poerty has become more splendid, new attempts have been made to translate Virgil; and all his works have been attempted by men better qualified to contend with Dryden. I will not engage myself in an invidious comparison by opposing one passage to another; a

work of which there would be no end, and which might be often offensive without use.

It is not by comparing line with line that the merit of great works is to he estumated, but by their general effects and ultimate result. It is easy to note a weak line, and to write one more vigorous in its place; to find a happiness of expression in the original, and transplant it by force into the version; but what is given to the parts, may be subducted from the whole. and the reader may be weary, though the cutte may commend. Works of imagination excel by their allurement and delight; by their power of attracting and detaining the attention. That book is good in vain. which the reader throws away. He only is the master, who keeps the mind in pleasing captivity; whose pages are perused with eagerness, and in hope of new pleasure are perused again; and whose conclusion is perceived with an eye of sorrow, such as the traveller casts upon departing day

By his proportion of this predomination I will consent that Dryden should be tried, of this, which, in opposition to reason, makes Ariosto the darling and the pride of Italy; of this, which, in defiance of criticism, continues Shakespeare the sovereign of the drama.

His last work was his Fables, in which he gave us the first example of a mode of writing which the Italians call ryfaccinents, a renovation of ancient writers, by modernizing their language. Thus the old poem of Boiardo has heen new-dressed by Domenichi and Berni. The works of Chaucer, upon which thus kind of rejuvenescence has heen bestowed by Dryden, require little criticism. The tale of the Cock seems hardly worth revival; and the story of Palamon and house, containing an action unsuitable to the times in which it is placed, can hardly be suffered to pass without censure of the hyperbolical commendation which Dryden has given it in the general preface, and in a poetical dedication, a piece where his

original fondness of remote conceits seems to have revived.

Of the three pieces borrowed from Boccace, Siginmunda may be defended by the celebrity of the story. Theodore and Honoria, though it contains not much moral, yet afforded opportunities of striking description. And Gman was formedly a tale of such reputation, that, at the revival of letters, it was translated into Laun by one of the Bacadids.

Whatever subjects employed his pen, he was still improving our measures and embellishing our language

In this volume are interspersed some short original porms, which with his prologues, epilogues, and songs, may be comprised in Congreve's returark, that even those, if he had written nothing else, would have entitled him to the praise of excellence in his kind.

One composition must, however, be distinguished. The Ode for St Cacilla's Day, perhaps the last effort of his poetry, has always been considered as exhibiting the highest flight of fancy, and the exactest nicety of art. This is allowed to stand without a rival. If indeed there is any excellence beyond it, in some other of Dryden's works that excellence must be found. Compared with the Ode on Killigrew, it may be pronounced perhaps superior in the whole; but without any single part equal to the first stanza of the other.

It is said to have cost Dryden a fortnight's labour; but it does not want its negligences: some of the lines are without correspondent rbymes; a defect, which I never detected but after an acquaintance of many years, and which the enthusiasm of the writer might hinder him from perceiving.

His last stanza has less emotion than the former; but is not less elegant in the diction. The conclusion is vicious; the music of Timotheus, which 'raised a mortal to the skies', had only a metaphorical power, that of Cecilia, which 'drew an angel down', had a real effect; the crown therefore could not reasonably he divided

In a general survey of Dryden's labours, he appears to have a mind very comprehensive by nature, and much entiched with acquired knowledge. His compositions are the effects of a vigorous genus operating upon large materials.

The power that predominated in his intellectual operations was rather strong teason than quick sensibility. Upon all occasions that were presented, he studied rather than felt, and produced sentiment; not such as nature enforces, but meditation supplies. With the simple and elemental passions, as they spring separate in the mind, he seems not much acquainted; and seldom describes them but as they are complicated by the various relations of society. and confused in the tumults and agitations of life.

What he says of love may contribute to the explanation of his character:

Love various minds does variously inspire: It stirs in gentle bosoms gentle fire, Like that of incense on the altar laid: But raging flames tempestuous souls invade: A fire which every windy passion blows, With piide it mounts, or with revenge it glows

Dryden's was not one of the 'gentle bosoms'. Love as it subsists in itself, with no tendency but to the person loved, and wishing only for correspondent kindness; such love as shuts out all other interest; the love of the golden age, was too soft and subtle to put his faculties in motion. He hardly conceived it but in its turbulent effervescence with some other desires; when it was inflamed by rivalry, or obstructed by difficulties: when it invigorated ambition, or exasperated revenge.

He is therefore, with all his variety of excellence, not often pathetic, and had so little sensibility of the power of effusions purely natural, that he did not esteem them in others Simplicity gave him no pleasure; and for the first part of his life he looked on Otray with contempt, though at last, indeed very late, he confessed that in his play 'there was nature, which is the chief heauty'.

We do not always know our own motives. I am not certain whether it was not rather the difficulty which he found in exhibiting the genuine operations of the heart, than a servile suhmission to an injudicious audience, that filled his plays with false magnificence. It was necessary to fix attention; and the mind can be captivated only by recollection, or hy curiosity; by reviving natural sentiments, or impressing new appearances of things, sentences were readier at his call than images; he could more easily fill the ear with some splendid novelty, than awaken those ideas that slumber in the heart.

The favourite exercise of his mind was ratiocination; and, that argument might not be too soon at an end, he delighted to talk of therty and necessity, destiny and contingence; these he discusses in the language of the school with so much profundity, that the terms which he uses are not always understood. It is indeed learning, but learning out of place.

When once he had engaged himself in disputation, thoughts flowed in on either side: he was now no longer at a loss; he had always objections and solutions at command: verbaque provisam rem—give him matter for his verse, and he finds without difficulty verse for his matter.

In comedy, for which he professes himself not naturally qualified, the mrth which he excites will perhaps not be found so much to arise from any original humour, or peculiarity of character nicely distinguished and diligently pursued, as from medients and circumstances, artifices and surprises; from jests of action rather than of sentiment. What he had of humorous or passionate, he seems to have had not from nature, but from other poets; if not always as a plaguary, at least as an imilator.

Next to argument, his delight was in wild and daring sallies of sentiment, in the pregular and eccentric violence of wit. He delighted to tread upon the brink of meaning, where light and darkness begut to mugle; to approach the precipice of abstraity, and hover over the abyss of unideal vacancy. This inclination sometimes produced nonsense, which he knew; as,

Move swiftly, sun, and fly a lover's pace, Leave weeks and mouths behind thee in thy race.

Amanel flies
To guard thee from the demons of the air;
My flaming sword above them to display,
All keen, and ground upon the edge of day,

And sometimes it issued in absurdaties, of which perhaps he was not conscious:

Then we upon our orb's last verge shall go, And see the ocean leaning on the sky, From thence our rolling neighbours we shall know, And on the lunar world securely pry,

These lines have no meaning: but may we not say, in imitation of Cowley on another book.

'Tis so like sense 'twill serve the turn as well?

This endeavour after the grand and the new produced many sentiments either great or bulky, and many images either just or splendid:

I am as free as Nature first made man, Ere the base laws of servitude began, When wild in woods the noble savage ran,

—'Tis but because the Living death ne'er knew, They fear to prove it as a thing that's new; Let me th' experiment before you try, I'll show you first how easy 'tis to the.

—There with a forest of their daris he strove, And stood like Capanens delying fove, With his broad sword the holdest beating down, While Fate grew pale lest he should win the town, And turn'd the iron leaves of his dark book To make new dooms, or mend what it instook. —I beg no pity for this mouldering clay;
For if you give it burial, there it takes
Possession of your earth,
If burnt, and scatter'd in the air, the winds
That strew my dust diffuse my royalty,
And spread me o'er your clune, for where one atom
Of mine shall light, know there Schastian reigns.

Of these quotations the two first may be allowed to be great, the two latter only timid.

Of such selection there is no end I will add only a few more passages; of which the first, though it may perhaps not be quite clear in prose, is not too obscure for poetry, as the meaning that it has is noble:

No, there is a necessity in Fate,
Why still the hrave bold man is fortunate;
He keeps his object ever full in sight,
And that assurance holds him firm and right;
True, 'tis a narrow way that leads to bliss,
But right before there is no precupice;
Fear makes men look ande, and so their footing miss,

Of the images which the two following citations afford, the first is elegant, the second magnificent; whether either be just let the reader judge:

What precious drops are these, Which silently each other's track pursue, Bright as young diamonds in their infant dew?

—Resign your castle—
Enter, brave Sir, for when you speak the word,
The gates shall open of their own accord;
The genius of the place its Lord shall meet,
And bow its towery forehead at your feet.

These bursts of extravagance Dryden calls the Delilahs of the theatre: and owns that many noisy lines of Maximin and Almanzor call outfor vengeance upon him; 'but I knew', says he, 'that they were bad enough to please, even when I wrote them'. There is surely reason to suspect that he pleased himself as well

as his audience; and that these, like the harlots of other men, had his love, though not his approbation.

He had sometimes faults of a less generous and splendid kind. He makes, like almost all other poets. very frequent use of mythology, and sometimes connects religion and fable too closely without distinction.

He descends to display his knowledge with pedantic ostentation; as when, in translating Virgil, he says 'tack to the larboard'-and 'veer starboard'; and talks in another work of 'virtue spooming before the wind'. His vanity now and then betrays his ignorance:

They Nature's king through Nature's optics view'd: Revers'd they view'd him lessen'd to their eyes

He had heard of reversing a telescope, and unluckily reverses the object.

He is sometimes unexpectedly mean. When he describes the Supreme Being as moved by prayer to stop the Fire of London, what is his expression?

A hollow crystal pyramid he takes, In firmamental waters dipp'd above, Of this a broad extinguisher he makes, And hoods the flames that to their quarry strove.

When he describes the Last Day, and the decisive tribunal, he intermingles this image:

When rattling bones together fly, From the four quarters of the sky.

It was indeed never in his power to resist the tempta. tion of a jest. In his elegy on Cromwell:

No sooner was the Frenchman's cause embrac'd. Than the light Monsteur the grave Don outweigh'd: His fortune turn'd the scale-

He had a vanity, unworthy of his abilities, to show, as may be suspected, the rank of the company with whom he lived, by the use of French words, which had then crept into conversation; such as fraitheur for coolness, fougus for turbulence, and a few more, none of which the language has moorporated or retained. They continue only where they stood first, perpetual warnings to future innovators.

These are his faults of affectation; his faults of negligence are beyond recital. Such is the unevenness of his compositions, that ten lines are seldom found together without something of which the reader is ashamed. Dryden was no rigid judge of his own pages: he seldom struggled after supreme excellence, but snatched in haste what was within his reach; and when he could content others, was himself contented. He did not keep present to his mind an idea of pure perfection; nor compare bis works, such as they were, with what they might be made. He knew to whom he should be opposed. He had more music than Waller, more vigour than Denham, and more nature than Cowley; and from his contemporaries he was in no danger. Standing therefore in the highest place, he had no care to use by contending with himself; but while there was no name above his own, was willing to eniov fame on the easiest terms.

He was no lover of lahour. What he thought sufficient, he did not stop to make better; and allowed himself to leave many parts unfinished, in confidence that the good lines would overhalance the bad. What he had once written, he dismissed from his thoughts; and, I believe, there is no example to be found of any correction or improvement made by him after publication. The hastiness of his productions might be the effect of necessity; but his subsequent neglect could hardly have any other cause than impatience of study.

What can be said of his versification will be httle more than a dilatation of the praise given it by Pope:

Waller was smooth; but Dryden taught to join The varying verse, the full-resounding line, The long majestic march, and energy divine.

Some improvements had been already made in

371

English numbers; but the full force of our language was not yet felt; the verse that was smooth was commonly feelbe. If Cowley had sometimes a flushed line, he had it by chance. Dryden knew how to choose the flowing and the sonorous words; to vary the pauses, and adjust the accents; to diversify the cadence, and yet preserve the smoothness of his metre.

Of triplets and Alexandrines, though he did not introduce the use, he established it. The triplet has long subsisted among us Dryden seems not to have traced it higher than to Chapman's Homer, but it is to be found in Phaer's Virgil, written in the reign of Mary, and in Hall's Salires, published five years hefore the death of Elizabeth.

The Alexandrine was, I believe, first used by Spenser, for the sake of closing his stanza with a fuller sound. We had a longer measure of fourteen syllables, into which the Aeneid was translated by Phaer, and other works of the ancients, by other writers; of which Chanmar's Iliad was, I believe, the last,

The two first hines of Phaer's third Aeneid will exemplify this measure.

When Asia's state was overthrown, and Priam's kingdom stout,

All guildess, by the power of gods above was rooted out.

As these lines had their break, or coesure, always at the eighth syllable, it was thought, in time, commodrous to divide them; and quatians of lines alternately consisting of eight and six syllables, make the most soft and pleasing of our lyric measures; as,

> Relentless Time, destroying power, Which stone and brass obey, Who giv'st to every flying hour To work some new decay.

In the Alexandrine, when its power was once felt, some poems, as Drayton's *Polyolbon*, were wholly written; and sometimes the measures of twelve and fourteen syllables were interchanged with one another.

Cowley was the first that inserted the Alexandrine at pleasure among the heroic lines of ten syllables, and from him Dryden professes to have adopted it.

The triplet and Alexandrine are not universally approved. Swift always consured them, and wrotesome lines to ridicule them. In examining their propriety, it is to be considered that the essence of verse is regularity, and its ornament is variety. To write verse is to dispose syllables and sounds harmonically by some known and settled rule; a rule, however, lax enough to substitute similatude for identity, to admit change without breach of order, and to relieve the ear without disappointing it. Thus a Latin hexameter is formed from dactyls and spondees differently combined: the English heroic admits of acute or grave syllables variously disposed. The Latin never deviates into seven feet, or exceeds the number of seventeen syllables, but the English Alexandrine breaks the lawful bounds, and surprises the reader with two syllables more than he expected.

The effect of the triplet is the same: the ear has been accustomed to expect a new rhyme in every couplet; but is on a sudden surprised with three rhymes together, to which the reader could not accommodate his voice, did he not obtain notice of the thange from the braces in the margins. Surely there is something unskilful in the necessity of such mechanical direction.

Considering the metrical art simply as a science, and consequently evoluting all casualty, we must allow that triplets and Alexandrines, inserted by caprice, are interruptions of that constancy to which science aspires. And though the variety which they produce may very justly be desired, yet to make our poetry exact, there ought to be some stated mode of admitting them.

But till some such regulation can be formed, I wish them still to be retained in their present state. They are sometimes grateful to the reader, and sometimes convenient to the poet. Fenton was of opinion that Dryden was too liberal and Pope too sparing in their use.

The rhymes of Dryden are commonly just, and he valued immself for his readiness in finding them; but he is sometimes open to objection.

It is the common practice of our poets to end the second line with a weak or grave syllable:

Together o'er the Alps methinks we fly, Fill'd with ideas of iair Italy,

Dryden sometimes puts the weak rhyme in the first:

Laugh all the powers that favour tyranny, And all the standing army of the sky

Sometimes he concludes a period or paragraph with the first line of a couplet, which, though the French seem to do it without irregularity, always displeases in English poetry.

The Alexandrine, though much his favourite, is not always very diligently fabricated by him. It invariably requires a break at the sixth syllable; a rule which the modern French poets never violate, but which Dryden sometimes neglected:

And with paternal thunder vindicates his throne.

Of Dryden's works it was said by Pope, that he 'could select from them better specimens of every mode of poetry than any other English writer could supply'. Perhaps no nation ever produced a writer that enriched his language with such variety of models. To him we owe the improvement, perhaps the completion of our metre, the refinement of our language, and much of the correctness of our sentiments. By him we were taught super et fan, to think naturally and express forcibly. Though Dawies has reasoned in rhyme before him, it may be perhaps maintained that he was the first who joined argument with poetry, He showed us the true bounds of a translator's liberty.

What was said of Rome, adorned by Augustus, may be applied by an easy metaphor to English poetry embelished by Dryden, lateritum invent, marmoream reliquit, 'He found it brick, and he left it marble.'

GRAY

(1781)

THOMAS GRAY, the son of Mr. Philip Gray, a scrivener of London. was born in Cornhill, November 26, 1716. His grammatical education he received at Eton under the care of Mr. Antrobus, his mother's brother, then assistant to Dr. George; and when he left school, in 1734, entered a pensioner at Peterhouse in Cambridge.

The nanation from the school to the college is, to most young scholars, the time from which they date their years of manhood, liberty, and happiness; but Gray seems to have been very little delighted with academical gratifications; he liked at Cambridge neither the mode of life nor the fashion of study, and lived sullenly on to the time when his attendance on lectures was no longer required. As he intended to profess the Common Law be took no degree

When he had been at Cambrudge about five years, Mr. Horace Walpole, whose friendship he had garned at Eton, invited hmt to travel with him as his companion. They wandered through France into Italy; and Gray's letters contain a very pleasing account of many parts of their journey. But unequal friendships are easily dissolved: at Florence they quarrelled and parted, and Mr. Walpole is now content to have it told that it was by his fault. If we look, however, without prejudice on the world, we shall find that men, whose consciousness of their own merit sets them above the compliances of servility, are aptenough in their association with superiors to watch their own dignity with troublesome and punctilious jealousy, and in the fervour of independence to exact that atten-

GRAY

375

tion which they refuse to pay. Part they did, whatever was the quarrel, and the rest of their travels was doubtless more unpleasant to them both Gray continued his journey in a manner suitable to his own httle fortune, with only an occasional servant.

He returned to England in September 1741, and in about two months afterwards buried his father, who had, hy an injudicious waste of money upon a new house, so much lessened his fortune, that Gray thought himself too poor to study the law. He therefore retire i to Cambridge, where he soon after because Bachelor of Civil Law; and where, without liking the place or its inhabitants, or professing to like them, he passed, excopt a short residence at London, the rest of his life.

About this time he was deprived of Mr. West, the son of a chancellor of Ireland, a friend on whom he appears to have set a high value, and who deserved his esteem by the powers which he shows in his letters. and in the Ode to May, which Mr. Mason has preserved, as well as by the sincerity with which, when Gray sent him part of Agrippina, a tragedy that he had just begun, he gave an opinion which probably intercepted the progress of the work, and which the judgement of every reader will confirm. It was certainly no loss to the English stage that Agripping was never finished.

In this year (1742) Gray seems first to have applied himself seriously to poetry, for in this year were produced the Ode to Spring, his Prospect of Eton, and his Ode to Adversity. He began likewise a Latin poem, De Principus Cogitandi.

It may he collected from the narrative of Mr. Mason, that his first ambitton was to have excelled in Latin poetry: perhaps it were reasonable to wish that he had prosecuted his design; for though there is at present some embarrassment in his phrase, and some harshness in his lyric numbers, his copiousness of language is such as very few possess, and his lines, even when imperfect, discover a writer whom practice would quickly have made skilful,

He now lived on at Peterhouse, very little solicitous what others did or thought, and cultwated his mind and enlarged his views without any other purpose than of improving and amusing himself; when Mi Mason, being elected fellow of Penisioke Hall, brought him a companion who was afterwards to be his editor, and whose fondness and fidelity has kindled in him a zeal of admiration, which cannot be reasonably expected from the neutrality of a stranger and the coldness of a critic.

In this retirement he wrote (1747) an Ode on the Death of Mr. Walpole's Cat; and the year afterwards attempted a poem of more importance, on Government and Education, of which the fragments which remain have many excellent bines.

His next production (1750) was his far-famed Elegy in the Churchyard, which, finding its way into a magazine first, I believe, made him known to the public.

An invitation from Lady Cobham about this time gave occasion to an odd composition called A Long Story, which adds little to Gray's character.

Several of his pieces were published (1753), with designs by Mr. Bentley; and, that they might in some form or other make a book, only one side of each leaf was printed. I believe the poems and the plates recommended each other so well, that the whole impression was soon bought. This year he lost his mother.

Some time afterwards (1756) some young men of the college, whose chambers were near his, diverted themselves with disturbing him by frequent and troublesome noises, and, as is said, by pranks yet more oftensive and contemptuous. This insolence, having endured it awhile, he represented to the governors of the society, among whom perhaps he had no friends; and, finding his complaint little regarded, removed himself to Pembroke Hall.

In 1757 he published The Progress of Poetry and The Bard, two compositions at which the readers of poetry GRAY 377

were at first content to gaze in mute amazement. Some that tried them confessed their inability to understand them, though Warburton said that they were understood as well as the works of Milton and Shakespeare, which it is the fashion to admire. Garrick wrote a few lines in their praise. Some hardy champions undertook to rescue them from neglect, and in a short time many were content to be shown beauties which they could not see.

Gray's reputation was now so high that, after the death of Cibber, he had the honour of refunng the laurel, which was then bestowed on Mr. Whitehead.

His curtosity, not long after, drew him away from Cambridge to a lodging near the Museum, where he resided near three years, reading and transcribing; and, so far as can be discovered, very little affected by two odes on Oblimon and Obsumy, in which his lyric performances were ridiculed with much contempt and much insenuity.

When the Professor of Modern History at Cambridge died, he was, as he says, cocketed and spirited wh, till he asked it of Lord Bute, who sent him a civil refusal; and the place was given to Mr. Brocket, the miler of Sr Tames Lowther.

His constitution was weak, and believing that his health was promoted by exercise and change of place, he undertook (1765) a journey into Scotland, of which his account, so far as it extends, is very curious and elegant, for as his comprehension was ample, his curiousty extended to all the works of art, all the appearances of nature, and all the monuments of past events. He naturally contracted a friendship with Dr. Beattie, whom he found a poet, a philosopher, and a good man. The Mareschal College at Aberdeen offered him the degree of Doctor of Laws, which, having omitted to take it at Cambridge, he thought it decent to refuse.

What he had formerly solicited in vain, was at last given him without solicitation. The Professorship of History became again vacant, and he received (1768) an offer of it from the Duke of Grafton. He accepted, and retained it to his death; always designing lectures, but never reading them; uneasy at his neglect of duty, and appeasing his uneasiness with designs of reformation, and with a resolution which he believed himself to have made of resigning the office, if he found himself unable to discharge it.

Ill health made another journey necessary, and he visited (1760) Westmorland and Cumberland. He that reads his epistohary narration wishes that to travel and to tell his travels had been more of his employment; but it is by studying at home that we must obtain the ability of travelling with intelligence and improvement.

His travels and his studies were now near their end. The gout, of which he had sustained many weak attacks, fell upon his stomach, and, yielding to no medicines, produced strong convulsions, which (Tuly

30, 1771) terminated in death.

His character I am willing to adopt, as Mr. Mason has done, from a letter written to my friend Mr. Boswell, by the Rev. Mr. Temple, rector of St. Gluvias in Cornwall; and am as willing as his warmest well-

wisher to beheve it true.

'Perhaps he was the most learned man in Europe. He was equally acquainted with the elegant and profound parts of science, and that not superficially but thoroughly. He knew every branch of history, both natural and civil; had read all the original historians of England, France, and Italy; and was a great antiquarian. Criticism, metaphysics, morals, politics, made a principal part of his study; voyages and travels of all sorts were his favourite amusements; and he had a fine taste in painting, prints, architecture, and gardening. With such a fund of knowledge, his conversation must have been equally instructing and entertaining; but he was also a good man, a man of virtue and humanity. There is no character without

GRAY

379

some speck, some imperfection; and I think the greatest defect in his was an affectation in delicacy, or rather effeminacy, and a visible fastidiousness, or contempt and disdam of his inferiors in science. He also had, in some degree, that weakness which disgusted Voltage so much in Mr. Congreye; though he seemed to value others chiefly according to the progress they had made in knowledge, yet he could not bear to be considered himself mercly as a man of letters; and though without birth, or fortune, or station, his desire was to be looked upon as a private independent gentleman, who read for his amusement. Perhaps it may be said, What signifies so much knowledge, when it produced so little? Is it worth taking so much pains to leave no memorial but a few poems? But let it be considered that Mr. Gray was, to others. at least innocently employed, to himself, certainly beneficially. His time passed agreeably; he was every day making some new acquisition in science; his mind was enlarged, his heart softened, his virtue strengthened; the world and mankind were shown to him without a mask; and he was taught to consider every thing as trifling, and unworthy of the attention of a wise man, except the pursuit of knowledge and practice of virtue, in that state wherein God hath placed us.

To this character Mr. Mason has added a more particular account of Gray's skill in zoology. He has remarked that Gray's effemmacy was affected most before those whom he did not wish to please; and that he is unjustly charged with making knowledge his sole reason of preference, as he paid his esteem to none whom he did not likewise believe to be good.

What has occurred to me, from the slight inspection of his letters in which my undertaking has engaged me, is, that his mind had a large grasp; that his curtosity was unlimited, and his judgement cultivated; that he was a man likely to love much where he loved at all, but that he was fastidious and hard to please.

His contempt, however, is often employed, where I hope it will be approved, upon scepticism and infidelity. His short account of Shaftesbury I will insert.

'You say you cannot conceive how Lord Shaftesbury came to be a philosopher in vogue; I will tell you; first, he was a lord; secondly, he was as vain as any of his readers, thirdly, men are very prone to beheve what they do not understand, fourthly, they will believe any thing at all, provided they are under no obligation to believe it; fifthly, they love to take a new road, even when that road leads nowhere, sixthly, he was reckoned a fine writer, and seems always to mean more than he said. Would you have any more reasons? An interval of above forty years has pretty well destroyed the charm. A dead lord tanks with commoners; vanity is no longer interested in the matter; for a new road is become an old one.'

Mr. Mason has added, from his own knowledge, that though Gray was poor, he was not eager of money; and that, out of the little that he had, he was very willing to help the necessatous.

As a writer be had this peculiarity, that he did not write his pieces first rudely, and then correct them, but laboured every line as it arose in the train of composition; and he had a notion not very peculiar, that he could not write but at certain times, or at happy moments; a fantastic foppery, to which my kindness for a man of learning and of virtue wishes him to have been superior.

Gray's poetry is now to be considered; and I hope not to be looked on as an enemy to his name, if I confess that I contemplate it with less pleasure than his life.

His Ode on Spring has something poetical, both in the language and the thought, but the language is too luxuriant, and the thoughts have nothing new. There has of late arisen a practice of giving to adjectives, derived from substantives, the termination of participles; such as the cultured plain, the daisied bank; but I was sorry to see, in the lines of a scholar like Gray, the homed spring The morality is natural, but mg stale, the conclusion is pretty.

The poem on the Cat was doubtless by its author considered as a trifle, but it is not a happy trifle. In the first stanza the azure flowers that blow, show resolutely a rhyme is sometimes made when it cannot easily be found. Selima, the cat, is called a nymph, with some violence both to language and sense; but there is good use made of it when it is done; for of the two lines.

What female heart can gold despise? What cat's averse to fish?

the first relates merely to the nymph, and the second only to the cat. The sixth stanza contains a melancholy truth, that a favourite has no fisend; but the last ends in a pointed sentence of no relation to the purpose; if what glistered had been gold, the cat would not have gone into the water; and, if she had, would not less have been drowned.

The Prospect of Eton College suggests nothing to Gray, which every beholder does not equally think and feel. His supplication to Father Thames, to tell him who drives the hoop or tosses the ball, is useless and puerile Father Thames has no better means of knowing than timeself. His epithet 'buxom health' is not elegant, he seems not to understand the word. Gray thought his language more poetical as it was more remote from common use: finding in Dryden honey redolent of spring, an expression that reaches the utmost limits of our language, Gray drove it a little more beyond apprehension, by making gales to be redolent of joy and youth.

Of the Ode on Adversity, the hint was at first taken from O Dwa, gratum quae regss Antum; but Gray has excelled his original by the variety of his sentuments, and by their moral application. Of this piece, at once poetical and rational, I will not by slight objections violate the dignity.

My process has now hrought me to the wonderful Wonder of Wonders, the two sister odes, by which, though either vulgar ignorance or common sense at first universally rejected them, many have been since persuaded to think themselves delighted. I am one of those that are willing to be pleased, and therefore would gladly find the meaning of the first stanza of The Progress of Petry.

Gray scens in his rapture to confound the images of spreading sound and running water. A stream of music may be allowed; but where does music, however smooth and strong, after having visited the verdant vales, roll down the steep amain, so as that rocks and nodding groves rebellow to the roar? If this be said of music, it is nonsense; if it he said of water, it is nothing to the put pose.

The second stanza, exhibiting Mars's car and Jove's eagle, is unworthy of further notice. Criticism disdains to chase a schoolboy to his commonplaces.

To the third it may likewise be objected, that it is drawn from Mythology, though such as may be more easily assimilated to real life. Idalia's velvet-green has something of cant. An epithet or metaphor drawn from Nature ennobles Art; an epithet or metaphor drawn from Art degrades Nature. Gray is too fond of words arbitrarily compounded. Many-twinkling was formerly consured as not analogical; we may say many-spotted, but scarcely many-spotting. This stanza, however, has something pleasing.

Of the second ternary of stanzas, the first endeavours to tell something, and would have told it, had it not been crossed by Hyperion: the second describes well enough the universal prevalence of poetry; but I am afraid that the conclusion will not rise from the premises. The caverus of the north and the plains of Chill are not the residences of glory and generous shame. But that poetry and virtue go always together is an opinion so pleasing, that I can forgive him who resolves to think it true.

The third stanza sounds big with Delphi, and Egean, and Ilissus, and Meander, and hallowed fountain and solemn sound; but in all Gray's odes there is a land of cumbrous splendour which we wish away. He position is at last fake: in the time of Dante and Petrarch, from whom he derives our first school of pretry, Italy was overrun by tyrant power and coward vice; nor was our state much better when we first borrowed the Italian aris.

Of the third ternary, the first gives a mythological birth of Shakespeare. What is said of that mighty genius it true; but it is not said happuly; the real clice is of this poetical power are put out of sight by the pomp of machinery. Where truth is sufficient to full the mind, fiction is worse than uscless; the counterfest debases the genuine.

His account of Milton's blindness, if we supper to traused by study in the formation of his poem, a supposition surely allowable, is posticefly true, and happily imagined. But the car of Dryden, with his two coursers, has nothing in it peculiar; it is a car in which any other rider may be placed.

The Bard appears, at the first view, to be, as Algarotti and others have remarked, an imitation of the prophecy of Nereus. Algarotti thinks it superior to its original; and, if preference depends only on the imagery and animation of the two poems, his judgement is right. There is in The Bard more force, more thought, and more variety. But to copy is less than to invent, and the copy has been unhappily produced at a wrong time. The fiction of Horace was to the Romans credible; but its revival disguist its with apparent and unconquerable falschood. Introdulus odi.

To select a singular event, and swell it to a grant's bulk by fabulous appendages of spectres and predictions, has little difficulty, for he that forsakes the probable may always find the maryellous. An'il it has little use; we are affected only as we believe; we are improved only as we find something to be imitated or declined. I do not see that *The Bard* promotes any truth, moral or political.

His stanzas are too long, especially his epodes; the ode is finished before the ear has learned us measures, and consequently before it can receive pleasure from their consonance and recurrence.

Of the first stanza the abrupt beginning has been celebrated; but technical beauties can give praise only to the inventor. It is in the power of any man to rush abruptly upon his subject, that has read the ballad of Tohany Armstrong,

Is there ever a man in all Scotland-

The initial resemblances, or alliterations, ruin, ruthless, helm or hauberk, are below the grandeur of a poem that endeavours at sublimity.

In the second starza the Bard is well described; but in the third we have the puerhities of obsolete mythology. When we are told that Cadwallo hushed the stormy main, and that Modred made huge Plinhumon bow his cloud-topped bead, attention recoils from the repetition of a tale that, even when it was first heard, was heard with scorn.

The weaving of the winding sheet he borrowed, as he owns, from the northern bards; but their texture, however, was very properly the work offemale powers, as the art of spinning the thread of life in another mythology. Theft is always dangerous; Gray has made weavers of his slaughtered bards by a fiction outrageous and inconginous. They are then called upon to weave the warp, and weave the woof, perhaps with no great propriety; for it is by crossing the woof with the warp that men weave the web or piece; and the first line was dearly bought by the admission of its wretched correspondent, 'Give ample room and verge enough'. He has, bowever, no other line as bad.

The third stanza of the second ternary is com-

mended, I think, beyond its merit. The personitivation is indistinct. Thust and hunger are not alike; and their features, to make the unagery perfect, should have been discriminated. We are told, in the same stanza, how towers are fed. But I will no longer look for particular faults; yet let it be observed that the ode might have been concluded with an arten of better example; but suicide is always to be had, without expense of thought.

These odes are marked by plutering accumant it ions of ungraceful ornaments; they strike, rather it, in please; the images are magnified by after them, the language is laboured into harshine ii. The model of the writer seems to work with unnatural violence. Double, double, toil and trouble. The has a kind of strutting dignity, and is full by walking on tiptue. Has art and his struggle are too vibble, and there is too little appearance of ease and nature.

To say that he has no beauties would be unjusted man like him, of great learning and great industry, could not but produces smething valuable. When he please, least, it can only be said that a good design was ill directed.

His translations of Northern and Welsh poetry deserve praise; the imagery is preserved, perhaps often improved; but the language is unlike the language of other poets.

In the character of his Eley I rejoice to concur with the common sense of readers unor reader; for by the common sense of readers unor rupted with literary prejudices, after all the refinements of subtilty and the dogmatism of learning, must be finally decided all claim to poetical honours. The Churchpard abounds with images which find a mirror in every mind, and with sentiments to which every bosom returns an echo. The four stanza beginning 'Yet even these bones', are to me original: I have never seen the notions in any other place; yet he that reads them here, persuades himself that he has always felt them. Had Gray written often thus, it had been vain to blame, and useless to praise him.

THOMAS WARTON

PREFACE TO MILTON'S MINOR POEMS

(1790)

THE poems which compose the present volume were published almost thirty years before the appearance of the Paradise Lost. During that interval. they were so totally disregarded, at least by the general reader, as scarcely to have conferred on their author the reputation of a writer of verses; much less the distinction and character of a true poet. After the publication of the Paradise Lost, whose acknowledged merit and increasing celebrity might have naturally contributed to call other pieces of the same author, and of a kindred excellence, into a more conspicuous point of view, they long continued to remain in their original state of neglect and obscurity. At the infancy of their circulation, and for some years afterwards, they were overwhelmed in the commotions of faction. the conflict of religious disputation, and the professional ignorance of fanaticism. In succeeding years, when tumults and usurpations were at an end, and leisure and literature returned, the times were still unpropitious, and the public taste was unprepared for their reception. It was late in the present century before they attained their just measure of esteem and popularity. Wit and rhyme, sentiment and satire, polished numbers, sparkling couplets, and pointed periods, having so long kept undisturbed possession in our poetry, would not easily give way to fiction and fancy, to picturesque description, and romantic imagery.

When Sir Henry Wotton, 1637, had received from Milton the compliment of a present of Comus, at first separately printed by the care of Henry Lawes, he returned a panegyric on the performance in which real approbation undoubtedly concurred with the partiality of private friendship, and a grateful sense of this kind testimony of Milton's regard. But Wotton, a scholar and a poet, did not perceive the genuine graces of this exquisite masque, which yet he professes to have 'viewed with singular delight'. His conceptions did not reach to the higher poetry of Comus, He was rather struck with the pastoral mellifluence of its lyric measures, which he styles 'a certain Doric delicacy in the songs and odes', than with its grave and more majestic tones, with the solemnity and variety of its neculiar vein of original invention. This draina was not to be generally characterized by its somes and odes: nor do I know that softness and sweetness. although they want neither, are particularly characteristical of those passages, which are most commonly rough with strong and crowded images, and rich in personification. However, the Song to Echo, and the initial strains of Comus's invocation, are much in the style which Wotton describes.

The first edition of these poems, comprehending Comus already printed, and Lycidas, of which there was also a previous impression, is dated in 1645 But I do not recollect, that for seventy years afterwards, they are once mentioned in the whole succession of English literature. Perhans almost the only instance on record in that period of time of their having received any, even a slight, mark of attention or notice, is to be found in Archbishop Sancroft's papers at Oxford. In these papers is contained a very considerable collection of poetry, but chiefly religious, exactly and elegantly transcribed with his own hand, while he was a fellow of Emmanuel College, and about the year 1648, from Crashaw, Cowley, Herbert, Alabaster, Wotton, and other poets then in fashion. And among these extracts is Milton's Ode on the Nativity, said by Sancroft to be selected from 'the first page of John Milton's poems'. Also our author's version of the fifty-third Psalm, noted by the transcriber, I suppose

as an example of uncommon exertion of genius, to have been done in the fifteenth year of the translator's age. Sancroft, even to his maturer years, retained his strong early predilection to polite literature, which he still continued to cultivate; and from these and other remains of his studies in that pursuit, now preserved in the Bodleian Library, it appears that he was a diligent reader of the poetry of his times, both in English and Latin. In an old Miscellany, quaintly called Naps on Parnassus, and printed in 1658, there is a recital of the most excellent English poets; who, according to this author's enumeration are Chaucer. Hardyng, Lydgate, Spenser, Drayton, Shakespeare, Jonson, Donne, Beaumont and Fletcher, Sandys, Cowley, and Cleveland, with some others then living and perhaps in fashion, but now forgotten. But there is not a syllable of the writer of L'Allegro, Il Penseroso, and Comus. Langbaine, who wrote his dramatic biography in 1601, a scholar and a student in English poetry, having enumerated Milton's greater English poems, coldly adds, 'He published some other poems in Latin and English, printed at London, 1645. Nor is there the quantity of an hemistich quoted from any of these poems, in the collections of those who have digested the beauties or phrases of the English poets from 1655 to 1738, inclusively. The first of these, is the English Treasury of Wit and Language, by John Cotgrave, 1655. The second, the English Parnassus, or an Help to English Poesy, by Joshua Poole of Clare Hall, 1657. And not to omit the intermediate labours o. Bysshe and Gildon, the latter of whom promises 'to give the reader the great images that are to be found in our poets who are truly great, as well as their topics and moral reflections', the last, and by far the most copious and judicious compilation of the kind extant, is the British Muse in three volumes, by Thomas Hayward, with a good Preface by Oldys, published in 1748. Yet this author professes chiefly to consider, 'neglected and expering ment, and to revive and preserve the excellencies which time and oblation were upon the point of cancelling, rather than to reteat what others had extracted hefore'.

Patrick Hume, a Scotchman, in 1695, published a large and very learned commentary on the Parallet Last, to which some of his successors in the same province, apprehending no danger of detection from a work rarely inspected, and too pedantic and cumbersome to attract many readers, have been often amply indebted, without even the most distant limit of acknowledgement. But Hume, in comparing Miltorn with himself, perhaps conscious of his unportaine at a commentator on the sublamtics of the epic mare, next once condescends to draw a single illustration from this volume of his author. In 1732, Bentley, mistaking his object, and to the disgrace of his critical abilities, gave a new and splendid edition of the Paradise Lort. The principal design of the Notes is to prove, that the poet's native text was vitrated by an infinite variety of licentious interpolations and factitious readings, which as he pretends, proceeded from the artifice, the ignorance, or the misapprehension, of an amanuensis, to whom Milton, being blind, had been compelled to dictate his verses. To ascertain his criticisms in detecting or reforming these imaginary forgenes, he often appeals to words and phrases in the same poem. But he never attempts to confirm his conjectures from the smaller poems, written before the poet was blind: and from which, in the prosecution of the same arbitrary mode of emendation, his analogies in many instances might have consequently derived a much stronger degree of authority and credibility. The truth is, Bentley was here a stranger. I must, however, except that he once quotes a line from the beginning of Comus.

One of the earliest encommums which this volume of Milton seems to have received, was from the pen of Addison. In a Spectator, written 1711, he mentions Milton's laughter in the opening of L'Allegro as a very

WARTON 390 poetical figure: and adds, citing the lines at large. that Euphrosyne's group of Mirth is finely described. But this specimen and recommendation, although from so favounte a writer, and so elegant a critic. was probably premature, and I suspect contributed but little to make the poem much better known, In the meantime I will venture to pronounce that although the citation immediately resulted from the subject of Addison's paper, he thought it the finest group or description either in this piece or its companion the Penseroso. Had Addison ever entered into the spirit and genius of both poems, he eertainly did not want opportunities of bringing them forward, by exhibiting passages of a more poetical character. It

that Milton's nephew, E. Philips, in his 'Tractatus de carmine dramatico poetarum veterum cui subjungitur Enumeratio Poetarum, Lond 1670', mentioning his uncle's Paradise Lost, adds, 'praeter alia quae scripsit elegantissime turn Anglice tum Latine' (p. 270). And Toland, from the same quarter, says of Comus, 'like which piece, in the neculiar disposition of the story, the sweetness of the numbers, the justness of the expression, and the moral it teaches, there is nothing extant in any language' (Life, prefixed to Milton's Prose Works,

has been observed in the Essay on the Genius of Pope,

Amst. 1698). And of Lyadas, 'the Monody is one o the finest [poems] he ever wrote' (Ibid p. 44). These indeed are early testimonies; but as coming from his relations are not properly admissible.

My father used to relate, that when he once, at Magdalen College, Oxford, mentioned in high terms this volume to Mr. Digby, the intimate friend of Pope. Mr. Digby expressed much surprise that he had never heard Pope speak of them, went home and immedi-

ately gave them an attentive reading, and asked Pope if he knew anything of this hidden treasure. Pope availed himself of the question; and accordingly, we find him soon afterwards sprinkling his Elossa to

Ab. lard with epithets and phrases of a new form and

106 sound, pilfered from Comus and the Penseroso. It is a phenomenon in the history of English poetry, that Pope, a poet not of Milton's pedigree, should be their first copyer. He was, however, conscious that he might borrow from a book then scarcely remembered. without the hazard of a discovery, or the imputation of plagrarism Yet the theft was so slight, as hardly to deserve the name: and it must be allowed, that the experiment was happily and judiciously applied, in delineating the sombious scenes of the pensive Elolat's convent, the solitary Pararlete.

At length, we perceive these poems emerging in the criticism of the times. In 1733, Doctor Pearte pubhshed his Review of the Text of Paradise Lost, where they frequently furnish collateral evidences in favour of the established state of that text; and in refutation of Bentley's chimerical corrections. In the following year, the joint labour of the two Richardsons produced Explanatory Notes on the Paradise Lost, where they repeatedly lend their assistance, and are treated in such a style of criticism as shows that their beauties were truly felt. Soon afterwards, such respectable names as Jorun, Warburton, and Hurd conspired in examining their excellencies, in adjusting their claims to praise, and extending their reputation. They were verfurther recommended to the public regard. In 1730, Convis was presented on the stage at Drury Lane, with musical accompaniments by Dr. Arne, and the application of additional songs, selected and adapted from L'Allegro, and other pieces of this volume: and although not calculated to shine in theatric exhibition for those very reasons which constitute its essential and specific merit, from this introduction to notice Comus grew popular as a poem. L'.lllegro and Il Penseroso were set to music by Handel in 1711; and I is expressive harmonies here received the honour which they have so seldom found, but which they so justly deserve, of being married to immortal terie. Not long afterwards, Lyculas was imitated by Mr. Mason: as

L'Allegro and Il Pensesso had been before, in his Il Bellicase et Il Pasifico. In the meantime the Paraditic Lost was acquiring more numerous readers: the manly inclodies of blank verse, which after its revival by Philips had been long neglected, caught the public ear: and the whole of Milton's poetical works, associating their respective powers as in one common interest, jointly and reciprocally co-operated in diffusing and forming just ideas of a more perfect species of poetry. A visible revolution succeeded in the general cast and character of the national composition. Our versification contracted a new colouring, a new structure and phraseology; and the school of Milton rose in enulation of the school of Pope.

An editor of Milton's juvenile poems cannot but express his concern, in which, however, he may have been anticipated by his reader, that their number is so inconsiderable. With Milton's mellow hangings, delicious as they are, we reasonably rest contented: but we are justified in regretting that he has left so few of his early blossoms, not only because they are so exquisitely sweet, but because so many more might have naturally heen expected. And this regret is yet aggravated, when we consider the cause which prevented the production of more, and intercepted the progress of so promising a spring: when we recollect that the vigorous portion of his life, that those years in which imagination is on the wing, were unworthily and unprofitably wasted on temporary topics. on elaborate but perishable dissertations in defence of innovation and anarchy. To this employment he sacrificed his eyes, his health, his repose, his native propensities, his elegant studies. Smit with the deplorable polemics of puritanism, he suddenly ceased to gaze on such sights as youthful boots dream.

The numerous and noble plans of tragedy which he had deliberately formed with the discernment and selection of a great poetical mind, were at once interrupted and abandoned; and have now left to a dis-

appointed posterity only a few naked outlines, and confused sketches. Instead of embellishing original tales of chivalry, of clothing the fabulous achievements of the early British kings and champions in the gorgeous trappings of epic attne, he wrote Smeetymnuus and Tetrachordon, apologies for fanatical preachers and the doctrine of divorce. In his travels he had intended to visit Sicily and Athens, countries connected with his finer feelings, interwoven with his poetical ideas, and impressed upon his imagination by his habits of reading, and by long and intimate converse with the Grecian literature. But so prevalent were his patriotic attachments, that hearing in Italy of the commencement of the national quarrel, instead of proceeding forward to feast his fancy with the contemplation of scenes familiar to Theorntus and Homer, the pines of Etna and the pastures of Peneus, he abruptly changed his course, and hastily returned home to plead the cause of ideal liberty. Yet in this chaos of controversy, amidst endless disputes concerning religious and political reformation, independency, prelacy, tithes, toleration, and tyranny, he sometimes seems to have heaved a sigh for the peaceable enjoyments of lettered solitude, for his congenial pursuits, and the more mild and ingenuous exercises of the Muse. In a letter to Henry Oldenburgh, written in 1654, he says, 'Hoc cum libertatis adversariis inopinatum certamen, diversis longe, et amocnioribus omnino me studus intentum, ad se rapuit invitum,' And in one of his prose-tracts, 'I may one day hope to have ye again in a still time, when there shall be no chiding. Not in these numers." And in another, having mentioned some of his schemes for epic poetry and tragedy, of highest hope and hardest attempting', he adds, 'With what small willingness I endure to interrupt the pursuit of no less hopes than these, and leave a calm and pleasing solitariness, fed with cheerful and confident thoughts, to embark in a troubled sea of noises and hourse the putes, from beholding the bright countenance of

WARTON

394

truth in the quiet and still air of delightful studies, &c.' He still, however, obstinately persisted in what he thought his duty. But sincely these speculations should have been consigned to the enthusiasts of the age, to such restless and wayward spirits as Prynne, Hugh Peters, Goodwyn, and Baxter. Minds less refuted and faculties less elegantly cultivated, would have been better employed in this task.

—Coarse complexions,
And checks of sorry grain, will serve to ply
The sampler, and to tease the housewife's wool;
What need a vermeit-tinetur'd hp for that,
Love-darling eyes, and tresses like the morn?

SELIN
GREAL BRITAL
AL FRE
UNIVERSITY PRESS
OACORD
VALI
PTERINTO BY
ROUBLI (UNIVERSITY
AND COST, THE